

06/11/2023. Lecture 8.

1 Groups: elementary introduction.

In this section we discuss the algebraic notion of a *group* and its basic properties.

Definition 1. A *group* is a set G (finite or infinite) with a binary operation $*$ (a function $G \times G \mapsto G$) satisfying the following properties

- there exists an $e \in G$ (the neutral element) such that for all $g \in G$

$$g * e = e * g = g$$

- for all $g \in G$ there exists an $h \in G$ such that $g * h = h * g = e$
- for all $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$

$$(g_1 * g_2) * g_3 = g_1 * (g_2 * g_3).$$

A group is called *commutative* (or *Abelian*) if for all $g, h \in G$

- $g * h = h * g$.

Examples of groups $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +)$, $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \cdot)$ for a prime n , the set of all polynomials with real coefficients with the operation of addition, the set of all invertible matrices of size $n \times n$ (with real coefficients) with the operation of multiplication of matrices.

Remark 1. The operation in a group is often denoted as \cdot or $+$.

Exercise 1. Prove that in every group there is only one neutral element.

Definition 2. Let $(G, *)$ be a group with the neutral element e , and let $g \in G$ be its element. The *order* of g is the minimal positive integer number n such that

$$g^n := \underbrace{g * (g * (g * \dots * (g * g) \dots))}_n = e$$

(or infinity, if for all $n > 0$ the element g^n is not equal to e). For the order of an element $g \in G$ we use the notation $Or(g)$ (the implied group must be clear from the context).

In the class we proved the following proposition.

Proposition 1. If a group $(G, *)$ is finite (consists of a finite number of elements), then for every $g \in G$ the order of g divides the number of elements in G .

Corollary 1. Let $(G, *)$ be a finite group with n elements. Let e be the neutral element of the group. Then for every $g \in G$ we have $g^n = e$.

Corollary 2. For a prime number p and for every integer g co-prime with p we have $g^{p-1} = 1 \pmod p$.

2 Modular arithmetic revisited

In this section we discussed properties of certain commutative groups connected with the modular arithmetic.

2.1 Reminder

Theorem 1 (fundamental theorem of arithmetic). *Every integer number n greater than 1 can be represented uniquely as a product of prime numbers, up to the order of the factors.*

We did not prove this theorem in the class. However, we used it to simplify the proofs of several properties of integer numbers:

Proposition 2. *Let x and y be integer numbers. There exist integer numbers v and w such that*

$$v \cdot x + w \cdot y = \gcd(x, y),$$

where \gcd denote the greatest common divisor).

Proposition 3. *If a positive integer number a is co-prime with n then there exists an integer number b such that $a \cdot b = 1 \pmod n$.*

For a prime number p we denote by $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ the set of integer numbers from $\{1, \dots, p\}$. It is easy to see that this set with the operation of multiplication modulo p is a group.

Theorem 2. *For every prime number p there exists a $g \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ such that the order of g in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times, \cdot$ is equal to $p - 1$.*

Proof. The core idea of the proof is the fact that in each field a polynomial of degree k cannot have more than k roots. Let us explain this proof in some detail.

Step 1. In this proof, the order of an element $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (denoted $Or(x)$) is the minimal integer number $k \geq 1$ such that $x^k = 1 \pmod p$. The theorem claims that for every prime number p there exists a g such that $Or(g) = p - 1$.

Step 2. Let g be any element in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Since the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ is finite, the values

$$g \pmod p, g^2 \pmod p, g^3 \pmod p, \dots$$

cannot be all different; starting from some moment, this series begins to repeat. Therefore, this sequence (powers of g modulo p) is periodic with some period k . The length of the period (the number k) is in fact equal to the very first position in the sequence where we obtain $g^k = 1 \pmod p$. In other words, the period of this sequence modulo p is equal to $Or(g)$.

We know that for every prime number p and for every $g \neq 0 \pmod p$ we have $g^{p-1} = 1 \pmod p$. Hence, the period of g modulo p must divide the number $p - 1$. Our goal is to find a g such that $Or(g)$ not only divides $p - 1$ but is equal to $p - 1$.

Step 3. We proceed with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let k_0 be the least common multiple of*

$$Or(1), Or(2), Or(3), \dots, Or(p - 1).$$

Then all element of the field are roots of the equation $x^{k_0} = 1 \pmod p$.

Proof. For every $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ we have, by definition, $x^{Or(x)} = 1 \pmod p$. Since k_0 is a multiple of $Or(x)$, we have $k_0 = \ell \cdot Or(x)$, and

$$x^{k_0} \pmod p = x^{\ell \cdot Or(x)} \pmod p = (x^{Or(x)})^\ell \pmod p = 1^\ell \pmod p,$$

and we are done. □

Thus, the equation

$$x^{k_0} = 1 \pmod p$$

has $p-1$ roots in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $k_0 \geq p$.

In what follows we will find an element g_0 such that $Or(g_0) = k_0$. The order of every element $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a factor of $(p-1)$. Thus, we have at once two properties: k_0 is a factor of $p-1$ and $k_0 \geq p-1$. Hence, $k_0 = p-1$, and $Or(g_0) = p-1$.

To conclude the proof of the theorem, it remains to find an element g_0 of order k_0 .

Step 4. We need one more lemma:

Lemma 2. *For all $x, y \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ there exists an element $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that $Or(z)$ is the least common multiple of $Or(x)$ and $Or(y)$.*

Proof. At first, we prove the lemma for a special case, assuming that

$$\gcd(Or(x), Or(y)) = 1$$

and, therefore, $\text{lcm}(Or(x), Or(y)) = Or(x) \cdot Or(y)$ (here *lcm* denote *the least common multiplier*).

Since $Or(x)$ and $Or(y)$ are co-prime, we need a z such that

$$Or(z) = \text{lcm}(Or(x), Or(y)) = Or(x) \cdot Or(y).$$

We know from the Extended Euclid Algorithm that if the numbers $Or(x)$ and $Or(y)$ are co-prime, then there exist v and w such that

$$v \cdot Or(x) + w \cdot Or(y) = 1.$$

We let $z := x^w \cdot y^v \pmod p$.

It is easy to see that $z^{Or(x) \cdot Or(y)} \pmod p = 1$. It remains to show that $k = Or(x) \cdot Or(y)$ is the minimal natural number such that $z^k = 1 \pmod p$.

It is clear that $Or(z)$ divides $Or(x) \cdot Or(y)$. Hence, if $Or(z) < Or(x) \cdot Or(y)$, then in the sequence

$$z \pmod p, z^2 \pmod p, z^3 \pmod p, \dots, z^{Or(x) \cdot Or(y)} \pmod p \tag{1}$$

the *ones* appear in a periodic way, at some positions

$$k', 2k', 3k', \dots, Or(x) \cdot Or(y).$$

The key observation: if $k' < Or(x) \cdot Or(y)$, then *ones* appear in (1) (among other positions) at some position $Or(x) \cdot \ell$ (for some $\ell < Or(y)$) or at some position $Or(y) \cdot \ell$ (for some $\ell < Or(x)$).

In what follows we show that this is impossible. Indeed, for the number z defined above we have

$$z^{Or(x)} = 1 \cdot y^{w \cdot Or(x)} \pmod p = y^{1-v \cdot Or(y)} \pmod p = y \pmod p.$$

Hence, the numbers

$$z^{Or(x)}, z^{2 \cdot Or(x)}, z^{3 \cdot Or(x)}, z^{(Or(y)-1) \cdot Or(x)}$$

coincide with

$$y, y^2, y^3, \dots, y^{(Or(y)-1)}$$

modulo p , and they are all *not equal* to 1 modulo p . A similar argument implies that the numbers

$$z^{Or(y)}, z^{2 \cdot Or(y)}, z^{3 \cdot Or(y)}, z^{(Or(x)-1) \cdot Or(y)}$$

are also not equal to 1 modulo p . Now it is not hard to show that in the list of numbers

$$z, z^2, z^3, \dots, z^{Or(x) \cdot Or(y)}$$

only the very last element is equal to 1 modulo p , i.e.,

$$Or(z) = Or(x) \cdot Or(y).$$

It remains to consider the case

$$\gcd(Or(x), Or(y)) \neq 1.$$

We reduce the general case to the special case discussed above. We use the following trick. If ℓ is a factor of $Or(y)$, then $Or(y^\ell) = Or(y)/\ell$. So if we can take $\ell := \gcd(Or(x), Or(y))$ and let $y' = y^\ell$, then

$$\gcd(Or(x), Or(y')) = 1 \text{ and } \text{lcm}(Or(x), Or(y')) = \text{lcm}(Or(x), Or(y)).$$

It remains to apply the argument explained above to the numbers x and y' , and we are done. \square

Step 5. Now we iterate an application of Lemma 2. First of all, we let $x_1 = 1$. Now we apply Lemma 2 and find an x_2 such that $Or(x_2)$ is the least common multiple of $Or(x_1)$ and $Or(2)$. Then we apply one more time Lemma 2 and find a x_3 such that $Or(x_3)$ is the least common multiple of $Or(x_2)$ and $Or(3)$. Further, we find a x_4 such that $Or(x_4)$ is the least common multiple of $Or(x_3)$ and $Or(4)$, and so on. Finally, we find an element x_{p-1} such that $Or(x_{p-1})$ is the least common multiple of the orders of x_{p-2} and $p-1$. From this construction it follows that the order of the last final element x_{p-1} is equal to the least common multiple of the orders of *all* elements $1, 2, \dots, p-1$. In other words, we found an element x_{p-1} whose order is equal to the number k_0 from Lemma 1.

Step 5. Since all elements in $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$ satisfy the equation

$$x^{k_0} = 1 \pmod{p},$$

the number k_0 cannot be smaller than $p-1$ (a polynomial of degree k_0 cannot have more than k_0 roots). On the other hand, we know that $Or(x)$ divides $p-1$ for each x . Thus, k_0 is not less than $p-1$ and not greater than $p-1$. We conclude that $k_0 = p-1$, i.e., we have got an element x_{p-1} such that $Or(x_{p-1})$ is equal to $p-1$. This means that x_0 is a generating element of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, end we are done. \square

3 The RSA scheme

3.1 Modular arithmetic once again.

For a positive integer number n we denote $\varphi(n)$ the numbers between 1 and n that are co-prime with n . For example, $\varphi(5) = 4$, $\varphi(9) = 6$, $\varphi(10) = 4$.

Proposition 4. (a) if p is a prime number, then $\varphi(p) = p - 1$.

(b) If p and q are two different prime numbers, then $\varphi(pq) = (p - 1)(q - 1) = pq - p - q + 1$.

We extend the notation from the previous section and denote by $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ the set of integer numbers from $\{1, \dots, n\}$ that are co-prime with n . The size of this set is by definition $\varphi(n)$. The set $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with the operation of multiplication modulo n is a group.

Proposition 5. For every $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ we have $x^{\varphi(n)} = 1 \pmod n$. In particular, if $p \neq q$ are two prime numbers, then $x^{(p-1)(q-1)} = 1 \pmod{p \cdot q}$.

3.2 Non symmetric cryptography

In the class started a discussion of the *asymmetric* encryption scheme RSA (suggested by Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman). In contrast with the schemes that we have discussed before, in RSA we need two *different* keys: one for encoding and another for decoding messages.

The scheme is defined as follows. Let p and q be prime numbers, $n = p \cdot q$. Let $k, d \in (\mathbb{Z}/\varphi(n)\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that $d \cdot k = 1 \pmod{\varphi(n)}$.

public key: (k, n)

secret key: (d, n)

We assume that the open and the encrypted messages are represented by integer numbers from $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

encryption: $Enc(m) = m^k \pmod n$

decryption: $Dec(e) = e^d \pmod n$

Correctness of the scheme: let us show that the operations Enc and Dec are mutually inverse, i.e., $Dec(Enc(m)) = m$ for all m co-prime with n .

$$(m^k)^d = m^{k \cdot d} = m^{1 + \ell \varphi(n)} = m \cdot (m^{\varphi(n)})^\ell = m \cdot 1^\ell \pmod n = m \pmod n.$$

If the public key is available to everyone, then everyone can encrypt a message. But only the holder of the private key can decode the encrypted message.

Observe that given p and q we can easily compute the product $n = pq$, but not vice-versa (the problem of integer factorisation is believed to be hard). The numbers p and q are needed to prepare the pair of elements d and k that are inverse to each other modulo $\varphi(n)$. When the private and the public key are fixed, the numbers p and q can be discarded. These numbers should never become made public. Indeed, given the numbers p and p , and the public key, one can effectively compute the private key.

The encoding and decoding algorithms in the scheme RSA require to compute $x^k \pmod n$ for very large numbers k and n . (In practice it is often recommended to use numbers with at least two thousands of binary digits). In the class we discussed an efficient exponentiation algorithm: we can compute $x^k \pmod n$ in time that polynomially depends on *the number of binary digits* in x, k, n .

References

- [1] J. Katz, Y. Lindell. Introduction to modern cryptography, CRC Press, 2021
- [2] B. Martin. Codage, cryptologie et applications. PPUR presses polytechniques, 2004