# Inferring large-scale heterogeneous evolutionary processes through time

Filip Bielejec

Evolutionary and Computational Virology Rega Institute Department of Microbiology and Immunology KU Leuven, Belgium. www.kuleuven.be/rega/ecv



#### BEAST/BEAGLE Implementation



#### Epoch substitution model concept



- Imagine some event in time causes a change in substitution process.
- We have a rough estimate of when the transition time has occured.
- We collect the observed data, put forward an evolutionary model for each epoch and calculate the likelihood.

#### Calculating likelihood on tree - homogenous case



- Assumptions of independence:
  - $$\begin{split} & \sum_{X_0 \in S} \sum_{X_6 \in S} \sum_{X_7 \in S} \sum_{X_8 \in S} [\pi_{x_0} \cdot p_{x_0 x_8}(t_7) \cdot p_{x_0 x_7}(t_8) \cdot p_{x_8 x_6}(t_6) \cdot p_{x_8 A}(t_3) \cdot p_{x_7 C}(t_4) \cdot p_{x_7 C}(t_5) \cdot p_{x_6 T}(t_1) \cdot p_{x_6 C}(t_2)] \end{split}$$
- Inside brackets: probability of the data TCACC for the tips and  $x_0, x_6, x_7, x_8$  for the ancestral nodes.
- Outside brackets: Integrating out the unobserved data over discrete state space S.

#### Calculating likelihood on tree - homogenous case



- Assumptions of independence:
  - $\sum_{X_0 \in SX_6 \in SX_7 \in SX_8 \in S} \sum_{\{\pi_{x_0} \cdot p_{x_0x_8}(t_7) \cdot p_{x_0x_7}(t_8) \cdot p_{x_8x_6}(t_6) \cdot p_{x_8A}(t_3) \cdot p_{x_7C}(t_4) \cdot p_{x_7C}(t_5) \cdot p_{x_6T}(t_1) \cdot p_{x_6C}(t_2)]$

#### Inside brackets:

probability of the data TCACC for the tips and  $x_0, x_6, x_7, x_8$  for the ancestral nodes.

• Outside brackets: Integrating out the unobserved data over discrete state space S.

## Felsensteins tree pruning (Felsenstein 1981)

$$L_{i}(x_{i}) = \left[\sum_{x_{j} \in S} p_{x_{i}x_{j}}(t_{j})L_{j}(x_{j})\right] \times \left[\sum_{x_{k} \in S} p_{x_{i}x_{k}}(t_{k})L_{k}(x_{k})\right]$$

- Evolutionary model quantifies transition probabilities  $p_{x_ix_j}(t_j)$ .
- Probability L<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>i</sub>) of observing data at the descendant tips of node i given state x<sub>i</sub> at node i conveniently expressed in terms of probabilities at nodes j and k.
- Requires post-order tree traversal.

#### Felsensteins tree pruning (Felsenstein 1981)

$$L_{i}(x_{i}) = \left[\sum_{x_{j} \in S} p_{x_{i}x_{j}}(t_{j})L_{j}(x_{j})\right] \times \left[\sum_{x_{k} \in S} p_{x_{i}x_{k}}(t_{k})L_{k}(x_{k})\right]$$

- Evolutionary model quantifies transition probabilities  $p_{x_ix_j}(t_j)$ .
- Probability L<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>i</sub>) of observing data at the descendant tips of node i given state x<sub>i</sub> at node i conveniently expressed in terms of probabilities at nodes j and k.
- Requires post-order tree traversal.

#### Felsensteins tree pruning (Felsenstein 1981)

$$L_{i}(x_{i}) = \left[\sum_{x_{j} \in S} p_{x_{i}x_{j}}(t_{j})L_{j}(x_{j})\right] \times \left[\sum_{x_{k} \in S} p_{x_{i}x_{k}}(t_{k})L_{k}(x_{k})\right]$$

- Evolutionary model quantifies transition probabilities  $p_{x_ix_j}(t_j)$ .
- Probability L<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>i</sub>) of observing data at the descendant tips of node i given state x<sub>i</sub> at node i conveniently expressed in terms of probabilities at nodes j and k.
- Requires post-order tree traversal.

# Time homogeneity

- Central to the recursive pruning algorithm is the specification of branch specific transition probabilities over time  $t_i$  to  $t_j$ :  $p_{x_ix_j}(t_j, t_j + t_i)$
- Time homogeneity assumption allows us to express them in terms of just the branch length:
   n (t: t: + t:) = n (0, t:) = n (t:)
- *px<sub>i</sub>x<sub>j</sub>*(*v<sub>i</sub>*, *v<sub>j</sub>* + *v<sub>i</sub>*) *px<sub>i</sub>x<sub>j</sub>*(0, *v<sub>j</sub>*) *px<sub>i</sub>x<sub>j</sub>*(*v<sub>j</sub>*)
  In the epoch model specification, if particular branch spans over a transition time *T<sub>k</sub>*, *k* ∈ {0, · · · , *M*} the homogeneity
  - assumption is violated.

# Time homogeneity

- Central to the recursive pruning algorithm is the specification of branch specific transition probabilities over time  $t_i$  to  $t_j$ :  $p_{x_ix_j}(t_j, t_j + t_i)$
- Time homogeneity assumption allows us to express them in terms of just the branch length:

 $p_{x_i x_j}(t_i, t_j + t_i) = p_{x_i x_j}(0, t_j) = p_{x_i x_j}(t_j)$ 

• In the epoch model specification, if particular branch spans over a transition time  $T_k, \ k \in \{0, \cdots, M\}$  the homogeneity assumption is violated.

## Relaxing time homogeneity



• To handle the discontinuity we numerically integrate out the unobserved state  $x_k$  at the transition time  $T_k$ :

• 
$$p_{x_i x_j}(t_i, t_j) = \sum_{x_k \in S} \overline{p}_{x_i x_k} (t_j - T_k) \cdot \widehat{p}_{x_k x_j} (T_k - t_j)$$

• Equivalent to multiplying transition matrices:  $\mathbf{P}(t_j - t_i) = \overline{\mathbf{P}}(t_j - T_k) \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}}(T_k - t_i)$ 

## Relaxing time homogeneity



• To handle the discontinuity we numerically integrate out the unobserved state  $x_k$  at the transition time  $T_k$ :

• 
$$p_{x_i x_j}(t_i, t_j) = \sum_{x_k \in S} \overline{p}_{x_i x_k} \left( t_j - T_k \right) \cdot \widehat{p}_{x_k x_j} \left( T_k - t_j \right)$$

• Equivalent to multiplying transition matrices:  $\mathbf{P}(t_j - t_i) = \overline{\mathbf{P}}(t_j - T_k) \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}}(T_k - t_i)$ 

#### Relaxing time homogeneity



• To handle the discontinuity we numerically integrate out the unobserved state  $x_k$  at the transition time  $T_k$ :

• 
$$p_{x_i x_j}(t_i, t_j) = \sum_{x_k \in S} \overline{p}_{x_i x_k} (t_j - T_k) \cdot \widehat{p}_{x_k x_j} (T_k - t_j)$$

• Equivalent to multiplying transition matrices:  $\mathbf{P}(t_j - t_i) = \overline{\mathbf{P}}(t_j - T_k) \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}}(T_k - t_i)$ 



#### BEAST/BEAGLE Implementation



#### Computational burden

- Rooted tree topology **F** with N tips, a character sequence of length L, obtaining K distinct values, site rate variation with C categories.
- Likelihood computation via pruning is  $\mathcal{O}(K^2 \times N \times C \times L)$ .
- Each matrix multiplicaton is  $\mathcal{O}(K^3)$ . Upper boundary on the number of operations is  $(2N-2) \times (S-1)$ , where S is the number of substitution processes operating on **F**.

#### Fine grain parallelism



- High performance kernel for matrix multiplication on GPU devices implemented as part of BEAGLE library (Suchard and Rambaut 2009, Ayres *et al.* 2012).
- Divide & conquer strategy each memory entry in product matrix is computed by single thread:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \mathbf{P} \right\}_{ij} = \\ & \sum_{k \leq \frac{K}{BLOCK - SIZE}} \left\{ \overline{\mathbf{P}} \right\}_{ik} \times \left\{ \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \right\}_{kj} \end{aligned}$$

#### Coarse grain parallelism



- GPUs utilize host-device programming model.
- Front end update-multiply routine implemented in BEAST (Drummond et al. 2012) collects independent operations into separate queues and sends them for asynchronous execution.
- Performance is highly dependent on the queue size.

#### Coarse grain parallelism

- Memory-speed tradeoff.
- Figure presents the GPU speed-ups induced by different choices of queue size.
- K denotes state space size, S denotes number of substitution processes.



#### Epoch substitution model concept

#### BEAST/BEAGLE Implementation



- We re-analyze within-host HIV-1 sequence data from eight patients sampled for 6 to 12 years until developing AIDS (Shankarappa *et al.* 1999).
- Prior analysis show consistent pattern of divergence stabilization at late stage infection.
- Immune relaxation: the damage in hosts immune system leads to reduced selective pressure on the virus (impacting non-synonymous rates of substitutions only).
- **Cellular exhaustion**: decreased availability of target cells in late stage infection provides less opportunity for viral replication (reducing both synonymous and non-synonymous rates of substitutions).

- We re-analyze within-host HIV-1 sequence data from eight patients sampled for 6 to 12 years until developing AIDS (Shankarappa *et al.* 1999).
- Prior analysis show consistent pattern of divergence stabilization at late stage infection.
- Immune relaxation: the damage in hosts immune system leads to reduced selective pressure on the virus (impacting non-synonymous rates of substitutions only).
- **Cellular exhaustion**: decreased availability of target cells in late stage infection provides less opportunity for viral replication (reducing both synonymous and non-synonymous rates of substitutions).

- We re-analyze within-host HIV-1 sequence data from eight patients sampled for 6 to 12 years until developing AIDS (Shankarappa *et al.* 1999).
- Prior analysis show consistent pattern of divergence stabilization at late stage infection.
- Immune relaxation: the damage in hosts immune system leads to reduced selective pressure on the virus (impacting non-synonymous rates of substitutions only).
- **Cellular exhaustion**: decreased availability of target cells in late stage infection provides less opportunity for viral replication (reducing both synonymous and non-synonymous rates of substitutions).



- Two-epoch discretization with separate GY94 (Goldman and Yang 1994) codon substitution models.
- Parameters of interest:  $\omega_1$ (dN/dS) before progression time  $T_1$ ) and  $\omega_2$  (dN/dS) after progression).
- HPD intervals show a general decrease in parameter estimates after progression time.



- Two-epoch discretization with separate GY94 (Goldman and Yang 1994) codon substitution models.
- Parameters of interest:  $\omega_1$ (dN/dS before progression time  $T_1$ ) and  $\omega_2$  (dN/dS after progression).
- HPD intervals show a general decrease in parameter estimates after progression time.



- Two-epoch discretization with separate GY94 (Goldman and Yang 1994) codon substitution models.
- Parameters of interest:  $\omega_1$ (dN/dS before progression time  $T_1$ ) and  $\omega_2$  (dN/dS after progression).
- HPD intervals show a general decrease in parameter estimates after progression time.

Patient	log Bayes factor
patient 1	7.418
patient 2	9.602
patient 3	2.174
patient 5	-0.282
patient 6	9.21
patient 7	8.112
patient 8	2.627
patient 9	2.142
Joint evidence:	2.14

- Bayes factor tests for  $I(\omega_2 < \omega_1) (dn/ds \text{ after})$ progression time < dn/dsbefore progression time).
- Strong evidence for patients 1, 2, 6 and 7.
- Joint Bayes factor test for posterior odds over the prior odds that  $\omega_1 < \omega_2$  supports the immune relaxation hypothesis.

Patient	log Bayes factor
patient 1	7.418
patient 2	9.602
patient 3	2.174
patient 5	-0.282
patient 6	9.21
patient 7	8.112
patient 8	2.627
patient 9	2.142
Joint evidence:	2.14

- Bayes factor tests for  $I(\omega_2 < \omega_1) (dn/ds \text{ after})$ progression time < dn/dsbefore progression time).
- Strong evidence for patients 1, 2, 6 and 7.
- Joint Bayes factor test for posterior odds over the prior odds that ω<sub>1</sub> < ω<sub>2</sub> supports the immune relaxation hypothesis.

# Seasonal circulation of Influenza A H3N2

- Influenza A H3N2 sequences sampled between 2003 to 2006 from Australia, Europe, Japan, USA, New Zealand, Southeast Asia and Hong Kong (Bahl *et al.* 2011).
- Data at the N tips of topology  $\mathbf{F}$  consisting of character sequence data  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_N)$  and spatial locations  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, ..., Y_N)$  is generated by independent stochastic processes (Lemey *et al.* 2009).

• 
$$P(\mathbf{F}, Q, \phi | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \propto$$

$$\underbrace{P(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{F})}_{Likelihood} \cdot \underbrace{P(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{F})}_{Likelihood} \cdot \underbrace{P(\mathbf{F})}_{prior} \cdot \underbrace{P(Q)}_{substitution} \cdot \underbrace{P(\phi)}_{prior}$$

# Seasonal circulation of Influenza A H3N2

- Influenza A H3N2 sequences sampled between 2003 to 2006 from Australia, Europe, Japan, USA, New Zealand, Southeast Asia and Hong Kong (Bahl *et al.* 2011).
- Data at the N tips of topology  $\mathbf{F}$  consisting of character sequence data  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_N)$  and spatial locations  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, ..., Y_N)$  is generated by independent stochastic processes (Lemey *et al.* 2009).



# Seasonal circulation of Influenza A H3N2

- Influenza A H3N2 sequences sampled between 2003 to 2006 from Australia, Europe, Japan, USA, New Zealand, Southeast Asia and Hong Kong (Bahl *et al.* 2011).
- Data at the N tips of topology  $\mathbf{F}$  consisting of character sequence data  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_N)$  and spatial locations  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, ..., Y_N)$  is generated by independent stochastic processes (Lemey *et al.* 2009).

• 
$$P(\mathbf{F}, Q, \phi | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \propto$$



- We extend this discrete phylogeographic approach by specifying epochs alternating between spring and summer to autumn and winter on the northern hemisphere.
- Parameters of the discrete diffusion processes are shared among spring summer as well as autumn - winter epochs, effectively creating two separate rate matrices.
- This is schematically represented in the figure.



- We extend this discrete phylogeographic approach by specifying epochs alternating between spring and summer to autumn and winter on the northern hemisphere.
- Parameters of the discrete diffusion processes are shared among spring summer as well as autumn - winter epochs, effectively creating two separate rate matrices.
- This is schematically represented in the figure.



- We extend this discrete phylogeographic approach by specifying epochs alternating between spring and summer to autumn and winter on the northern hemisphere.
- Parameters of the discrete diffusion processes are shared among spring summer as well as autumn - winter epochs, effectively creating two separate rate matrices.
- This is schematically represented in the figure.







- Bayesian stochastic search variable selection (BSSVS, Lemey *et al.* 2009) procedure is used to identify best supported subset of diffusion rates within each epoch.
- Figure on the left presents rates yielding a Bayes factor
   20 for the spring - summer and autumn - winter epochs respectively.
- Findings suggest seasonal dynamics, with spring and summer diffusion mirroring autumn and winter.





- Bayesian stochastic search variable selection (BSSVS, Lemey *et al.* 2009) procedure is used to identify best supported subset of diffusion rates within each epoch.
- Figure on the left presents rates yielding a Bayes factor
   20 for the spring - summer and autumn - winter epochs respectively.
- Findings suggest seasonal dynamics, with spring and summer diffusion mirroring autumn and winter.





- Bayesian stochastic search variable selection (BSSVS, Lemey *et al.* 2009) procedure is used to identify best supported subset of diffusion rates within each epoch.
- Figure on the left presents rates yielding a Bayes factor
   20 for the spring - summer and autumn - winter epochs respectively.
- Findings suggest seasonal dynamics, with spring and summer diffusion mirroring autumn and winter.

- Evolutionary inference approach relaxing the standard time-homogeneity assumption.
- Applicable to any discrete data type.
- Implemented in BEAST/BEAGLE Bayesian phylogenetic framework.
- TODO: Estimate transition times, model parameter change as a continuous function of time, further stretch computational limits

# Software availability

- BEAST (Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis by Sampling Trees) source code is freely available at http://code.google.com/p/beast-mcmc/ under the terms of GNU LGPL license.
- BEAGLE (Broad-platform Evolutionary Analysis General Likelihood Evaluator) library is free, open-source software licensed under the GNU LGPL. Both the source code and binary installers are available from www.code.google.com/p/beagle-lib/.
- SPREAD (Spatial Phylogenetic Reconstruction of Evolutionary Dynamics) visualisation software is licensed under the GNU Lesser GPL, and its source code is freely available at

https://github.com/phylogeography/SPREAD. Compiled, runnable packages are hosted at

http://www.phylogeography.org/SPREAD.html.

#### Thanks to...



#### **European Research Council**



#### Philippe Lemey

Department of Microbiology and

Immunology, Rega Institute, KU Leuven,

Leuven, Belgium

Guy Baele

Department of Microbiology and

Immunology, Rega Institute, KU Leuven,

Leuven, Belgium

Andrew Rambaut

Institute of Evolutionary Biology,

University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh,

United Kingdom

Marc Suchard

Department of Biomathematics,

University of California, Los Angeles,

USA