On module-composed graphs

Frank Gurski

Egon Wanke



Motivation

Well known graph classes defined by special vertex orderings / elimination orderings:

• chordal graphs



The vertex ordering v_1, \ldots, v_n is denoted as perfect elimination ordering for G.

- \bullet *k*-trees
- distance hereditary graphs
- co-graphs

We introduce a new graph class defined by the existence of an elimination ordering:

module-composed graphs



The vertex ordering v_1, \ldots, v_n is denoted as module-sequence for G.

- 1. If graph G is module-composed, then every induced subgraph of G is module-composed. (We can remove an arbitrary subset $S \subseteq V$ from a module-sequence and obtain a module-sequence for graph G[V-S].)
- 2. Given two module-composed graphs G_1, G_2 , the disjoint union $G_1 \cup G_2$ is module-composed. (The concatenation of two module-sequences for G_1 and G_2 leads a module-sequence for $G_1 \cup G_2$.)
- 3. Given a module-composed graph G, the addition of a dominating vertex v leads a module-composed graph.

(Since the whole vertex set of G is a trivial module, we can extend a module-sequence for G by v.)

4. Given a module-composed graph G, the addition of a pendant vertex v leads a module-composed graph.

(Since every single vertex of G is a trivial module, we can extend a module-sequence for G by v.)

Basic Properties II

- 5. Graph G = (V, E) is a module-composed graph, if and only if there exists at least one $v \in V$ such that N(v) is a module in graph G v and for every such vertex v graph G v is a module-composed graph.
 - (\Rightarrow Let v be the last vertex in a module-sequence for G, then by definition N(v) is a module in graph G v. By (1.) for every $v \in V$ induced subgraph G - v is a module-composed graph.
 - \Leftarrow Since G v is a module-composed graph there is some module-sequence for graph G v and since N(v) is a module in graph G v, we can extend this sequence by v for a module-sequence for G.)
- 6. Module-composed graphs do not contain one of the following graphs as an induced subgraph:



(None of these graphs G contains a vertex v such that N(v) is a module in graph G - v.)



<u>Theorem</u> Given a graph G = (V, E), one can decide in time $\mathcal{O}(|V| \cdot (|V| + |E|))$ whether G is module-composed, and in the case of a positive answer, construct a module-sequence for G.

Proof (Sketch)

(1) for i = 1 to |V| do

- (2) construct a modular decomposition T_G for G;
- (3) using T_G find a vertex v by a case distinction, such that N(v) is a module in G v;
- $(4) \qquad G = G v;$
- (5) return module-sequence or the answer NO

```
Correctness: basic property (5.)
```

Running time:

(2) there exist $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$ algorithms for constructing a modular decomposition

(3) if N(v) is a module in G - v, then v is either a child of the root of T_G or v is a special grandchild of the root of T_G and can be found in time $\mathcal{O}(|V|)$

<u>Problem</u> Given a modular decomposition for G, can we find a modular decomposition for G - v in time less than $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$, e.g. in $\mathcal{O}(|V|)$?

Since module-composed graphs are HHD-free, we know by a result of Jamison and Olariu 1988.

<u>Theorem</u> For every module-composed graph which is given together with a module-sequence the size of a largest independent set, the size of a largest clique, the chromatic number and the minimum number of cliques covering the graph can be computed in linear time.

<u>Remark</u> The set of all module-composed graphs has unbounded tree-width.

(Every complete graph is module-composed.)

<u>Remark</u> The set of all module-composed graphs has unbounded clique-width.

(Every graph which can be constructed from a single vertex by a sequence of one vertex extentions by a dominating vertex or a pendant vertex is module-composed. But the set of all such defined graphs has unbounded clique-width [Rao 2008].)

We additionally introduce a restricted version of module-composed graphs:

independent module-composed graphs



The vertex ordering v_1, \ldots, v_n is denoted as independent module-sequence for G.

<u>Theorem</u> Let G be some graph. The following conditions are equivalent.

- 1. G is independent module-composed.
- 2. G is bipartite module-composed.
- 3. G is bipartite distance hereditary.
- 4. ${\cal G}$ is domino, hole, and odd-cycle-free.
- 5. G can be generated by a pruning sequence without true twins.

Proof (Sketch)

- $(3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \text{ well known results}$
- $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ For bipartite graphs the neighbourhood of every vertex is an independent set.
- $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ Domino, hole, and odd-cycles are not independent module-composed graphs.
- $(5) \Rightarrow (2)$ A pruning sequence without true twins can be transformed into a module-sequence by moving false twins directly after its pair vertex.

Graph class inclusions II



<u>Theorem</u> Given a graph G = (V, E) one can decide in time O(|V| + |E|) whether G is an independent module-composed graph and in the case of a positive answer, construct an independent module-sequence.

Proof (Sketch)

decision

- by the given characterization for independent module-composed graphs
- by a BFS (Breadth First Search)

construction of a sequence

- a pruning sequence without true twins can be transformed into an independent module-sequence
- a BFS ordering can be transformed into an independent module-sequence
- a reverse Lex-BFS (Lexicographic Breadth First Search) ordering is even an independent module-sequence

Thank you for your attention!