

---

**Tutorial 03 – Respect the hierarchy!**


---

**Exercise 1.**

1. Prove that  $\text{coP} = \text{P}$ .
2. Prove that  $L \in \text{coNP}$  iff there exists a polynomial  $P$  and a deterministic TM  $M$  working in polynomial time s.t.

$$x \in L \iff \forall u \in \{0,1\}^{P(|x|)}, M(x,u) = 1.$$

3. Prove that if there exists a NP language which is coNP-hard, then  $\text{NP} = \text{coNP}$ .
4. Prove that a language  $L$  is NP-complete iff  $\bar{L}$  is coNP-complete.

**Exercise 2.**

1. Prove that  $\text{DTIME}(2^{n+k}) = \text{DTIME}(2^{n+l})$  for all  $l > k > 0$ .
2. Prove that  $\text{DTIME}(2^{n^k}) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(2^{n^l})$  for all  $l > k > 0$ .

**Exercise 3.***The H in Ladner*

In the proof of Ladner's theorem, the  $H$ -function is defined as follows:  $H(n)$  is the smallest integer  $i < \log \log n$  s.t. for all  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$  with  $|x| \leq \log n$ , the TM  $M$  with code  $i$  decides whether  $x \in \text{SAT}_H$  within  $i|x|^i$  steps, or  $\log \log n$  if no such  $i$  exists. The language  $\text{SAT}_H$  is defined by  $\{\psi 01^{n^{H(n)}} : \psi \in \text{SAT} \text{ and } |\psi| = n\}$ .

 Prove that  $H$  is polynomial-time (in  $n$ ) computable.

**\* Exercise 4.***Mahaney's theorem (1982)*

**Definition.** A language  $L$  is said sparse if there exists a polynomial  $p$  s.t., for all  $n$ ,  $L \cap \Sigma^n$  has cardinality at most  $p(n)$ .

1. Let  $L$  be a sparse language. What can you say about the cardinality of  $L \cap \Sigma^{\leq n}$ ?

We will show that if there exists a sparse NP-hard language  $L$ , then  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$ . Let  $L$  be such a language, and let  $X$  be in NP:

$$x \in X \text{ iff } \exists w \in \Sigma^{p(|x|)}, \langle x, w \rangle \in A$$

with  $p$  a polynomial and  $A \in \text{P}$ . The aim is to prove that  $X$  is polynomial-time decidable. Let  $G(A) = \{\langle x, w \rangle : \exists y \in \Sigma^{p(|x|)}, y \geq w \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle \in A\}$ .

2. Prove that  $G(A)$  is in NP.

- Using a reduction from  $G(A)$  to  $L$ , prove that  $X$  is polynomial-time decidable. **Hint.** One can find a polynomial-time algorithm which, on input  $x$ , find the longest  $w$  such that  $\langle x, w \rangle \in A$  if it exists.

**\*\* Exercise 5.**

*Nondeterministic Time Hierarchy Theorem (Cook 1972)*

**Theorem.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two time-constructible functions s.t.  $f(n+1) = o(g(n))$ . Then

$$\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subsetneq \text{NTIME}(g(n)).$$

In the sequel, suppose that  $f(n+1) = o(g(n))$ . We will prove this theorem.

- Remind the idea behind the proof of the Deterministic Time Hierarchy Theorem, and explain why this proof cannot be adapted here.
- Explain how effectively enumerate the NDTM working in time  $O(f(n))$ .

We will use a *lazy diagonalization*. Habitually, to diagonalize, one tries to “eliminate” the machine  $M_i$  on input  $i$ . In this lazy version, one tries to eliminate  $M_i$  not on a precise input, but on one of the inputs of a set  $I_i$ .

To each machine  $M_i$  in the previous enumeration is associated a tally set  $I_i = \{1^k : \alpha_i \leq \beta_i\}$  where  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  have to be defined later. Let  $N$  the following NDTM: on input  $x$ ,  $N$  finds  $i$  s.t.  $x \in I_i$ , then

- If  $x \in I_i \setminus \{1^{\beta_i}\}$ ,  $N$  emulates  $M_i(x \cdot 1)$  in a nondeterministic way, stopping within  $g(|x|)$  steps, and accepts iff  $M_i$  stopped and accepted within this time;
- If  $x = 1^{\beta_i}$ ,  $N$  emulates  $M_i(1^{\alpha_i})$  **in a deterministic way**, and answers the contrary of  $M_i$ .
- How to choose  $\alpha_i$  et  $\beta_i$  so that  $L(N) \in \text{NTIME}(g(n))$ ? **Hint.** Find  $i$  s.t.  $x \in I_i$  has to be *fast enough* and step **(b)** as well.
- Suppose that  $L(N) \in \text{NTIME}(f(n))$ , through a NDTM  $M$ . Prive that there exists  $i$  s.t.  $M = M_i$  and s.t. at step **(a)**,  $M_i$  is always emulated until it stops.
- Conclude.