

On ternary Dejean words avoiding 010

Pascal Ochem

LIRMM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS
Montpellier, France
ochem@lirmm.fr

Received: DD Month XXXX

Revised: DD Month XXXX

Accepted: DD Month XXXX

Online First: DD Month XXXX

Abstract: Thue has shown the existence of three types of infinite square-free words over $\{0, 1, 2\}$ avoiding the factor 010. They respectively avoid $\{010, 212\}$, $\{010, 101\}$, and $\{010, 020\}$. Also Dejean constructed an infinite $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)$ -free ternary word. A word is d -directed if it does not contain both a factor of length d and its mirror image. We show that there exist exponentially many $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)$ -free 180-directed ternary words avoiding 010. Moreover, there does not exist an infinite $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)$ -free 179-directed ternary word avoiding 010.

Keywords: Word, Repetitions.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 68R15.

1 Introduction

This note is about words avoiding repetitions, a well-studied area in combinatorics on words [5,6]. A *repetition* is a factor of the form $r = u^n v$ where u is non-empty and v is a prefix of u . Then $|u|$ is the *period* of the repetition r and its *exponent* is $|r|/|u|$. A word is α^+ -free (resp. α -free) if it contains no repetition with exponent β such that $\beta > \alpha$ (resp. $\beta \geq \alpha$).

We consider ternary square-free words (i.e., 2-free words) with additional avoidance constraints. Thue [7] has shown that there exist infinite square-free words avoiding the factors $\{010, 212\}$,



$\{010, 101\}$, and $\{010, 020\}$ respectively. In each case, the avoiding word is essentially unique. Consider for example the fixed point of the morphism $0 \mapsto 012, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 1$, which is a famous ternary word named b_3 in [1]. Then b_3 avoids squares and $\{010, 212\}$, but for every finite factor f of b_3 , there exist only finitely many ternary words avoiding squares and $\{010, 212, f\}$. See also [1] for the construction of the other two morphic words. Thus the language L of (finite or infinite) ternary square-free words avoiding 010 is worth considering since it contains these three interesting words.

To refine square-freeness, Dejean [3] introduced the notion of *repetition threshold* $RT(n)$, which is the least real α such that there exists an infinite word over the n -letter alphabet that is α^+ -free. She proved that $RT(3) = \frac{7}{4}$ by exhibiting a 19-uniform morphism whose fixed point is $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^+$ -free. Our main result shows that both constraints, avoiding 010 and being $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^+$ -free, can be satisfied simultaneously. Moreover, the language L' of ternary $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^+$ -free avoiding 010 still contains exponentially many words. Notice that L' is also closed under reversal. However, there exist infinite words $w \in L'$ such that the factor set of w is not closed under reversal, as opposed to the three cases studied by Thue. A quantitative notion of ‘‘factor set not closed under reversal’’ is defined in [2] as follows. A word w is *d-directed* if for every factor f of w of length d , the reversed word f^R is not a factor of w .

Theorem 1.1.

- *There exist exponentially many $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^+$ -free 180-directed ternary words avoiding 010 .*
- *There does not exist an infinite $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^+$ -free 179-directed ternary word avoiding 010 .*

2 Proof

A morphism is *q-uniform* if the image of every letter has length q . Also, a q -uniform morphism $h : \Sigma_s^* \rightarrow \Sigma_e^*$ is *synchronizing* if for any $a, b, c \in \Sigma_s$ and $v, w \in \Sigma_e^*$, if $h(ab) = vh(c)w$, then either $v = \varepsilon$ and $a = c$ or $w = \varepsilon$ and $b = c$. We will need the following result, which corresponds to the case $n = 1$ of the main lemma in [4].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, $1 < \alpha < \beta < 2$. Let $h : \Sigma_s^* \rightarrow \Sigma_e^*$ be a synchronizing q -uniform morphism (with $q \geq 1$). If $h(w)$ is β^+ -free for every α^+ -free word w such that $|w| < \max\left(\frac{2\beta}{\beta-\alpha}, \frac{2(q-1)(2\beta-1)}{q(\beta-1)}\right)$, then $h(t)$ is β^+ -free for every (finite or infinite) α^+ -free word t .*

Let us prove the first part of Theorem 1.1. We use lemma 2.1 to show that the image of every $\left(\frac{7}{5}\right)^+$ -free word over Σ_4 by the following 1557-uniform morphism is $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^+$ -free. With $\alpha = \frac{7}{5}$ and $\beta = \frac{7}{4}$, we get $\frac{2\beta}{\beta-\alpha} = 10$, so we need to check the $\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^+$ -freeness of the image of every

- [2] G. Badkobeh, T. Harju, P. Ochem, and M. Rosenfeld. Avoiding square-free words on free groups. *Theoretical Computer Science* **922** (2022), 206–217.
- [3] F. Dejean. Sur un théorème de Thue, *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A*, 13:90–99, 1972.
- [4] P. Ochem. A generator of morphisms for infinite words. *RAIRO - Theoret. Informatics Appl.*, 40:427–441, 2006.
- [5] P. Ochem, M. Rao, and M. Rosenfeld. Avoiding or limiting regularities in words. *Sequences, Groups, and Number Theory (Trends in Mathematics)*, 177–212, 2018.
- [6] N. Rampersad and J. Shallit. Repetitions in words. *Combinatorics, Words and Symbolic Dynamics (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications)*, 101–150, 2012.
- [7] A. Thue. Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. *Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl.* **1** (1912), 1–67. Reprinted in *Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue*, T. Nagell, editor, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, (1977), 413–478.