# Repetition avoidance in products of factors

Pamela Fleischmann\* Pascal Ochem<sup>†</sup> Kamellia Reshadi<sup>‡</sup>

#### Abstract

We consider a variation on a classical avoidance problem from combinatorics on words that has been introduced by Mousavi and Shallit at DLT 2013. Let  $\mathsf{pexp}_i(w)$  be the supremum of the exponent over the products (concatenation) of i factors of the word w. The repetition threshold  $\mathrm{RT}_i(k)$  is then the infimum of  $\mathsf{pexp}_i(w)$  over all words  $w \in \Sigma_k^\omega$ . Mousavi and Shallit obtained that  $\mathrm{RT}_i(2) = 2i$  and  $\mathrm{RT}_2(3) = \frac{13}{4}$ . We show that  $\mathrm{RT}_i(3) = \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$  if i is even and  $\mathrm{RT}_i(3) = \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{6}$  if i is odd and  $i \geqslant 3$ .

**Keywords:** Words; Repetition avoidance.

**Acknowledgements**: This work was partially supported by the ANR project CoCoGro (ANR-16-CE40-0005).

### 1 Introduction

A repetition in a word w is a pair of words p and e such that pe is a factor of w, p is non-empty, and e is a prefix of pe. If pe is a repetition, then its period is |p| and its exponent is  $\frac{|pe|}{|p|}$ . A word is  $\alpha^+$ -free (resp.  $\alpha$ -free) if it contains no repetition with exponent  $\beta$  such that  $\beta > \alpha$  (resp.  $\beta \geqslant \alpha$ ).

Given  $k \geq 2$ , Dejean [2] defined the repetition threshold RT(k) for k letters as the smallest  $\alpha$  such that there exists an infinite  $\alpha^+$ -free word over a k-letter alphabet  $\Sigma_k = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ . Dejean initiated the study of RT(k) in 1972 for k = 2 and k = 3. Her work was followed by a series of papers which determine the exact value of RT(k) for any  $k \geq 2$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Kiel University, Germany. fpa@informatik.uni-kiel.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>LIRMM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France. ochem@lirmm.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Kiel University, Germany. kre@informatik.uni-kiel.de

- RT(2) = 2[2];
- $RT(3) = \frac{7}{4}[2];$
- $RT(4) = \frac{7}{5}[7];$
- $RT(k) = \frac{k}{k-1}$ , for  $k \ge 5$  [1, 4, 8].

Mousavi and Shallit [5] have considered two notions related to the repetition threshold.

The first notion considers repetitions in conjugates of factors of the infinite word. A word is circularly  $r^+$ -free if it does not contain a factor pxs such that sp is a repetition of exponent strictly greater than r. The smallest real number r such that w is circularly  $r^+$ -free is denoted by cexp(w). Let RTC(k) be the minimum of cexp(w) over every  $w \in \Sigma_k^\omega$ .

The second notion considers repetitions in concatenations of a fixed number of factors of the infinite word. Let  $\mathsf{pexp}_i(w)$  be the smallest real number r such that every product of i factors of w is  $r^+$ -free. Let  $\mathsf{RT}_i(k)$  be the minimum of  $\mathsf{pexp}_i(w)$  over every  $w \in \Sigma_k^\omega$ . Notice that  $\mathsf{RT}_i(k)$  generalizes the classical notion of repetition threshold which corresponds to the case i = 1, that is,  $\mathsf{RT}_1(k) = \mathsf{RT}(k)$  for every  $k \geq 2$ .

For the case i = 2, Mousavi and Shallit obtained the following.

**Proposition 1.** [5] If w is a recurrent infinite word, then  $pexp_2(w) = cexp(w)$ .

Notice that the language of circularly  $r^+$ -free words in  $\Sigma_k^*$  is a factorial language. As it is well-known [3], if a factorial language is infinite, then it contains a uniformly recurrent word. Thus, RTC(k) can be equivalently defined as the minimum of cexp(w) over every uniformly recurrent word  $w \in \Sigma_k^{\omega}$ . Then Proposition 1 implies the following result.

**Proposition 2.** For every  $k \ge 2$ ,  $RT_2(k) = RTC(k)$ .

Mousavi and Shallit [5] have considered the binary alphabet and obtained that  $RT_i(2) = 2i$  for every  $i \ge 1$ . Our main result considers the ternary alphabet and gives the value of  $RT_i(3)$  for every  $i \ge 1$ . This extends the result of Dejean [2] that  $RT_1(3) = \frac{7}{4}$  and the result of Mousavi and Shallit [5] that  $RT_2(3) = \frac{13}{4}$ .

#### Theorem 3.

- $RT_i(3) = \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$  if i = 1 or i is even.
- $RT_i(3) = \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{6}$  if i is odd and  $i \ge 3$ .

## 2 Proofs

To obtain the two equalities of Theorem 3, we show the two lower bounds and then the two upper bounds.

Proof of  $RT_i(3) \ge \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$  for every even i.

Mousavi and Shallit [5] have proved that  $RT_2(3) = \frac{13}{4}$ , which settles the case i = 2. We have double checked their computation of the lower bound  $RT_2(3) \geqslant \frac{13}{4}$ . Suppose that i is a fixed even integer and that  $w_3$  is an infinite ternary word. The lower bound for i = 2 implies that there exists two factors u and v such that  $uv = t^e$  with  $e \geqslant \frac{13}{4}$ . Thus, the prefix  $t^3$  of uv is also a product of two factors of  $w_3$ . So we can form the i-terms product  $(t^3)^{i/2-1}uv$  which is a repetition of the form  $t^x$  with exponent  $x = 3(\frac{i}{2} - 1) + e \geqslant 3(\frac{i}{2} - 1) + \frac{13}{4} = \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ . This is the desired lower bound.

Proof of  $RT_i(3) \geqslant \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{6}$  for every odd  $i \geqslant 3$ .

Suppose that  $i \ge 3$  is a fixed odd integer, that is, i = 2j + 1. Suppose that  $w_3$  is a recurrent ternary word such that the product of i factors of  $w_3$  is never a repetition of exponent at least  $\frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = 3j + \frac{5}{3}$ . First,  $w_3$  is square-free since otherwise there would exist an i-terms product of exponent 2i. Also,  $w_3$  does not contain two factors u and v with the following properties:

- $\bullet \ uv = t^3,$
- $u = t^e$  with  $e \geqslant \frac{5}{3}$ .

Indeed, this would produce the *i*-terms product  $(uv)^j u$  which is a repetition of the form  $t^x$  with exponent  $x = 3j + e \ge 3j + \frac{5}{3}$ .

So if a, b, and c are distinct letters, then  $w_3$  does not contain both u = abcab and v = cabc and  $w_3$  does not contain both u = abcbabc and v = babcb. A computer check shows that no infinite ternary square-free word satisfies this property. This proves the desired lower bound.

Proof of  $RT_i(3) \leq \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$  for every even i.

Let i be any even integer at least 2. To prove this upper bound, it is sufficient

to construct a ternary word w satisfying  $\operatorname{pexp}_i(w) \leqslant \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ . The ternary morphic word used in [5] to obtain  $\operatorname{RT}_2(3) \leqslant \frac{13}{4}$  seems to satisfy the property. However, it is easier for us to consider another construction. Let us show that the image of every  $7/5^+$ -free word over  $\Sigma_4$  by the following 45-uniform morphism satisfies  $\operatorname{pexp}_i \leqslant \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ .

- $0 \mapsto 010201210212021012102010212012101202101210212$
- $1 \mapsto 010201210212012101202101210201021202101210212$
- $2 \mapsto 010201210120212012102120210121021201210120212$
- $3 \mapsto 010201210120210121021201210120212012102010212$

Recall that a word is  $(\beta^+, n)$ -free if it does not contain a repetition with period at least n and exponent strictly greater than  $\beta$ . First, we check that such ternary images are  $\left(\frac{202}{135}^+, 36\right)$ -free using the method in [6]. By Lemma 2.1 in [6], it is sufficient to check this freeness property for the image of every  $7/5^+$ -free word over  $\Sigma_4$  of length smaller than  $\frac{2\times\frac{202}{135}}{\frac{202}{135}-\frac{7}{5}} < 32$ . Since  $\frac{202}{135} < \frac{3}{2}$ , the period of every repetition formed from i pieces and with exponent at least  $\frac{3i}{2}$  must be at most 35. Then we check exhaustively by computer that the ternary images do not contain two factors u and v such that

- $uv = t^e$ ,
- e > 3,
- $9 \leqslant |t| \leqslant 35$ .

Thus, the period of every repetition formed from i pieces and with exponent strictly greater than  $\frac{3i}{2}$  must be at most 8. So we only need to check that  $\operatorname{pexp}_i \leqslant \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$  for i-terms products that are repetitions of period at most 8.

Now the period is bounded, but i can still be arbitrarily large, a priori. For every factor t of length at most 8, we define  $\mathsf{pexp}_{i,t}$  as the length of a largest factor of  $t^\omega$  that is a i-terms product, divided by |t|. We actually consider conjugacy classes, since if t' is a conjugate of t, then  $\mathsf{pexp}_{i,t'} = \mathsf{pexp}_{i,t}$ . Let t be such a factor. If, for some even j, we have  $\mathsf{pexp}_{j+2,t} = \mathsf{pexp}_{j,t} + 3$ , then it means that by appending a 2-terms product to a j-terms product that corresponds to a maximum factor of  $t^\omega$ , that can only add a cube of period |t|. This implies that for every k,  $\mathsf{pexp}_{j+2k,t} = \mathsf{pexp}_{j,t} + 3k$ .

We have checked by computer that for every conjugacy class of words t of length at most 8, there exists a (small) even j such that  $\mathsf{pexp}_{j+2,t} = \mathsf{pexp}_{j,t} + 3$ . Thus we have  $\mathsf{pexp}_i \leqslant \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$  in all cases.

Proof of  $\mathrm{RT}_i(3) \leqslant \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{6}$  for every odd  $i \geqslant 3$ . Let us show that the image of every  $7/5^+$ -free word over  $\Sigma_4$  by the following 514-uniform morphism satisfies  $\mathrm{pexp}_i \leqslant \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{6}$  for every odd  $i \geqslant 3$ .

First, we check that such ternary images are  $\left(\frac{3}{2}^+, 45\right)$ -free using the method in [6]. By Lemma 2.1 in [6], it is sufficient to check this freeness property for the image of every  $7/5^+$ -free word over  $\Sigma_4$  of length smaller than  $\frac{2 \times \frac{3}{2}}{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{7}{5}} = 30$ . Thus, the period of every repetition formed from i pieces and with exponent strictly greater than  $\frac{3i}{2}$  must be at most 44. Using the same argument as in the previous proof, we have checked by computer that for every conjugacy class of words t of length at most 44, there exists a (small) odd j such that  $pexp_{j+2,t} = pexp_{j,t} + 3$ . Thus we have  $pexp_i \leq \frac{3i}{2} + \frac{1}{6}$  in all cases.

Let us describe how the morphisms above were found. For increasing k, we try to find a k-uniform morphism m by looking for a ternary square-free word w of length 4k (with the suitable  $pexp_i(w)$  properties) that corresponds to m(0123). We use the following optimizations to speed up the backtracking.

- We force m(0) > m(1) > m(2) > m(3) with respect to the lexicographic order.
- we use early tests:
  - if we have a candidate for m(01), then we also test m(10);
  - if we have a candidate for m(012), then we also test every word m(abca) such that  $\{a, b, c\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$

The general idea of the method is that large occurrences of the forbidden structures are ruled out thanks to an argument about the exponent of the repetitions induced by these structures. Then the small occurrences are ruled out by an exhaustive inspection of the factors of the word of some finite length.

# 3 Concluding remarks

The next step would be to consider the 4-letter alphabet. Obviously,  $RT_{i+1}(k) \ge RT_i(k) + 1$  for every  $i \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 2$ . Mousavi and Shallit [5] verified that  $RT_2(4) \ge \frac{5}{2}$ , so that  $RT_i(4) \ge i + \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $i \ge 2$ . We conjecture that this is best possible, i.e., that  $RT_i(4) = i + \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $i \ge 2$ . However, a proof of an upper bound of the form  $RT_i(4) \le i + c$  cannot be similar to the proof of the upper bounds of Theorem 3. The multiplicative factor of i, which drops from  $\frac{3}{2}$  when k = 3 to 1 when k = 4, forbids that the constructed word is the morphic image of any (unspecified) Dejean word over a given alphabet.

Proving some of the conjectured values of  $RT_i$  would lead to stronger versions of the classical repetition threshold: every witness of  $RT_i(k) = RT(k) + i - 1$  is a Dejean word with severe restrictions on the types of repetitions that are allowed to appear.

### References

- [1] A. Carpi. On Dejean's conjecture over large alphabets. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 385(1–3):137–151, 2007.
- [2] F. Dejean. Sur un théorème de Thue, J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A, 13:90–99, 1972.
- [3] Pytheas Fogg. Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics. Springer Science & Business Media, 2002.
- [4] J. Moulin Ollagnier. Proof of Dejean's conjecture for alphabets with 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 letters. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 95(2):187–205, 1992.
- [5] H. Mousavi and J. Shallit. Repetition avoidance in circular factors. *Developments in Language Theory 2013*, 384–395.
- [6] P. Ochem. A generator of morphisms for infinite words. RAIRO Theor. Inform. Appl., 40:427–441, 2006.
- [7] J.-J. Pansiot. A propos d'une conjecture de F. Dejean sur les répétitions dans les mots. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 7(3):297–311, 1984.
- [8] M. Rao. Last cases of Dejean's conjecture. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 412(27):3010–3018, 2011.

[9] A. Thue. Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiania, 7:1–22, 1906.