

Octobre 17th.

## 1 Kolmogorov complexity: the definition and basic properties

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $U : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  be a (partial) computable function. Then

$$K_U(x) := \begin{cases} \min\{|p| : U(p) = x\}, \\ \infty, & \text{if there is no such } p. \end{cases}$$

*Remark:* In this context the function  $U$  is called a *decompressor*.

**Definition 1.2.** A computable function  $U$  is a **better decompressor** than  $V$  if there exists a constant  $c$  such that for all  $x$

$$K_U(x) \leq K_V(x) + c.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** There exists a partial computable function  $U$  that is a better decompressor than any other  $V$ . (Such a function  $U$  is called an **optimal decompressor**.)

*Remark:* There exist infinitely many optimal decompressors. If  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are both optimal, then there exists a constant  $c$  such that for all binary strings  $x$

$$|K_{U_1}(x) - K_{U_2}(x)| \leq c.$$

We fix once and for all some optimal decompressor  $U_0$ . In what follows we omit the subscript and denote  $K(x) := K_{U_0}(x)$ . The value of  $K(x)$  is called *Kolmogorov complexity* of  $x$ .

Some simple properties of Kolmogorov complexity:

- $K(x) < \infty$  for all  $x$ ;
- $K(x) \leq |x| + O(1)$ ;
- $K(xx) \leq K(x) + O(1)$ ;
- $K(f(x)) \leq K(x) + O(1)$  for every computable  $f$ ;
- for every integer  $n > 0$  there exists a binary string  $x$  of length  $n$  such that  $K(x) \geq n$  (an *incompressible* string);
- there exists a constant  $c$  such that for every integer  $n > 0$  at least 99% of strings  $x$  of length  $n$

$$n - c \leq K(x) \leq n + c.$$

**Proposition 1.1.** There exists no algorithm  $\mathcal{A} : n \mapsto x_n$  that returns for every input  $n$  a string  $x_n$  such that  $K(x_n) > n$ .

*Corollary 1.* The mapping  $x \mapsto K(x)$  is not computable.

*Corollary 2.* If  $U$  is an optimal decompressor, then  $U$  is not a total function.

**Theorem 1.2** (a version of Gödel's incompleteness theorem). <sup>1</sup> There exists a number  $N$  such that ZFC cannot prove any statement of the form " $K(x) > N$ ."

**Proposition 1.2.** If a set  $A \subset \{0, 1\}^*$  is decidable (or at least enumerable) and  $x \in A \cap \{0, 1\}^n$ , then  $K(x) \leq \log |A \cap \{0, 1\}^n| + O(\log n)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Optional, not necessary for the final exam.

**Theorem 1.3.** (a) Let  $x$  be a string of length  $n$ , with  $pn$  ones and  $(1-p)n$  zeros. Then

$$K(x) \leq \left( p \log \frac{1}{p} + (1-p) \log \frac{1}{1-p} \right) n + O(\log n).$$

(b) Let  $p$  be a real number such that  $0 < p < 1$ . Then for every  $n$  there exists a binary string  $x$  of length  $n$  with  $\lceil pn \rceil$  zeros and  $\lfloor (1-p)n \rfloor$  ones such that

$$K(x) = \left( p \log \frac{1}{p} + (1-p) \log \frac{1}{1-p} \right) n + O(\log n).$$

[The proof of Theorem 1.3 is postponed until next lecture.]

**Exercises 1.1** (a simplified version of Stirling's formula). (a) Prove that  $N! = \tau(N) \cdot \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^N$ , where  $\tau(N)$  is a function such that

$$1 \leq N! \leq \text{poly}(N)$$

for some polynomial  $\text{poly}(N)$ .

(b) Prove that  $N! = \lambda(N) \cdot \sqrt{N} \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^N$ , where  $\lambda(N)$  is a function such that

$$c_1 \leq \lambda(n) \leq c_2$$

for some reals  $c_1, c_2$ .

Hint: Estimate the difference between  $\ln(N!) = \sum_{k=1}^N \ln k$  and  $\int_1^N \ln x \, dx = (x \ln x - x) \Big|_1^N$ .

**Exercises 1.2.** Does there exist an optimal decompressor  $U$  such that for every  $x$  the value of  $K_U(x)$  is

- (a) an even number?
- (b) a power of 2?
- (c) a prime number?

**Exercises 1.3.** Prove that the relation «  $U$  is a better decompressor than  $V$  » is a partial order (there exist computable functions  $U$  and  $V$  that are incomparable as decompressors).

**Exercises 1.4.** Prove that the set of pairs  $\{(x, n) \mid K(x) < n\}$  is recursively enumerable but not decidable.

**Exercises 1.5.** If  $x$  is a palindrome, then  $K(x) \leq |x|/2 + O(1)$ .

**Exercises 1.6.** Denote by  $xy$  the concatenation of words  $x$  and  $y$ . Prove that  $K(x) \leq K(xy) + O(\log |x|)$  and  $K(xy) \leq K(x) + K(y) + O(\log |x|)$ .

## References

- [1] Ming Li and Paul Vitanyi, *An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications*. Springer Verlag, 3rd Edition, 2008.
- [2] Bruno Durand, Alexandr Zvonkin, *Complexité de Kolmogorov*.  
<https://www.labri.fr/perso/zvonkin/Research/kolmogorov.pdf>
- [3] Laurent Bienvenu, Mathieu Hoyrup, *Une brève introduction à la théorie effective de l'aléatoire*.  
[http://www.liafa.jussieu.fr/~lbienven/docs/publications/Une\\_breve\\_introduction\\_a\\_la\\_theorie\\_effective\\_de\\_l\\_aleatoire-SMF.pdf](http://www.liafa.jussieu.fr/~lbienven/docs/publications/Une_breve_introduction_a_la_theorie_effective_de_l_aleatoire-SMF.pdf)
- [4] Alexander Shen, *Algorithmic Information Theory and Kolmogorov Complexity*.  
<http://www.lirmm.fr/~ashen/uppsala-notes.pdf>
- [5] Lance Fortnow, *Kolmogorov complexity*.  
<http://people.cs.uchicago.edu/~fortnow/papers/kaikoura.pdf>
- [6] A. Shen, V.A. Uspensky, N.K. Vereshchagin, *Kolmogorov complexity and algorithmic randomness*, 2014.  
<http://www.lirmm.fr/~ashen/kolmbook-eng.pdf>