Computing Distances on Graph Associahedra is Fixed-parameter Tractable

Luís Felipe I. CunhaUniv. Federal Fluminense, Niterói, BrazilIgnasi SauLIRMM, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, FranceUéverton S. SouzaUFF+IMPA, Niterói+Rio de Janeiro, BrazilMario Valencia-PabonUniv. Lorraine, LORIA, Nancy, France

52nd International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP) Aarhus University, Denmark, July 8-11, 2025







• • • • • • •

Tree T obtained from a graph G by picking recursively a vertex in each connected component of the current graph:



Elimination trees (or forests)

Tree T obtained from a graph G by picking recursively a vertex in each connected component of the current graph:



Elimination trees have been studied extensively in many contexts:

graph theory, combinatorial optimization, polyhedral combinatorics, data structures, VLSI design, ...

Elimination trees (or forests)

Tree T obtained from a graph G by picking recursively a vertex in each connected component of the current graph:



Elimination trees have been studied extensively in many contexts:

graph theory, combinatorial optimization, polyhedral combinatorics, data structures, VLSI design, ...

Elimination trees (or forests)

Tree T obtained from a graph G by picking recursively a vertex in each connected component of the current graph:



Elimination trees have been studied extensively in many contexts:

graph theory, combinatorial optimization, polyhedral combinatorics, data structures, VLSI design, ...

Rotation distance between elimination trees



Rotation distance between elimination trees



The rotation distance between two elimination trees (forests) T, T' of a graph G, denoted by dist(T, T'), is the minimum number of rotations it takes to transform T into T'.

Graph associahedra

For any graph G, the flip graph of elimination forests of G under edge rotations is the skeleton of a polytope: graph associahedron $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

Object introduced by

[Carr, Devadoss, Postnikov. 2006-2009]

Graph associahedra

For any graph G, the flip graph of elimination forests of G under edge rotations is the skeleton of a polytope: graph associahedron $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

Object introduced by

[Carr, Devadoss, Postnikov. 2006-2009]

Famous particular cases of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ depending on the underlying graph G:

| G | $\mathcal{A}(G)$ |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| path | (standard) associahedron |
| complete graph | permutahedron |
| cycle | cyclohedron |
| star | stellohedron |
| matching | hypercube |

Illustration of some famous examples



Shamelessly stolen from this very nice article: [Cardinal, Merino, Mütze. 20

Zooming in: permutahedron and (standard) associahedron



Shamelessly stolen from this very nice article: [Cardinal, Merino, Mütze. 2022]

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Zooming in: permutahedron and (standard) associahedron



The (standard) associahedron has a rich history and literature, connecting computer science, combinatorics, algebra, and topology.

Zooming in: permutahedron and (standard) associahedron



Binary trees are in bijection with many other Catalan objects: triangulations of a convex polygon, well-formed parenthesis, Dyck paths,

Intensively studied: diameter of graph associahedra

Determining the diameter exactly, or upper/lower bounds, or estimates:

- If G is a path: [Sleator, Tarjan, Thurston. 1998] [Pournin. 2014]
- If G is a star: [Manneville, Pilaud. 2010]
- If G is a cycle: [Pournin. 2017]
- If *G* is a tree: [Manneville, Pilaud. 2010]
 - [Cardinal, Langerman, Pérez-Lantero. 2018]
- If *G* is a complete bipartite or trivially perfect graph: [Cardinal, Pournin, Valencia-Pabon. 2022]
- If G is a caterpillar:

[Berendsohn. 2022]

• If G has bounded treedepth or treewidth:

[Cardinal, Pournin, Valencia-Pabon. 2022]

Suppose for simplicity that the considered graph G is connected.

ROTATION DISTANCE

Instance: A graph *G*, two elimination trees *T* and *T'* of *G*, and a positive integer *k*. **Question:** Is the rotation distance between *T* and *T'* at most *k*?

Suppose for simplicity that the considered graph G is connected.

ROTATION DISTANCE

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|-----------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Question: | Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k ? |

Only few cases known to be solvable in polynomial time:

- If G is a complete graph: [Folklore]
- If G is a star:
- If G is a complete split graph:

[Cardinal, Pournin, Valencia-Pabon. 2022]

[Cardinal, Pournin, Valencia-Pabon. 2024]

Suppose for simplicity that the considered graph G is connected.

ROTATION DISTANCE

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|-----------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Question: | Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k ? |

Only few cases known to be solvable in polynomial time:

- If G is a complete graph: [Folklore]
- If G is a star: [Cardinal, Pournin, Valencia-Pabon. 2022]
- If G is a complete split graph:

[Cardinal, Pournin, Valencia-Pabon. 2024]

Notorious open problem (polynomial or NP-hard?): if G is a path.

Suppose for simplicity that the considered graph G is connected.

ROTATION DISTANCE

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|-----------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Question: | Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k ? |

Only few cases known to be solvable in polynomial time:

- If G is a complete graph: [Folklore]
- If G is a star: [Cardinal, Pournin, Valencia-Pabon. 2022]
- If G is a complete split graph:

[Cardinal, Pournin, Valencia-Pabon. 2024]

Notorious open problem (polynomial or NP-hard?): if G is a path.

This is **not** the problem we solve!

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|-----------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |

Question: Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k?

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|-----------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| <u> </u> | |

Question: Is the rotation distance between I and I' at most k?

Is the problem NP-hard for a general graph G?

[Cardinal, Kleist, Klemz, Lubiw, Mütze, Neuhaus, Pournin. Dagstuhl 2022]

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|-----------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Question | Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k^2 |

Is the problem NP-hard for a general graph G?

[Cardinal, Kleist, Klemz, Lubiw, Mütze, Neuhaus, Pournin. Dagstuhl 2022]

Yes, it is!

[Ito, Kakimura, Kamiyama, Kobayashi, Maezawa, Nozaki, Okamoto. ICALP 2023]

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|-----------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Question | Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k ? |

Is the problem NP-hard for a general graph G?

[Cardinal, Kleist, Klemz, Lubiw, Mütze, Neuhaus, Pournin. Dagstuhl 2022]

Yes, it is!

[Ito, Kakimura, Kamiyama, Kobayashi, Maezawa, Nozaki, Okamoto. **ICALP 2023**] [Cardinal, Steiner. 2023]

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|-----------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Question: | Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k ? |

Is the problem NP-hard for a general graph G?

[Cardinal, Kleist, Klemz, Lubiw, Mütze, Neuhaus, Pournin. Dagstuhl 2022]

Yes, it is!

[Ito, Kakimura, Kamiyama, Kobayashi, Maezawa, Nozaki, Okamoto. ICALP 2023] [Cardinal, Steiner. 2023]

This motivates the study of the parameterized complexity of the problem.

Instance: A graph G, two elimination trees T and T' of G, and a positive integer k.

Parameter: k.

Question: Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k?

Statement of the parameterized problem and our result

ROTATION DISTANCE

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|------------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Parameter: | k |

Question: Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k?

Theorem

The ROTATION DISTANCE problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot |V(G)|$,

Statement of the parameterized problem and our result

ROTATION DISTANCE

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|------------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Parameter: | <i>k</i> . |
| Question: | Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k ? |

Theorem

The ROTATION DISTANCE problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot |V(G)|$, with $f(k) = k^{k \cdot 2^{2^{-1}}}$, where the tower of exponentials has height at most $(3k + 1)4k = O(k^2)$.

| Instance: | A graph G , two elimination trees T and T' of G , and a |
|------------|---|
| | positive integer <u>k</u> . |
| Parameter: | <i>k</i> . |
| Question | Is the rotation distance between T and T' at most k ? |

Theorem

The ROTATION DISTANCE problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot |V(G)|$, with $f(k) = k^{k \cdot 2^{2^{\cdot}}}$, where the tower of exponentials has height at most $(3k + 1)4k = O(k^2)$.

Prior to our work, only the case where G is a path was known to be FPT. [Cleary, St. John. 2009] [Lucas. 2010] [Kanj, Sedgwick Xia. 2017], [Li Xia. 2023]

High level: identify a subset of marked vertices $M \subseteq V(T)$, of size $\leq f(k)$, so that we can assume that the desired ℓ -rotation sequence σ uses only vertices in M.

High level: identify a subset of marked vertices $M \subseteq V(T)$, of size $\leq f(k)$, so that we can assume that the desired ℓ -rotation sequence σ uses only vertices in M.

Once this is proved, an FPT algorithm follows directly by applying brute force and guessing all possible rotations using only vertices in M.

High level: identify a subset of marked vertices $M \subseteq V(T)$, of size $\leq f(k)$, so that we can assume that the desired ℓ -rotation sequence σ uses only vertices in M.

Once this is proved, an FPT algorithm follows directly by applying brute force and guessing all possible rotations using only vertices in M.

Let us see how we find such a "small" set $M \subseteq V(T)$ of marked vertices...

There are few children-bad vertices



Observation: a rotation may change the set of children of at most three vertices (but the parent of arbitrarily many vertices).

There are few children-bad vertices



Observation: a rotation may change the set of children of at most three vertices (but the parent of arbitrarily many vertices).

A vertex $v \in V(T)$ is (T, T')-children-bad if its set of children in T is different from its set of children in T'.

There are few children-bad vertices



Observation: a rotation may change the set of children of at most three vertices (but the parent of arbitrarily many vertices).

A vertex $v \in V(T)$ is (T, T')-children-bad if its set of children in T is different from its set of children in T'.

We may assume that there are at most 3k(T, T')-children-bad vertices.

Restricting the rotations to small balls around bad vertices

Observation: a rotation may change vertex distances (in T) by ≤ 1 .

Restricting the rotations to small balls around bad vertices

Observation: a rotation may change vertex distances (in T) by ≤ 1 .

Let $C \subseteq V(T)$ be the set of (T, T')-children-bad vertices.
Restricting the rotations to small balls around bad vertices

Observation: a rotation may change vertex distances (in T) by ≤ 1 . Let $C \subseteq V(T)$ be the set of (T, T')-children-bad vertices. We define $B_{cb} = N_T^{2k} [C \cup root(T)]$.



Restricting the rotations to small balls around bad vertices

Observation: a rotation may change vertex distances (in T) by ≤ 1 . Let $C \subseteq V(T)$ be the set of (T, T')-children-bad vertices. We define $B_{cb} = N_T^{2k}[C \cup root(T)]$.



Lemma

If dist $(T, T') \leq k$, then there exists an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', with $\ell \leq k$, using only vertices in B_{cb} .

Restricting the rotations to small balls around bad vertices

Observation: a rotation may change vertex distances (in T) by ≤ 1 . Let $C \subseteq V(T)$ be the set of (T, T')-children-bad vertices. We define $B_{cb} = N_T^{2k}[C \cup root(T)]$.



Lemma

If dist $(T, T') \leq k$, then there exists an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', with $\ell \leq k$, using only vertices in B_{cb} .

If $\Delta(T)$ is bounded (in particular, if $\Delta(G)$ is bounded), we are done!

Only obstacle to get our FPT algorithm: high-degree vertices in $T[B_{cb}]$.

Only obstacle to get our FPT algorithm: high-degree vertices in $T[B_{cb}]$. Fix a connected component Z of $T[B_{cb}]$ (considered as a rooted tree).

Only obstacle to get our FPT algorithm: high-degree vertices in $T[B_{cb}]$. Fix a connected component Z of $T[B_{cb}]$ (considered as a rooted tree). trace(T, Z, v): "abstract" neighborhood of T(v) in its ancestors in Z.

Only obstacle to get our FPT algorithm: high-degree vertices in $T[B_{cb}]$. Fix a connected component Z of $T[B_{cb}]$ (considered as a rooted tree). trace(T, Z, v): "abstract" neighborhood of T(v) in its ancestors in Z.



 $\begin{aligned} &\text{trace}(T, Z, v_1) = (1) \\ &\text{trace}(T, Z, v_2) = (1, 1) \\ &\text{trace}(T, Z, v_3) = (1, 1, 0) \\ &\text{trace}(T, Z, v_4) = (1) \\ &\text{trace}(T, Z, v_5) = (1, 0) \\ &\text{trace}(T, Z, v_6) = (1, 1, 0) \\ &\text{trace}(T, Z, v_7) = (1, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable.

Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable.

Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z"

Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable.

Same type: same "variety of traces among children in $Z'' \rightarrow$ recursive!

Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in $Z'' \rightarrow$ recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.



Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.

Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.



Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.



Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.



Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.



Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.



Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.



Goal: if $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$, then T(v) and T(v') interchangeable. Same type: same "variety of traces among children in Z" \rightarrow recursive! Problem: #children may be unbounded, and we want #types $\leq f(k)$.

Lemma

Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', for some $\ell \leq k$. For every vertex $v \in V(T)$, there are at most k vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \text{children}(T, v)$ such that σ uses a vertex in each of the rooted subtrees $T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_k)$.



Number of types bounded by a function of k

Lemma

 $\{\tau(T, Z, v) \mid v \in V(Z)\}$ has size bounded by a function g(k),















The set of marked vertices satisfies what we want

Lemma

The set $M \subseteq V(T)$ of marked vertices has size bounded by a function h(k), with the same asymptotic growth as the function g(k) given by the number of types. Moreover, M can be computed in time $h(k) \cdot |V(G)|$.

The set $M \subseteq V(T)$ of marked vertices has size bounded by a function h(k), with the same asymptotic growth as the function g(k) given by the number of types. Moreover, M can be computed in time $h(k) \cdot |V(G)|$.

Main technical lemma:

Lemma If dist(T, T') $\leq k$, then there exists an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', with $\ell \leq k$, using only vertices in M.

The set $M \subseteq V(T)$ of marked vertices has size bounded by a function h(k), with the same asymptotic growth as the function g(k) given by the number of types. Moreover, M can be computed in time $h(k) \cdot |V(G)|$.

Main technical lemma:

Lemma If dist(T, T') $\leq k$, then there exists an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', with $\ell \leq k$, using only vertices in M.

Scheme of the proof:

• Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T' minimizing the number of non-marked vertices used by σ .

The set $M \subseteq V(T)$ of marked vertices has size bounded by a function h(k), with the same asymptotic growth as the function g(k) given by the number of types. Moreover, M can be computed in time $h(k) \cdot |V(G)|$.

Main technical lemma:

Lemma If dist(T, T') $\leq k$, then there exists an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', with $\ell \leq k$, using only vertices in M.

Scheme of the proof:

- Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T' minimizing the number of non-marked vertices used by σ .
- Goal: define another sequence σ' using < non-marked vertices.

The set $M \subseteq V(T)$ of marked vertices has size bounded by a function h(k), with the same asymptotic growth as the function g(k) given by the number of types. Moreover, M can be computed in time $h(k) \cdot |V(G)|$.

Main technical lemma:

Lemma If dist $(T, T') \leq k$, then there exists an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', with $\ell \leq k$, using only vertices in M.

Scheme of the proof:

- Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T' minimizing the number of non-marked vertices used by σ .
- Goal: define another sequence σ' using < non-marked vertices.
- Let $v \in V(T)$ be a downmost non-marked vertex used by σ .

The set $M \subseteq V(T)$ of marked vertices has size bounded by a function h(k), with the same asymptotic growth as the function g(k) given by the number of types. Moreover, M can be computed in time $h(k) \cdot |V(G)|$.

Main technical lemma:

Lemma If dist(T, T') $\leq k$, then there exists an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T', with $\ell \leq k$, using only vertices in M.

Scheme of the proof:

- Let σ be an ℓ -rotation sequence from T to T' minimizing the number of non-marked vertices used by σ .
- Goal: define another sequence σ' using < non-marked vertices.
- Let $v \in V(T)$ be a downmost non-marked vertex used by σ .
- We distinguish two cases...

Proof of the main technical lemma: Case 1

If v has a marked (non-used) T-sibling v' with $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$:



Proof of the main technical lemma: Case 1

If v has a marked (non-used) T-sibling v' with $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$:



We define σ' from σ by just replacing v with v' in all the rotations of σ involving v.
Proof of the main technical lemma: Case 2

All *T*-siblings v' of v with $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$ are non-marked.

Proof of the main technical lemma: Case 2

All *T*-siblings v' of v with $\tau(T, Z, v) = \tau(T, Z, v')$ are non-marked. In this case, to define σ' , we need to modify σ in a more global way:



Theorem

The ROTATION DISTANCE problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot |V(G)|$, with $f(k) = k^{k \cdot 2^{2^{-1}}}$, where the tower of exponentials has height at most $(3k + 1)4k = O(k^2)$.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Theorem

The ROTATION DISTANCE problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot |V(G)|$, with $f(k) = k^{k \cdot 2^{2^{-1}}}$, where the tower of exponentials has height at most $(3k + 1)4k = O(k^2)$.

It should be possible to improve f(k) (dominated by the number of types).

Theorem

The ROTATION DISTANCE problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot |V(G)|$, with $f(k) = k^{k \cdot 2^{2^{-1}}}$, where the tower of exponentials has height at most $(3k + 1)4k = O(k^2)$.

It should be possible to improve f(k) (dominated by the number of types).

| ROTATION DISTANCE | paths | general graphs |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------|
| NP-hard | open | \checkmark |
| FPT | \checkmark | √ [this article] |
| Polynomial kernel | \checkmark | open |

Theorem

The ROTATION DISTANCE problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot |V(G)|$, with $f(k) = k^{k \cdot 2^{2^{-1}}}$, where the tower of exponentials has height at most $(3k + 1)4k = O(k^2)$.

It should be possible to improve f(k) (dominated by the number of types).

| ROTATION DISTANCE | paths | general graphs |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------|
| NP-hard | open | \checkmark |
| FPT | \checkmark | √ [this article] |
| Polynomial kernel | \checkmark | open |

Combinatorial Shortest Path on Polymatroids:

- NP-hard. [Ito, Kakimura, Kamiyama, Kobayashi, Maezawa, Nozaki, Okamoto. 2023]
- Is it also FPT?

Gràcies!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = のへで

21