On approximating the *d*-girth of a graph

Ignasi Sau

CNRS, LIRMM, Montpellier, France

Joint work with:

David Peleg

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Mordechai Shalom

TelHai Academic College, Upper Galilee, Israel

Introduction

- 2 Summary of results
- A simple approximation algorithm
- 4 hardness result for planar graphs
- 5 Conclusions and further research

Introduction

- 2 Summary of results
- 3 A simple approximation algorithm
- 4 A hardness result for planar graphs
- 5 Conclusions and further research

A (10) A (10)

Preliminaries

- Given a *minimization* problem Π, ALG is an α-approximation algorithm for Π (with α ≥ 1) if for any instance *I* of Π,
 ALG(I) ≤ α · OPT(I).
- Class APX (Approximable):

an NP-hard optimization problem is in APX if it can be approximated within a constant factor.

Example: MINIMUM VERTEX COVER

• Class PTAS (Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme):

an NP-hard optimization problem is in PTAS if it can be approximated within a constant factor $1 + \varepsilon$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Example: MINIMUM VERTEX COVER in planar graphs

Preliminaries

- Given a *minimization* problem Π, ALG is an α-approximation algorithm for Π (with α ≥ 1) if for any instance *I* of Π,
 ALG(I) ≤ α · OPT(I).
- Class APX (Approximable):

an NP-hard optimization problem is in APX if it can be approximated within a constant factor.

Example: MINIMUM VERTEX COVER

• Class PTAS (Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme):

an NP-hard optimization problem is in PTAS if it can be approximated within a constant factor $1 + \varepsilon$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Example: MINIMUM VERTEX COVER in planar graphs

Preliminaries

- Given a *minimization* problem Π, ALG is an α-approximation algorithm for Π (with α ≥ 1) if for any instance *I* of Π,
 ALG(I) ≤ α · OPT(I).
- Class APX (Approximable):

an NP-hard optimization problem is in APX if it can be approximated within a constant factor.

Example: MINIMUM VERTEX COVER

• Class PTAS (Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme):

an NP-hard optimization problem is in PTAS if it can be approximated within a constant factor $1 + \varepsilon$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Example: MINIMUM VERTEX COVER in planar graphs

The *d*-GIRTH problem Input: A simple undirected graph G = (V, E). Output: A subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $\delta(G[S]) \ge d$. Objective: Minimize |S|.

- * The optimum of the above problem is called the *d*-girth of *G*.
- \star For d = 1, the vertices of any edge are a trivial solution of size 2.
- * For d = 2, it is exactly the GIRTH problem (find the length of a shortest cycle), which is in P.

[Indeed, every graph H with $\delta(H) \ge 2$ contains a cycle.]

The *d*-GIRTH problem Input: A simple undirected graph G = (V, E). Output: A subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $\delta(G[S]) \ge d$. Objective: Minimize |S|.

- * The optimum of the above problem is called the *d*-girth of *G*.
- \star For d = 1, the vertices of any edge are a trivial solution of size 2.
- * For d = 2, it is exactly the GIRTH problem (find the length of a shortest cycle), which is in P.

[Indeed, every graph H with $\delta(H) \ge 2$ contains a cycle.]

The *d*-GIRTH problem Input: A simple undirected graph G = (V, E). Output: A subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $\delta(G[S]) \ge d$.

Objective: Minimize |*S*|.

- \star The optimum of the above problem is called the *d*-girth of *G*.
- \star For d = 1, the vertices of any edge are a trivial solution of size 2.
- * For d = 2, it is exactly the GIRTH problem (find the length of a shortest cycle), which is in P.

[Indeed, every graph H with $\delta(H) \ge 2$ contains a cycle.]

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

The *d*-GIRTH problem

Input: A simple undirected graph G = (V, E). **Output:** A subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $\delta(G[S]) \ge d$. **Objective:** Minimize |S|.

 \star The optimum of the above problem is called the *d*-girth of *G*.

* For d = 1, the vertices of any edge are a trivial solution of size 2.

* For d = 2, it is exactly the GIRTH problem (find the length of a shortest cycle), which is in P.

[Indeed, every graph H with $\delta(H) \geq$ 2 contains a cycle.]

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The *d*-GIRTH problem

Input: A simple undirected graph G = (V, E). **Output:** A subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $\delta(G[S]) \ge d$. **Objective:** Minimize |S|.

- \star The optimum of the above problem is called the *d*-girth of *G*.
- * For d = 1, the vertices of any edge are a trivial solution of size 2.
- * For d = 2, it is exactly the GIRTH problem (find the length of a shortest cycle), which is in P.

[Indeed, every graph *H* with $\delta(H) \ge 2$ contains a cycle.]

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The *d*-GIRTH problem

Input: A simple undirected graph G = (V, E). **Output:** A subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $\delta(G[S]) \ge d$. **Objective:** Minimize |S|.

- \star The optimum of the above problem is called the *d*-girth of *G*.
- * For d = 1, the vertices of any edge are a trivial solution of size 2.
- * For d = 2, it is exactly the GIRTH problem (find the length of a shortest cycle), which is in P.

[Indeed, every graph *H* with $\delta(H) \ge 2$ contains a cycle.]

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The *d*-GIRTH problem

Input: A simple undirected graph G = (V, E). **Output:** A subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $\delta(G[S]) \ge d$. **Objective:** Minimize |S|.

- \star The optimum of the above problem is called the *d*-girth of *G*.
- * For d = 1, the vertices of any edge are a trivial solution of size 2.
- * For d = 2, it is exactly the GIRTH problem (find the length of a shortest cycle), which is in P.

[Indeed, every graph *H* with $\delta(H) \ge 2$ contains a cycle.]

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The *d*-GIRTH problem

Input: A simple undirected graph G = (V, E). **Output:** A subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $\delta(G[S]) \ge d$. **Objective:** Minimize |S|.

- \star The optimum of the above problem is called the *d*-girth of *G*.
- * For d = 1, the vertices of any edge are a trivial solution of size 2.
- * For d = 2, it is exactly the GIRTH problem (find the length of a shortest cycle), which is in P.

[Indeed, every graph *H* with $\delta(H) \ge 2$ contains a cycle.]

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >







Ignasi Sau (CNRS, LIRMM)

=: SOFSEM 2011 :=

January 27, 2011 6 / 20



The notion of *d*-girth was studied more than 20 years ago, from a purely combinatorial point of view:

[Erdős, Faudree, Gyárfás, Schelp. Ars Combinatorica'88] [Bollobás, Brightwell. Discrete Mathematics'89] [Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau, Schelp. Discrete Mathematics'90]

Results of the following type:

Theorem (Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau, Schelp'90) Let $d \ge 2$ and k > 1 be given. Every *n*-vertex graph *G* with at least $\lceil d \cdot k \cdot n \rceil$ edges has a subgraph *H* with $\delta(H) \ge d$ and $|V(H)| \le \lceil n/k \rceil$.

Since then, no new insights have appeared in the literature...

The notion of *d*-girth was studied more than 20 years ago, from a purely combinatorial point of view:

[Erdős, Faudree, Gyárfás, Schelp. Ars Combinatorica'88] [Bollobás, Brightwell. Discrete Mathematics'89] [Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau, Schelp. Discrete Mathematics'90]

Results of the following type:

Theorem (Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau, Schelp'90) Let $d \ge 2$ and k > 1 be given. Every *n*-vertex graph *G* with at least $\lceil d \cdot k \cdot n \rceil$ edges has a subgraph *H* with $\delta(H) \ge d$ and $|V(H)| \le \lceil n/k \rceil$.

Since then, no new insights have appeared in the literature...

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The notion of *d*-girth was studied more than 20 years ago, from a purely combinatorial point of view:

[Erdős, Faudree, Gyárfás, Schelp. Ars Combinatorica'88] [Bollobás, Brightwell. Discrete Mathematics'89] [Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau, Schelp. Discrete Mathematics'90]

Results of the following type:

Theorem (Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau, Schelp'90) Let $d \ge 2$ and k > 1 be given. Every *n*-vertex graph *G* with at least $[d \cdot k \cdot n]$ edges has a subgraph *H* with $\delta(H) \ge d$ and $|V(H)| \le \lceil n/k \rceil$.

Since then, no new insights have appeared in the literature...

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Recently, first algorithmic studies of the problem:

[Amini, S., Saurabh. IWPEC'08]

- \star *W*[1]-hard, taking as parameter the size of the solution.
- * FPT algorithms when the input graph has bounded local tree-width or excludes a fixed graph as a minor.

[Amini, Peleg, Pérennes, S., Saurabh. WAOA'08]

- * The *d*-GIRTH problem is not in APX for any fixed $d \ge 3$.
- n/log n-approximation algorithm for minor-free graphs, using DP and a known structural result about minor-free graphs.
- Missing: approximation algorithms in general graphs, and hardness results for sparse graphs.

Our motivation for studying the d-GIRTH problem:

Close relation with DENSE *k*-SUBGRAPH problem and TRAFFIC GROOMING problem in optical networks.

Ignasi Sau (CNRS, LIRMM)

Recently, first algorithmic studies of the problem:

[Amini, S., Saurabh. IWPEC'08]

- \star *W*[1]-hard, taking as parameter the size of the solution.
- FPT algorithms when the input graph has bounded local tree-width or excludes a fixed graph as a minor.

[Amini, Peleg, Pérennes, S., Saurabh. WAOA'08]

- * The *d*-GIRTH problem is not in APX for any fixed $d \ge 3$.
- n/log n-approximation algorithm for minor-free graphs, using DP and a known structural result about minor-free graphs.
- * **Missing**: approximation algorithms in general graphs, and hardness results for sparse graphs.

Our motivation for studying the *d*-GIRTH problem:

close relation with DENSE *k*-SUBGRAPH problem and TRAFFIC GROOMING problem in optical networks.

Recently, first algorithmic studies of the problem:

[Amini, S., Saurabh. IWPEC'08]

- \star *W*[1]-hard, taking as parameter the size of the solution.
- FPT algorithms when the input graph has bounded local tree-width or excludes a fixed graph as a minor.

[Amini, Peleg, Pérennes, S., Saurabh. WAOA'08]

- * The *d*-GIRTH problem is not in APX for any fixed $d \ge 3$.
- n/log n-approximation algorithm for minor-free graphs, using DP and a known structural result about minor-free graphs.
- * **Missing**: approximation algorithms in general graphs, and hardness results for sparse graphs.

Our motivation for studying the *d*-GIRTH problem:

Close relation with DENSE *k*-SUBGRAPH problem and TRAFFIC GROOMING problem in optical networks.

Ignasi Sau (CNRS, LIRMM)

Recently, first algorithmic studies of the problem:

[Amini, S., Saurabh. IWPEC'08]

- \star *W*[1]-hard, taking as parameter the size of the solution.
- FPT algorithms when the input graph has bounded local tree-width or excludes a fixed graph as a minor.

[Amini, Peleg, Pérennes, S., Saurabh. WAOA'08]

- ★ The *d*-GIRTH problem is not in APX for any fixed $d \ge 3$.
- n/ log n-approximation algorithm for minor-free graphs, using DP and a known structural result about minor-free graphs.
- Missing: approximation algorithms in general graphs, and hardness results for sparse graphs.

Our motivation for studying the *d*-GIRTH problem:

Close relation with DENSE *k*-SUBGRAPH problem and TRAFFIC GROOMING problem in optical networks.

Ignasi Sau (CNRS, LIRMM)

Recently, first algorithmic studies of the problem:

[Amini, S., Saurabh. IWPEC'08]

- \star *W*[1]-hard, taking as parameter the size of the solution.
- FPT algorithms when the input graph has bounded local tree-width or excludes a fixed graph as a minor.

[Amini, Peleg, Pérennes, S., Saurabh. WAOA'08]

- ★ The *d*-GIRTH problem is not in APX for any fixed $d \ge 3$.
- n/ log n-approximation algorithm for minor-free graphs, using DP and a known structural result about minor-free graphs.
- Missing: approximation algorithms in general graphs, and hardness results for sparse graphs.

Our motivation for studying the *d*-GIRTH problem:

Close relation with DENSE *k*-SUBGRAPH problem and TRAFFIC GROOMING problem in optical networks.

Ignasi Sau (CNRS, LIRMM)

Recently, first algorithmic studies of the problem:

[Amini, S., Saurabh. IWPEC'08]

- \star *W*[1]-hard, taking as parameter the size of the solution.
- FPT algorithms when the input graph has bounded local tree-width or excludes a fixed graph as a minor.

[Amini, Peleg, Pérennes, S., Saurabh. WAOA'08]

- ★ The *d*-GIRTH problem is not in APX for any fixed $d \ge 3$.
- n/ log n-approximation algorithm for minor-free graphs, using DP and a known structural result about minor-free graphs.
- Missing: approximation algorithms in general graphs, and hardness results for sparse graphs.

Our motivation for studying the *d*-GIRTH problem:

Close relation with DENSE *k*-SUBGRAPH problem and TRAFFIC GROOMING problem in optical networks.

Ignasi Sau (CNRS, LIRMM)

=: SOFSEM 2011 :=

3

Introduction

2 Summary of results

3 A simple approximation algorithm

- 4 A hardness result for planar graphs
- 5 Conclusions and further research

< 6 b

for any $d \ge 3$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no polynomial-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with approximation ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP \subseteq DTIME $\left(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)}\right)$.

[These hardness results hold even in graphs with degrees d or d + 1]

* The *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs.

不同 いんきいんき

for any $d \ge 3$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no polynomial-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with approximation ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP \subseteq DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

[These hardness results hold even in graphs with degrees d or d + 1]

* The *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs.

イロト イポト イラト イラ

for any $d \ge 3$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no polynomial-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with approximation ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP \subseteq DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

[These hardness results hold even in graphs with degrees d or d + 1]

 \star The *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs.

for any $d \ge 3$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no polynomial-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with approximation ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP \subseteq DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

[These hardness results hold even in graphs with degrees d or d + 1]

* The *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs.

★ First approximation algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem: randomized algorithm with approximation ratio *n*/log *n*.

[The performance is not far from the best approximation algorithms for other very hard graph optimization problems like MAXIMUM CLIQUE, CHROMATIC NUMBER, LONGEST PATH, ...]

- * another randomized algorithm with better performance in high-degree graphs.
- * a deterministic algorithm for low-degree graphs.

Planar graphs:

- \star deterministic approximation algorithm with ratio $n/\log n$.
- * subexponential exact algorithm in time $2^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n} \cdot \log n)}$.

★ First approximation algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem: randomized algorithm with approximation ratio *n*/ log *n*.

[The performance is not far from the best approximation algorithms for other very hard graph optimization problems like MAXIMUM CLIQUE, CHROMATIC NUMBER, LONGEST PATH, ...]

- another randomized algorithm with better performance in high-degree graphs.
- * a deterministic algorithm for low-degree graphs.
- Planar graphs:
 - \star deterministic approximation algorithm with ratio $n/\log n$.
 - * subexponential exact algorithm in time $2^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n} \cdot \log n)}$.

★ First approximation algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem: randomized algorithm with approximation ratio *n*/ log *n*.

[The performance is not far from the best approximation algorithms for other very hard graph optimization problems like MAXIMUM CLIQUE, CHROMATIC NUMBER, LONGEST PATH, ...]

- another randomized algorithm with better performance in high-degree graphs.
- ★ a deterministic algorithm for low-degree graphs.
- Planar graphs:
 - \star deterministic approximation algorithm with ratio $n/\log n$.
 - * subexponential exact algorithm in time $2^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n} \cdot \log n)}$.

★ First approximation algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem: randomized algorithm with approximation ratio *n*/ log *n*.

[The performance is not far from the best approximation algorithms for other very hard graph optimization problems like MAXIMUM CLIQUE, CHROMATIC NUMBER, LONGEST PATH, ...]

- another randomized algorithm with better performance in high-degree graphs.
- ★ a deterministic algorithm for low-degree graphs.
- Planar graphs:
 - \star deterministic approximation algorithm with ratio $n/\log n$.
 - * subexponential exact algorithm in time $2^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n} \cdot \log n)}$.
Introduction

2 Summary of results

3 A simple approximation algorithm

- 4 A hardness result for planar graphs
- 5 Conclusions and further research

< 6 b

while $G \neq \emptyset$

pick a vertex v uniformly at random remove v and all its incident edges *clean*: remove recursively vertices of degree less than update *G*



while $G \neq \emptyset$ pick a vertex *v* uniformly at random

> remove v and all its incident edges clean: remove recursively vertices of degree less than d update G



while $G \neq \emptyset$

pick a vertex v uniformly at random

remove v and all its incident edges *clean*: remove recursively vertices of degree less than dupdate G



while $G \neq \emptyset$

pick a vertex v uniformly at random remove v and all its incident edges

clean: remove recursively vertices of degree less than *d* update *G*

return the last non-empty graph



=: SOFSEM 2011 :=

while $G \neq \emptyset$ pick a vertex *v* uniformly at random remove *v* and all its incident edges *clean*: remove recursively vertices of degree less than *d* update *G*

return the last non-empty graph



=: SOFSEM 2011 :=

< 一□)

while $G \neq \emptyset$ pick a vertex *v* uniformly at random remove *v* and all its incident edges *clean*: remove recursively vertices of degree less than *d* update *G*



while $G \neq \emptyset$ pick a vertex *v* uniformly at random remove *v* and all its incident edges *clean*: remove recursively vertices of degree less than *d* update *G*



while $G \neq \emptyset$ pick a vertex *v* uniformly at random remove *v* and all its incident edges *clean*: remove recursively vertices of degree less than *d* update *G*

return the last non-empty graph



=: SOFSEM 2011 :=

while $G \neq \emptyset$

pick a vertex v uniformly at random remove v and all its incident edges *clean*: remove recursively vertices of degree less than dupdate G



while $G \neq \emptyset$ pick a vertex *v* uniformly at random remove *v* and all its incident edges *clean*: remove recursively vertices of degree less than *d* update *G* return the last non-empty graph

Theorem

For any $d \ge 3$, the above procedure is w.h.p. a poly-time randomized approximation algorithm with ratio $n/\log n$ for the d-GIRTH problem.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Introduction

- 2 Summary of results
- 3 A simple approximation algorithm
- 4 A hardness result for planar graphs
- 5 Conclusions and further research

< 6 b

As any planar graph has a vertex of degree at most 5, the *d*-GIRTH problem only makes sense for *d* ∈ {3,4,5}.

Theorem

For $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, the d-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs with maximum degree at most 3d.

• Reduction from MINIMUM VERTEX COVER in planar graphs with $\Delta \leq 3$, which is NP-hard by [Garey, Johnson. SIDMA'77].

• Given an instance *H* of VC, we build and instance *G* of *d*-GIRTH:

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

 As any planar graph has a vertex of degree at most 5, the d-GIRTH problem only makes sense for d ∈ {3,4,5}.

Theorem

For $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, the d-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs with maximum degree at most 3d.

• Reduction from MINIMUM VERTEX COVER in planar graphs with $\Delta \leq 3$, which is NP-hard by [Garey, Johnson. SIDMA'77].

• Given an instance *H* of VC, we build and instance *G* of *d*-GIRTH:

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

As any planar graph has a vertex of degree at most 5, the *d*-GIRTH problem only makes sense for *d* ∈ {3,4,5}.

Theorem

For $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, the d-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs with maximum degree at most 3d.

• Reduction from MINIMUM VERTEX COVER in planar graphs with $\Delta \leq 3$, which is NP-hard by [Garey, Johnson. SIDMA'77].

• Given an instance *H* of VC, we build and instance *G* of *d*-GIRTH:

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

 As any planar graph has a vertex of degree at most 5, the d-GIRTH problem only makes sense for d ∈ {3,4,5}.

Theorem

For $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, the d-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs with maximum degree at most 3d.

- Reduction from MINIMUM VERTEX COVER in planar graphs with $\Delta \leq 3$, which is NP-hard by [Garey, Johnson. SIDMA'77].
- Given an instance *H* of VC, we build and instance *G* of *d*-GIRTH:

글 🕨 🖌 글



æ



Ignasi Sau (CNRS, LIRMM)

=: SOFSEM 2011 :=

æ



=: SOFSEM 2011 :=

æ



æ



æ



æ



2

イロン イ理 とく ヨン イヨン



2

<ロ> <問> <問> < 回> < 回> 、



2



2



2



2



2



æ



• We conclude that there is a bijection between vertex covers of *H* and feasible solutions to the 3-GIRTH in *G*.

• Therefore, $OPT_{3-GIRTH}(G) = 9 \cdot |E(H)| + OPT_{VC}(H)$.

Edge gadgets for d = 4, 5



Ignasi Sau (CNRS, LIRMM)

æ

Edge gadgets for d = 4, 5



=: SOFSEM 2011 :=

æ

Introduction

- 2 Summary of results
- 3 A simple approximation algorithm
- 4 A hardness result for planar graphs
- 5 Conclusions and further research

< 6 b

Conclusions and further research

★ We proved that for any $d \ge 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no poly-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP ⊆ DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

Conjecture

Unless P = NP, for every fixed $d \ge 3$ there is no poly-time approx. algorithm for d-GIRTH with ratio $n^{1-\delta}$, for some constant $\delta > 0$.

* We provided the first approximation algorithms for the *d*-GIRTH problem in general graphs.

Improving the approximation ratio seems a challenging task.

- * We proved that the d-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs for $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$.
 - Does the problem admit a PTAS in planar graphs??

Conclusions and further research

★ We proved that for any $d \ge 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no poly-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP ⊆ DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

Conjecture

Unless P = NP, for every fixed $d \ge 3$ there is no poly-time approx. algorithm for d-GIRTH with ratio $n^{1-\delta}$, for some constant $\delta > 0$.

* We provided the first approximation algorithms for the *d*-GIRTH problem in general graphs.

Improving the approximation ratio seems a challenging task.

* We proved that the *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs for $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$.

Does the problem admit a PTAS in planar graphs??
★ We proved that for any $d \ge 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no poly-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP ⊆ DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

Conjecture

Unless P = NP, for every fixed $d \ge 3$ there is no poly-time approx. algorithm for d-GIRTH with ratio $n^{1-\delta}$, for some constant $\delta > 0$.

★ We provided the first approximation algorithms for the *d*-GIRTH problem in general graphs.

Improving the approximation ratio seems a challenging task.

* We proved that the *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs for $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$.

★ We proved that for any $d \ge 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no poly-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP ⊆ DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

Conjecture

Unless P = NP, for every fixed $d \ge 3$ there is no poly-time approx. algorithm for d-GIRTH with ratio $n^{1-\delta}$, for some constant $\delta > 0$.

★ We provided the first approximation algorithms for the *d*-GIRTH problem in general graphs.

Improving the approximation ratio seems a challenging task.

* We proved that the *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs for $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$.

★ We proved that for any $d \ge 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no poly-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP \subseteq DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

Conjecture

Unless P = NP, for every fixed $d \ge 3$ there is no poly-time approx. algorithm for d-GIRTH with ratio $n^{1-\delta}$, for some constant $\delta > 0$.

★ We provided the first approximation algorithms for the *d*-GIRTH problem in general graphs.

Improving the approximation ratio seems a challenging task.

★ We proved that the *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs for $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$.

★ We proved that for any $d \ge 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no poly-time algorithm for the *d*-GIRTH problem with ratio $2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1-\varepsilon} n)}$ unless NP \subseteq DTIME $(2^{\mathcal{O}(\log^{1/\varepsilon} n)})$.

Conjecture

Unless P = NP, for every fixed $d \ge 3$ there is no poly-time approx. algorithm for d-GIRTH with ratio $n^{1-\delta}$, for some constant $\delta > 0$.

★ We provided the first approximation algorithms for the *d*-GIRTH problem in general graphs.

Improving the approximation ratio seems a challenging task.

★ We proved that the *d*-GIRTH problem is NP-hard in planar graphs for $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$.

Gràcies!!

æ