Designing Critical Digital Systems.

Formal Verification of a Token Player for Synchronously Executed Petri Nets.

> *PhD student*: Vincent lampietro¹

PhD supervisors: David Andreu^{1,2}, David Delahaye¹

¹LIRMM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France Firstname.Lastname@lirmm.fr

> ²NEURINNOV, Montpellier, France David.Andreu@neurinnov.com

> > SHARC, July 2019

Context.

CRITICAL DIGITAL SYSTEMS (CDS)?

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

CRITICAL DIGITAL SYSTEMS (CDS)



Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

CRITICAL DIGITAL SYSTEMS (CDS)

- Avionics: engine control, air traffic control...
- Medicine: surgical robots, radiotherapy systems...
- Spaceflight: launcher systems, crew transfer systems...
- Nuclear: reactor control systems...
- Infrastructure: fire alarm, telecommunications...
- And many more...

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Medical Implants: A Concrete Example of CDS.



- Electrode receives electric current from stimulation generator.
- Digital controller gives instruction to stimulation generator.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Need for Safety and Certification.

CE Certification for Medical Devices.¹

- European Regulation on Medical Devices (2017/745).
- Requires numerous tests on devices (technologic, clinical).

The Perks of Formal Methods.

- Many approaches: model checking, abstract interpretation, deductive methods...
- Deductive methods: test exhaustiveness through proofs.

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32017R0745

HILECOP: A Process to Design and Implement CDS.



Developed at INRIA (CAMIN Team).

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Formal Methods for HILECOP.



Verification of HILECOP.

- Ensure model correctness (analysis).
- Ensure behavior preservation through transformation.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Formal Methods for HILECOP.



Verification of HILECOP.

- Ensure model correctness (analysis).
- Ensure behavior preservation through transformation.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Deductive Methods with the Coq Proof Assistant.

- General-purpose Programming Language.
- Proof Language.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Deductive Methods with the Coq Proof Assistant.

- General-purpose Programming Language.
- Proof Language.

Proof steps.

Inspired by CompCert, a formally verified C compiler:

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Deductive Methods with the Coq Proof Assistant.

- General-purpose Programming Language.
- Proof Language.

Proof steps.

Inspired by CompCert, a formally verified C compiler:

1. Model the semantics of the source language (i.e, Petri nets).

Formalization.

Token Player.

Deductive Methods with the Coq Proof Assistant.

- General-purpose Programming Language.
- Proof Language.

Proof steps.

Inspired by CompCert, a formally verified C compiler:

- 1. Model the semantics of the *source language* (i.e, Petri nets).
- 2. Model the semantics of the target language (i.e, VHDL).

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Deductive Methods with the Coq Proof Assistant.

- General-purpose Programming Language.
- Proof Language.

Proof steps.

Inspired by CompCert, a formally verified C compiler:

- 1. Model the semantics of the source language (i.e, Petri nets).
- 2. Model the semantics of the target language (i.e, VHDL).
- 3. Implement the transformation.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Deductive Methods with the Coq Proof Assistant.

- General-purpose Programming Language.
- Proof Language.

Proof steps.

Inspired by CompCert, a formally verified C compiler:

- 1. Model the semantics of the source language (i.e, Petri nets).
- 2. Model the semantics of the target language (i.e, VHDL).
- 3. Implement the transformation.
- 4. Prove behavior preservation.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Presentation of HILECOP Petri Nets.

The Petri Net (PN) Formalism.

- ► To model *dynamic systems*.
- Directed weighted graph.
- ► Places (≈ states or resources) and transitions (≈ events).
- Marking: current state of the system.
- Sensitization: a transition t is ready to be fired.



HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

HILECOP High-Level Models.



- Assembling components.
- Flattening model.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

HILECOP PNs (SITPNs).

HILECOP Petri Nets are:

- Synchronously executed (with priorities)
- generalized
- extended
- Interpreted
- Time
- with macroplaces
- Petri Nets



Context.

t. HILECOP PNs.

Vs. Formalization.

Token Player.

HILECOP PNs (SITPNs).

HILECOP Petri Nets are:

- Synchronously executed (with priorities)
- generalized
- extended
- Interpreted
- Time
- with macroplaces
- Petri Nets



Context.

HILECOP PNs.

. Formalization.

Token Player.

Synchronously Executed PNs.





Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Conclusion.

10 / 25

Synchronously Executed PNs.





Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Conclusion.

10 / 25

Synchronously Executed PNs.





Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



Conflict types.

- Structural: T₀ and T₁ have P₀ as a common input place.
- Effective: the firing of T_0 disables T_1 , and conversely.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



Which transition will be fired?

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



Which transition will be fired?

▶ If asynchronous execution: T_0 or T_1

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



Which transition will be fired?

- ▶ If asynchronous execution: T_0 or T_1
- If synchronous execution: T_0 and T_1

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



Which transition will be fired?

- If asynchronous execution: T_0 or T_1
- If synchronous execution: T_0 and T_1

Context

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



Priority relation.

 T_0 has a higher firing priority than T_1 .

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Formalizing HILECOP Petri Nets.

Formal Definition of SPNs.

A synchronously executed, extended, and generalized Petri net with priorities is a tuple $<P, T, pre, test, inhib, post, M_0, clock, \succ>$ where we have:

- 1. $P = \{P_0, \ldots, P_n\}$ a set of places.
- 2. $T = \{T_0, \ldots, T_n\}$ a set of transitions.

3. pre
$$\in P \to T \to \mathbb{N}$$
.

- 4. *test* $\in P \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
- 5. inhib $\in P \to T \to \mathbb{N}$.

6.
$$post \in T \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$
.

- 7. $M_0 \in P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, the initial marking of the SPN.
- 8. ≻, the priority relation, which represents the firing priority between transitions of the same priority group.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Implementation of SPNs in Coq.

```
1 Structure Spn : Set :=
      mk_Spn {
 2
          places : list Place;
 3
          transs : list Trans:
 4
          pre : Place \rightarrow Trans \rightarrow nat;
 5
          test : Place \rightarrow Trans \rightarrow nat:
 6
          inhib : Place \rightarrow Trans \rightarrow nat:
 7
          post : Trans \rightarrow Place \rightarrow nat;
 8
          initial_marking : Place \rightarrow nat;
 9
          priority_groups : list (list Trans);
10
11
          lneighbors : Trans \rightarrow Neighbors;
      }.
12
```

Record with multiple fields.

Ineighbors field associates transitions to input/output places.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Definitions and Notations.

Remark.

The following definitions are given under the scope of a SPN $< P, T, pre, test, inhib, post, M_0, \succ >$.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Definitions and Notations.

Remark.

The following definitions are given under the scope of a SPN $< P, T, pre, test, inhib, post, M_0, \succ >$.

Definition (SPN state)

A SPN state is a couple (*Fired*, *M*) where $M \in P \to \mathbb{N}$ is the current marking of SPN and *Fired* $\subseteq T$ is a list of transitions.

Definitions and Notations.

Remark.

The following definitions are given under the scope of a SPN $< P, T, pre, test, inhib, post, M_0, \succ >$.

Definition (SPN state)

A SPN state is a couple (*Fired*, *M*) where $M \in P \to \mathbb{N}$ is the current marking of SPN and *Fired* $\subseteq T$ is a list of transitions.

Definition (Sensitization and Firability)

- Sensitization: A transition $t \in sens(M)$, if $M \ge pre(t)$, and $M \ge test(t)$, and M < inhib(t) or inhib(t) = 0.
- ► Firability: A transition t ∈ firable(s), where s = (Fired, M), if t ∈ sens(M).

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Token Player. Conclusion.
Definition (SPN Semantics)

The semantics of an SPN is represented by the triplet $\langle S, s_0, \rightsquigarrow \rangle$ where:

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Definition (SPN Semantics)

The semantics of an SPN is represented by the triplet $\langle S, s_0, \rightsquigarrow \rangle$ where:

► *S* is the set of states of the SPN.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Definition (SPN Semantics)

The semantics of an SPN is represented by the triplet $\langle S, s_0, \rightsquigarrow \rangle$ where:

- ► *S* is the set of states of the SPN.
- $s_0 = (\emptyset, M_0)$ is the initial state of the SPN.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Definition (SPN Semantics)

The semantics of an SPN is represented by the triplet $\langle S, s_0, \rightsquigarrow \rangle$ where:

- ► *S* is the set of states of the SPN.
- $s_0 = (\emptyset, M_0)$ is the initial state of the SPN.
- ▶ $\rightsquigarrow \subseteq S \times Clk \times S$ is the state changing relation, which is noted $s \stackrel{clk}{\rightsquigarrow} s'$ where $s, s' \in S$, $Clk = \{\downarrow clock, \uparrow clock\}$ and $clk \in Clk$.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



•
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$$
 if:

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



►
$$s = (Fired, M)^{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$$
 if:
► All transitions that are not firable are n

All transitions that are not firable are not fired, i.e.:
$$\forall t \in T, t \notin firable(s) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



•
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$$
 if:

- ▶ All transitions that are not firable are not fired, i.e.: $\forall t \in T, t \notin firable(s) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$
- All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired, i.e:

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



•
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\leadsto} s' = (Fired', M)$$
 if:

- All transitions that are not firable are not fired, i.e.: $\forall t \in T, t \notin firable(s) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$
- All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired, i.e:

 $\forall t \in firable(s), \ t \in sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in Fired',$ where $Pr(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in Fired'\}.$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player. Conclusion.

16 / 25



•
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$$
 if:

- All transitions that are not firable are not fired, i.e.: $\forall t \in T, t \notin firable(s) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$
- All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired, i.e:

 $\forall t \in firable(s), \ t \in sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in Fired',$ where $Pr(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in Fired'\}.$

All firable transitions that are not sensitized by the residual marking are not fired, i.e.:

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



•
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$$
 if:

- All transitions that are not firable are not fired, i.e.: $\forall t \in T, t \notin firable(s) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$
- All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired, i.e:

 $\forall t \in firable(s), \ t \in sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in Fired',$ where $Pr(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in Fired'\}.$

All firable transitions that are not sensitized by the residual marking are not fired, i.e.:
Yt c firable(c) t d area(M - S)
res(t)) > t d S

 $\forall t \in firable(s), \ t \notin sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$

Context.

All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired.

$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\leadsto} s' = (Fired', M)$$



Figure: At state s.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired.

$$s = (Fired, M)^{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$$

$$T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$$



Figure: At state s.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired.



 $s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\sim} s' = (Fired', M)$ $T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$ $T_2 \in Fired'?$

Figure: At state s.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired.



 $s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$ $T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$ $T_2 \in Fired'?$ $M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$

Figure: At state s.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired.



 $s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$ $T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$ $T_2 \in Fired'?$ $M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$ YES!

Figure: At state s.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired.



Figure: At state s.

$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$$

$$T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$$

$$T_2 \in Fired'?$$

$$M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$$
YES!
$$M_R = (P_0, 1), T_2 \in sens(M_R)?$$

•
$$M_R = (P_0, 1), \ T_2 \in sens(M_R)?$$

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired.



Figure: At state s.

$$s = (Fired, M) \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$$

$$T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$$

$$T_2 \in Fired'?$$

$$M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$$

$$YES!$$

$$M_R = (P_0, 1), T_2 \in sens(M_R)?$$

$$YES!$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking are fired.



Figure: At state s.

$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\sim} s' = (Fired', M)$$

$$T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$$

$$T_2 \in Fired'?$$

$$M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$$

YES!

•
$$M_R = (P_0, 1), T_2 \in sens(M_R)$$
?
YES!

► Then, according to rule 2 of SPN semantics: T₂ ∈ Fired'

Context.

HILECOP PNs.



•
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\leadsto} s' = (Fired, M')$$
:

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



•
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired, M'):$$

M' is the new marking resulting from the firing of all transitions contained in Fired, i.e.:

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.



•
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired, M')$$
:

M' is the new marking resulting from the firing of all transitions contained in Fired, i.e.:
 M' = *M* − ∑_{ti∈Fired} (pre(t_i) − post(t_i)).

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

SPN Semantics in Coq.

```
1 Inductive SpnSemantics (spn : Spn) (s s' : SpnState) : Clock \rightarrow Prop :=
 2
     SpnSemantics_falling_edge :
        (* Rules 1, 2 and 3 *)
 3
        \dots \rightarrow \text{SpnSemantics spn s s' falling_edge}
 4
 5
     SpnSemantics_rising_edge :
        (* Ensures the consistency of spn, s and s'. *)
 6
 7
        <code>IsWellDefinedSpn spn 
ightarrow</code>
 8
        <code>IsWellDefinedSpnState spn s</code> \rightarrow
        <code>IsWellDefinedSpnState spn s'</code> \rightarrow
 9
        (* Fired stays the same between state s and s'. *)
10
        s.(fired) = s'.(fired) \rightarrow
11
        (* Rule 4 of SPN semantics. *)
12
        (forall (p: Place) (n : nat),
13
14
        (p, n) \in s.(marking) \rightarrow
        (p, n - (presum spn p s.(fired)) + (postsum spn p s.(fired))) \in s'.(marking)) \rightarrow
15
        SpnSemantics spn s s' rising_edge.
16
```

- s.(marking) expresses the marking at state s.
- Markings are list of couples (place, number of tokens).

Context.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Implementation of the SPN semantics rules.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

. Formalization.

Token Player.

- Implementation of the SPN semantics rules.
- Computes the evolution of a given SPN from initial state s₀ to state s_n, where n is the number of evolution cycles.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

- Implementation of the SPN semantics rules.
- Computes the evolution of a given SPN from initial state s₀ to state s_n, where n is the number of evolution cycles.
- Gives us confidence in our implementation of SPN semantics.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

An Algorithm for one cycle of evolution.

Data: spn. an SPN, s, the state of spn at the beginning of the clock cycle. Result: A couple of SPN states, s' and s", results of the evolution of spn from state s. 1 begin fired_transitions \leftarrow [] 2 /* Phase 1, falling edge of the clock. foreach priority_group in spn.priority_groups do 3 4 $resid_m \leftarrow s.marking$ foreach trans in priority_group do if is_firable(trans, s) and is_sensitized(trans, resid_m) then 6 update_residual_marking(trans, resid_m) 7 push_back(trans, fired_transitions) 8 $s' \leftarrow make_state(fired_transitions, s.marking)$ 9 /* Phase 2, rising edge of the clock. new_marking \leftarrow s'.marking 10 foreach trans in fired transitions do 11 update_marking_pre(trans, new_marking) 12 update_marking_post(trans, new_marking) 13 $s'' \leftarrow make_state(s', fired, new_marking)$ 14 return (s', s") 15 Algorithm 1: cycle(spn, s)

Context.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Conclusion.

*/

*/

Falling edge phase.



$$\label{eq:s} \begin{split} \mathbf{s} &= (\textit{fired},\textit{marking}) \text{ with } \mathbf{s}.\texttt{marking} = (P_0,2), \ (P_1,0), \ (P_2,0) \\ \texttt{priority_groups} &= [\ [T_0,T_1,T_2] \] \end{split}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.



priority_groups = [
$$[T_0, T_1, T_2]$$
]
fired_transitions = []

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.



priority_groups = [
$$[T_0, T_1, T_2]$$
]
fired_transitions = []
priority_group = $[T_0, T_1, T_2]$

Context.

 T_0

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.



fired_transitions = []
priority_group =
$$[T_0, T_1, T_2]$$

resid_m = $(P_0, 2)$, $(P_1, 0)$, $(P_2, 0)$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.



fired_transitions = []
priority_group =
$$[T_0, T_1, T_2]$$

resid_m = $(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.



fired_transitions = []
priority_group =
$$[T_0, T_1, T_2]$$

resid_m = $(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [] \\ \texttt{priority_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid_m} = (P_0, 1), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.
Falling edge phase.



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [T_0] \\ \texttt{priority_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid_m} = (P_0, 1), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [T_0] \\ \texttt{priority_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid_m} = (P_0, 1), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [T_0] \\ \texttt{priority_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid_m} = (P_0, 1), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [\mathcal{T}_0] \\ \texttt{priority_group} = [\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2] \\ \texttt{resid_m} = (\mathcal{P}_0, 0), \ (\mathcal{P}_1, 0), \ (\mathcal{P}_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [T_0, T_1] \\ \texttt{priority_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid_m} = (P_0, 0), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [T_0, T_1] \\ \texttt{priority_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid_m} = (P_0, 0), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [T_0, T_1] \\ \texttt{priority_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid_m} = (P_0, 0), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Falling edge phase.

 $s' = ([T_0, T_1], [(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)])$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Execution on An Example. Rising edge phase.

$$\begin{split} \texttt{s'} &= ([\mathit{T}_0, \mathit{T}_1], [(\mathit{P}_0, 2), (\mathit{P}_1, 0), (\mathit{P}_2, 0)]) \\ \texttt{fired_transitions} &= [\mathit{T}_0, \mathit{T}_1] \end{split}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Rising edge phase.

fired_transitions =
$$[T_0, T_1]$$

new_marking = $(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Rising edge phase.

fired_transitions =
$$[T_0, T_1]$$

new_marking = $(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Execution on An Example. Rising edge phase.

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [\mathit{T}_0, \mathit{T}_1] \\ \texttt{new_marking} = (\mathit{P}_0, 1), \; (\mathit{P}_1, 0), \; (\mathit{P}_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

 T_0

 P_1

HILECOP PNs.

. Formalization.

Token Player.

Execution on An Example. Rising edge phase.

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [\mathit{T}_0, \mathit{T}_1] \\ \texttt{new_marking} = (\mathit{P}_0, 1), \; (\mathit{P}_1, 1), \; (\mathit{P}_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Rising edge phase.

fired_transitions =
$$[T_0, T_1]$$

new_marking = $(P_0, 1)$, $(P_1, 1)$, $(P_2, 0)$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

s. Formalization.

Token Player.

Rising edge phase.

fired_transitions =
$$[T_0, T_1]$$

new_marking = $(P_0, 0)$, $(P_1, 1)$, $(P_2, 0)$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

s. Formalization.

Token Player.

Rising edge phase.

$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired_transitions} = [\textit{T}_0,\textit{T}_1] \\ \texttt{new_marking} = (\textit{P}_0,0), \; (\textit{P}_1,1), \; (\textit{P}_2,1) \end{array}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

. Formalization.

Token Player.

Execution on An Example. Rising edge phase.

s'' = ([T_0, T_1], [($P_0, 0$), ($P_1, 1$), ($P_2, 1$)])

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Execution on An Example. Rising edge phase.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}' &= ([T_0, T_1], [(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)]) \\ \mathbf{s}'' &= ([T_0, T_1], [(P_0, 0), (P_1, 1), (P_2, 1)]) \end{aligned}$$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Correctness/Completeness of The SPN Token Player.

Theorem (Correctness) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s s' s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $cycle spn s = (s', s'') <math>\Rightarrow s^{\downarrow clock} s'^{\uparrow clock} s''.$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Correctness/Completeness of The SPN Token Player.

Theorem (Correctness)

 $\forall (spn: Spn) (s s' s'': SpnState), which are well-defined, cycle spn s = (s', s'') \Rightarrow s^{\downarrow clock} s'^{\uparrow clock} s''.$

Theorem (Completeness)

 $\forall (spn: Spn) (s s' s'': SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s'' \Rightarrow cycle spn s = (s', s'').$

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Context.

- Formal verification of a model-to-text transformation from HILECOP PNs to VHDL.
- First step: model the semantics of HILECOP PNs (SITPNs).

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Context.

- Formal verification of a model-to-text transformation from HILECOP PNs to VHDL.
- First step: model the semantics of HILECOP PNs (SITPNs).

Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Context.

- Formal verification of a model-to-text transformation from HILECOP PNs to VHDL.
- First step: model the semantics of HILECOP PNs (SITPNs).

Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

On Going.

Add time, interpretation and macroplaces to SPNs semantics.

Context.

HILECOP PNs.

Formalization.

Token Player.

Thank you for your attention!

Bibliography.

D. Andreu, D. Guiraud, and S. Guillaume.

A distributed architecture for activating the peripheral nervous system. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 6(2):18, Feb. 2009.

H. Leroux.

Méthodologie de conception d'architectures numériques complexes: du formalisme à l'implémentation en passant par l'analyse, préservation de la conformité. Application aux neuroprothèses. (A methodology for the design of complex digital architectures: from formalism to implementation, taking into account formal analysis. Application to neuroprosthetics).

PhD thesis, Montpellier 2 University, France, 2014.

X. Leroy.

Formal Verification of a Realistic Compiler.

Communications of the ACM (CACM), 52(7):107-115, July 2009.

I. Merzoug.

Validation formelle des systèmes numériques critiques : génération de l'espace d'états de réseaux de Petri exécutés en synchrone. (Formal validation of critical digital systems : generation of state space of Petri nets executed in synchronous).

PhD thesis, University of Montpellier, France, 2018.

The Cog Development Team.

Coq, version 8.9.0. Inria, Jan. 2019. http://coq.inria.fr/.

Coq Implementation of the SPN Token Player.

```
1 Definition spn_cycle (spn : Spn) (starting_state : SpnState) :
       option (SpnState * SpnState) :=
 2
       (* Computes the transitions to be fired. *)
 3
       match spn_falling_edge spn starting_state with
 4
         Some inter state \Rightarrow
 5
         (* Updates the marking. *)
 6
         match spn_rising_edge spn inter_state with
 7
           Some final_state \Rightarrow Some (inter_state, final_state)
8
           None \Rightarrow None
 9
10
         end
         None \Rightarrow None
11
12
       end.
```

Figure: The SPN Token Player Program in Coq.

Coq Implementation of the SPN Token Player.

```
1 Definition spn_cycle (spn : Spn) (starting_state : SpnState) :
       option (SpnState * SpnState) :=
2
       (* Computes the transitions to be fired. *)
3
       match spn_falling_edge spn starting_state with
4
         Some inter state \Rightarrow
5
         (* Updates the marking. *)
6
         match spn_rising_edge spn inter_state with
7
           Some final_state \Rightarrow Some (inter_state, final_state)
8
           None \Rightarrow None
9
10
         end
         None \Rightarrow None
11
12
       end
```

Figure: The SPN Token Player Program in Coq.

match checks the result of function calls.

Coq Implementation of the SPN Token Player.

```
1 Definition spn_cycle (spn : Spn) (starting_state : SpnState) :
       option (SpnState * SpnState) :=
2
       (* Computes the transitions to be fired. *)
3
       match spn_falling_edge spn starting_state with
4
         Some inter state \Rightarrow
5
         (* Updates the marking. *)
6
         match spn_rising_edge spn inter_state with
7
           Some final_state \Rightarrow Some (inter_state, final_state)
8
           None \Rightarrow None
9
10
         end
         None \Rightarrow None
11
12
       end
```

Figure: The SPN Token Player Program in Coq.

- match checks the result of function calls.
- Functions return Some value or None (error case).

Reminder on Correctness and Completeness.

► Let *X*, *Y* be two types.

- Let P ∈ X → Y be a program, that takes x ∈ X as an input value and returns some y ∈ Y.
- Let S ∈ X → Y → {⊤, ⊥} be the specification of program P. S is a predicate that takes x and y as input values and return True or False.

Definition (Correctness)

A program P is said to be correct regarding its specification if $\forall x \in X, y \in Y, P(x) = y \Rightarrow S(x, y)$

Definition (Completeness)

A program P is said to be complete regarding its specification if $\forall x \in X, y \in Y, S(x, y) \Rightarrow P(x) = y$

Theorem (Correctness)

 $\forall (spn: Spn) (s s' s'': SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $spn_cycle spn s = Some (s', s'') \Rightarrow s^{\downarrow clock} s'^{\uparrow clock} s''.$

Theorem (Correctness) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' \ s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $<math>spn_cycle \ spn \ s = Some \ (s', \ s'') \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s', \xrightarrow{\uparrow clock} s''.$ Lemma (Falling Edge Correct) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $<math>spn_falling_edge \ spn \ s = Some \ s' \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s'.$

Theorem (Correctness) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' \ s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $<math>spn_cycle \ spn \ s = Some \ (s', \ s'') \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s', \xrightarrow{\uparrow clock} s''.$ Lemma (Falling Edge Correct) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $<math>spn_falling_edge \ spn \ s = Some \ s' \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s'.$

Falling Edge Correct Proof.

- Induction on the priority groups of spn.
- With the help of other lemmas:

Theorem (Correctness) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' \ s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $<math>spn_cycle \ spn \ s = Some \ (s', \ s'') \Rightarrow s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s', \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s''.$ Lemma (Rising Edge Correct) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $<math>spn_rising_edge \ spn \ s = Some \ s' \Rightarrow s \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s'.$

Theorem (Correctness) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s s' s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $<math>spn_cycle \ spn \ s = Some \ (s', \ s'') \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow \ clock} s' \xrightarrow{\uparrow \ clock} s''.$ Lemma (Rising Edge Correct) $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $<math>spn_rising_edge \ spn \ s = Some \ s' \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\uparrow \ clock} s'.$ Rising Edge Correct Proof.

Induction on the list of transitions to be fired of state s.

With the help of other lemmas:

1 update_marking_pre(t, M) = Some M'

$$\Leftrightarrow M' = M - \sum_{t_i \in Fired} pre(t_i)$$

2 update_marking_post(t, M) = Some M'
 $\Leftrightarrow M' = M + \sum_{t_i \in Fired} post(t_i)$
3 ...

Theorem (Completeness)

 $\forall (spn: Spn) (s s' s'': SpnState), which are well-defined,$ $s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s'' \Rightarrow spn_cycle spn s = Some (s', s'').$
Completeness of The SPN Token Player.

Theorem (Completeness)

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \ (spn: Spn) \ (s \ s' \ s'' : SpnState), \ which \ are \ well-defined, \\ s \stackrel{\downarrow \ clock}{\rightsquigarrow} \ s' \stackrel{\uparrow \ clock}{\rightsquigarrow} \ s'' \Rightarrow \ spn_cycle \ spn \ s = \ Some \ (s', \ s''). \end{array}$

Lemma (Falling Edge Complete)

$$\forall (spn: Spn) (s s': SpnState), which are well-defined, s $\stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \Rightarrow spn_falling_edge spn s = Some s'.$$$

Completeness of The SPN Token Player.

Theorem (Completeness)

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \ (spn: Spn) \ (s \ s' \ s'' : SpnState), \ which \ are \ well-defined, \\ s \stackrel{\downarrow \ clock}{\rightsquigarrow} \ s' \stackrel{\uparrow \ clock}{\rightsquigarrow} \ s'' \Rightarrow \ spn_cycle \ spn \ s = \ Some \ (s', \ s''). \end{array}$

Lemma (Falling Edge Complete)

$$\forall (spn: Spn) (s s': SpnState), which are well-defined, s $\stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \Rightarrow spn_falling_edge spn s = Some s'.$$$

Lemma (Rising Edge Complete)

$$orall (spn: Spn) (s s': SpnState), which are well-defined, $s^{\uparrow clock} s' \Rightarrow spn_rising_edge spn s = Some s'.$$$