Context

Why protect ?

- Privacy, property, forgery
- Avoid leaks (or trace them)
 - Medical data (Greenbone Networks Study, september 2019)



Greenbone Networks Study, (september 2019)

Monde/France

- 399 M/2.94 M medical images
- 24 M/54.000 patient records
- 500 faulty servers
- DICOM (Protocol)

3D Data Hiding

- Watermarking
- High-Capacity Data Hiding
- Steganography

Selective Encryption

- Confidential Protection
- Sufficient Protection
- Transparent Protection



3D Data Hiding

- Watermarking
- High-Capacity Data Hiding
- Steganography

Selective Encryption

- Confidential Protection
- Sufficient Protection
- Transparent Protection



3D Data Hiding

- Watermarking
- High-Capacity Data Hiding
- Steganography

Selective Encryption

- Confidential Protection
- Sufficient Protection
- Transparent Protection



3D Data Hiding

- Watermarking
- High-Capacity Data Hiding
- Steganography

Selective Encryption

- Confidential Protection
- Sufficient Protection
- Transparent Protection



3D Data Hiding

Definition

The art to insert hidden message in data:

- Confidentiality: Statistical invisibility
- Robustness: Transformation resistance
- Capacity: Embedded message size



Interests

- Content Enrichment (Metadata)
- DRM (Trace, history logs)
- Integrity (Modification, forgery)

High-Capacity 3D Data Hiding

Hamiltonian Path Quantization (HPQ)

Synchronization: Nearest Neighbour Halmitonian Path (NNHP)

Insertion: Spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ)



High-Capacity 3D Data Hiding

Hamiltonian Path Quantization (HPQ)

- Synchronization: Nearest Neighbour Halmitonian Path (NNHP)
- Insertion: Spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ)



High-Capacity 3D Data Hiding

Hamiltonian Path Quantization (HPQ)

Synchronization: Nearest Neighbour Halmitonian Path (NNHP)

Insertion: Spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ)



High-Capacity 3D Data Hiding

Hamiltonian Path Quantization (HPQ)

Synchronization: Nearest Neighbour Halmitonian Path (NNHP)

Insertion: Spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ)



Multimedia Tools Applications, Springer, 2017

High-Capacity 3D Data Hiding

Hamiltonian Path Quantization (HPQ)

Synchronization: Nearest Neighbour Halmitonian Path (NNHP)

Insertion: Spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ)



Vincent Itier and William Puech High capacity data hiding for 3D point clouds based on Static Arithmetic Coding. Multimedia Tools Applications, Springer, 2017

High-Capacity 3D Data Hiding

Hamiltonian Path Quantization (HPQ)

Synchronization: Nearest Neighbour Halmitonian Path (NNHP)

Insertion: Spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ)



Vincent Itier and William Puech High capacity data hiding for 3D point clouds based on Static Arithmetic Coding. Multimedia Tools Applications, Springer, 2017

High-Capacity 3D Data Hiding

Hamiltonian Path Quantization (HPQ)

Synchronization: Nearest Neighbour Halmitonian Path (NNHP)

Insertion: Spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ)



Vincent Itier and William Puech High capacity data hiding for 3D point clouds based on Static Arithmetic Coding. Multimedia Tools Applications, Springer, 2017

3D Selective Encryption - Method overview

Selective encryption

- Reversible
- Controlled visual confidentiality level

Format-compliant

- Viewable encrypted mesh
- Size preservation



3D Selective Encryption - Data representation

Mesh

- Geometry (Vertices)
- Connectivity (Faces)



Vertex



3D Selective Encryption - Mask construction

Confidentiality level $D = \langle p, l \rangle$

- **p**, position of first bit to encrypt $p \in [0; 22]$
- *I*, number of bits to encrypt $I \in \llbracket 1 ; p+1 \rrbracket$



D-SW mask $(D = \langle p, l \rangle)$



3D Selective Encryption Results

yption Results

3D Selective Encryption - Experimental results



3D Selective Encryption as a function of Confidentiality level $D = < p, p + \frac{1}{8}$

3D Selective Encryption - Experimental results



Experimental setup

- 380 3D Objects from Princeton Mesh Segmentation Database
- Metric : Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)
- Parameters : $D = \langle p, l = 1 \text{ bit } \rangle$ and $D = \langle p, l = p + 1 \text{ bits } \rangle$

3D Selective Encryption

Results

29

3D Selective Encryption - Statistical Analysis



3D Selective Encryption - Secret key sensitivity



RMSE between M and M_{K_w} as a function of the secret key K and keyset $\mathbb{K} = \{K_w | d_{Hamming}(K, K_w) = 1\}$.

3D Selective Encryption - Robustness analysis

Selective data encryption

- Encrypted bit quantity lower than full encryption
- Weak against attacks guessing content rather than attacks guessing secret key

Example

- D = < p, 1 > (D-SW mask)
- N vertices
- 3 bits per vertex (3.125% of geometry)

Guessing probability for 3 bits fo all N vertices

$$P = \frac{1}{2^{3 \times N}}$$

3D Selective Encryption - Mesh processing attacks

- Laplacian smoothing ($\lambda = 0.3$ and 100 iterations)
- \square D = < 17, 18 > (Transparent protection)







Encrypted

Smoothed

Original

3D Selective Encryption - Mesh processing attacks

- Laplacian smoothing ($\lambda = 0.3$ and 100 iterations)
- D = < 21, 22 > (Sufficient protection)



3D Selective Encryption - Conclusion



[beugnon2019icme] Sébastien Beugnon, William Puech and Jean-Pierre Pedeboy From Visual Confidentiality To Transparent Format-Compliant Selective Encryption Of 3D Objects. IEEE International Conference on Multimedia & Expo, 2018

Secret Sharing

Secret Sharing

- Threshold cryptography method (k, n)
- Distribution of *n* shares
- Secret recovery when at least k participants



Secret Sharing

Secret Sharing

- Threshold cryptography method (k, n)
- Distribution of *n* shares
- Secret recovery when at least k participants

Interests

- Critical data storage
- Confidentiality
- Reliability

Approaches

- G.R. Blakley (1979)
- A. Shamir (1979)

Blakley's scheme (1979)

- Secret S is a k-D point
- Shares $s_i | i \in \{1, n\}$ are k-D hyperplanes



Blakley's scheme (1979)

- Secret S is a k-D point
- Shares $s_i | i \in \{1, n\}$ are k-D hyperplanes



Blakley's scheme (1979)

- Secret S is a k-D point
- Shares $s_i | i \in \{1, n\}$ are k-D hyperplanes





Blakley's scheme (1979)

- Secret S is a k-D point
- Shares $s_i | i \in \{1, n\}$ are k-D hyperplanes





Secret Sharing - Shamir



A. Shamir How to Share a Secret. Communications of the ACM, 1979

Secret Sharing - Shamir



A. Shamir How to Share a Secret. Communications of the ACM, 1979

Secret Sharing - Shamir





A. Shamir How to Share a Secret. Communications of the ACM, 1979

Secret Image Sharing

Secret Image Sharing

■ *shares* = images



Secret Image Sharing

Secret Image Sharing

shares = images

Constraints

- Image size preservation
- Shared pixel are independant
- Lossless
- No secret key

Secret Image Sharing

Approaches

- Polynomial-based Secret Image Sharing (PSIS)
- Visual Cryptography (VC)

Methods (VC)

Visual cryptography (M. Naor et A. Shamir, 1994)

Application of Visual Cryptography to Biometric Authentication (N. Askari *et al.*, 2015)

Methods (PSIS)

- Secret Image Sharing (C. Thien and J. Lin, 2002)
- Secret image sharing with user-friendly shadow images (C. Thien and J.Lin, 2003)
- Sharing and hiding secret images with size constraint (Y. Wu, C. Thien and J. Lin, 2004)

Secret 3D Object Sharing

Secret 3D Object Sharing

shares = meshes

Constraints

- Visualisable encrypted meshes
- Controlled visual confidentiality level
- Size preservation and same number of vertices
- Sébastien Beugnon, William Puech and Jean-Pierre Pedeboy Format-Compliant Selective Secret 3D Object Sharing Scheme. IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, 2019

Selective Secret 3D Object Sharing - Method overview



Method

- Sharing independently each vertex $v_i | i \in \llbracket 1 ; N_v \rrbracket$
- Selection of sharing data space

Selective Secret 3D Object Sharing - Vertex bit selection

Confidentiality level D = < p, l >

- **p**, position of first bit to share $p \in [0; 22]$
- I, number of bits to share $l \in \llbracket 1 ; p + 1 \rrbracket$



Selective Secret 3D Object Sharing - Binary word sharing

Sharing parameters

Using Shamir's Scheme

- Secret is W_i
- Defined on Galois field GF(2^(3*/))

$$B_{i,j} = f(x_j) = W_i + ... + a_{k-1} \times x_j^{k-1}$$

Maximum number of shares

$$n_{max} = |GF(2^m)| - 1 = |GF(2^{3 \times l})| - 1 = 2^{(3 \times l)} - 1$$

Secret 3D Object Sharing - Binary word sharing

Using Blakley's scheme

- W_i is fragmented into small blocks as coordinate of secret point S
- Transform share hyperplane coefficients into a binary word $B_{i,j}$

Maximum number of shares

$$n_{max} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} C_1^{2^{|a_j|}} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} 2^{|a_j|}$$

Secret 3D object Sharing

Secret 3D Object Sharing - Shared 3D object generation



Substitute selected vertex data by binary word from sharing process

Secret 3D object Sharing

Secret 3D Object Sharing - Results

Application

•
$$(k = 3, n = 4)$$

■ *D* =< 18, 19 >

Sharing



Secret 3D object Sharing

Secret 3D Object Sharing - Results

Application

Reconstruction



 (M'_0, M'_1, M'_2) (M'_0, M'_1, M'_3) (M'_0, M'_1)

Hierarchical Secret Sharing

Multilevel hierarchy

L levels

•
$$\mathbf{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{L-1})$$
, with $k_i < k_j$ such as $\forall i, j \in \llbracket 0 ; L \llbracket, i < j \rrbracket$

n = (n_0, \ldots, n_{L-1})



Hierarchical Secret Sharing

Tassa's hierarchy (2007)

- Based on Shamir's scheme
- Using derived from the polynomial



T. Tassa *Hierarchical threshold secret sharing.* Journal of cryptology, 2007

Hierarchical Secret Sharing

Belenkiyes hierarchy (2008)

- Same as Tassa
- The secret is hidden in the last coefficient



M. Belenkiy Disjunctive Multi-Level Secret Sharing. IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, 2008

Priority Access Hierarchy (PAH)

Definition

- Hierarchy more realist of industrial usecase
- Using derived from the polynomial
- Distributing polynomials' coefficients

