Super-Resolution: A Bayesian approach

Ali Mohammad-Djafari Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes, UMR8506 CNRS-SUPELEC-UNIV PARIS SUD 11 SUPELEC, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

> Email: djafari@lss.supelec.fr http://djafari.free.fr

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

## Contents

- Super Resolution problems: Single Input Single Output (SISO) Multiple Input Single Output (MISO) Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO)
- Forward models
- SISO: Image deconvolution (restoration)
- Convolution, Identification, Deconvolution and Blind Deconvolution
- Algebraic deterministic methods
- Bayesian approach
- MISO or MIMO: Classical SR methods
- Bayesian approach
- A new method using Hidden Markov modeling of HR image
- Some results
- Conclusions
- New challenges in SR

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

### Examples of applications

- Embedded low-resolution imaging devices: Increasing the resolution
- Thermal camera: Increasing the resolution
- Multi-camera and multi-view recording in aerial or satellite imaging: Registration and image fusion
- Medical and Biological imaging systems: Multi modal image fusion
- Holographic and 3D TV imaging: 3D from 2D
- 3D photography and surface modeling for 3-D scenes

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ のので

## SISO (Single Input Single Output) SR problem



B: Blurring (needs image restoration)

$$egin{aligned} g(x,y) &= \iint f(x',y')h(x-x',y-y') \; \mathsf{d}x' \; \mathsf{d}y' \ g(oldsymbol{r}) &= \iint f(oldsymbol{r}')h(oldsymbol{r}-oldsymbol{r}') \; \mathsf{d}r' \end{aligned}$$

- $h(\mathbf{r}) = h(x, y)$ : Point Spread function
- Convolution/Deconvolution

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

• • • • • • • • • • •

## SISO (Single Input Single Output) SR problem



 $g(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \longleftarrow \mathcal{D} \ \mathcal{B} \longleftarrow \qquad f(\mathbf{r})$ 

- Blurring (needs image restoration)
- ► D: Down sampling (needs interpolation and Up Sampling)

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

5/75

• • • • • • • • • • • •

# MISO (Multi Input Single Output) SR problem





 $g_k(\boldsymbol{r}) \qquad \longleftarrow \mathcal{D} \ \mathcal{M}_k \ \mathcal{B} \longleftarrow$ 

 $f(\boldsymbol{r})$ 

- Blurring (needs image restoration)
- $\mathcal{M}_k$ : Movement
  - (needs Registration and image fusion)
- D: Down sampling (needs interpolation and Up Sampling)

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

## MIMO (Multi Input Multi Output) SR problem





 $g_k(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \longleftarrow \mathcal{D} \ \mathcal{M}_k \ \mathcal{B} \longleftarrow \qquad f_k(\mathbf{r})$ 

- ▶ B: Blurring (needs image restoration)
- $\mathcal{M}_k$ : Movement
  - (needs Registration and image fusion)

► D: Down sampling (needs interpolation and Up Sampling) A. Mohammad-Djafari, superesolution, Cours Master Montpelier 2013 7/75

## MISO 3D SR problem



- Non Destructive Testing (NDT) using Computed Tomography (CT)
- Multi modal medical imaging

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

### Forward model for SISO SR problem



B: Blurring (needs image restoration)

$$egin{aligned} g(x,y) &= \iint f(x',y')h(x-x',y-y') \; \mathsf{d}x' \; \mathsf{d}y' \ g(oldsymbol{r}) &= \iint f(oldsymbol{r}')h(oldsymbol{r}-oldsymbol{r}') \; \mathsf{d}r' \end{aligned}$$

- $h(\mathbf{r}) = h(x, y)$ : Point Spread function
- Convolution/Deconvolution

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

• • • • • • • • • • •

### Image Restoration (Deconvolution)

Forward problem: Convolution:

$$g(x,y) = \iint f(x',y')h(x-x',y-y') \, \mathrm{d}x' \, \mathrm{d}y'$$

• Inverse problem: Given g and h find f: Deconvolution

Fourier based methods:

$$\begin{cases} F(u,v) = \iint f(x,y) \exp\left\{-j(ux+vy)\right\} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \\ f(x,y) = \iint F(u,v) \exp\left\{+j(ux+vy)\right\} \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}v \end{cases}$$

$$g(x,y) = f(x,y) * h(x,y) \longrightarrow G(u,v) = H(u,v)F(u,v)$$

► Inverse Filtering:  $F(u, v) = \frac{1}{H(u, v)}G(u, v)$ 

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

10/75

Deconvolution: 1D and 2D cases

$$f(t) \longrightarrow \boxed{h(t)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon(t)} g(t)$$

$$g(t) = \int f(t') h(t - t') dt' + \epsilon(t)$$

 $\blacktriangleright~f(t),~g(t)~{\rm and}~\epsilon(t)$  are modelled as Gaussian random signal

$$\begin{split} \epsilon(x,y) & \downarrow \\ f(x,y) \longrightarrow \fbox{h}(x,y) \longrightarrow \bigoplus^{+} \longrightarrow g(x,y) \\ g(x,y) &= \iint f(x',y') h(x-x',y-y') \, \mathrm{d}x' \, \mathrm{d}y' + \epsilon(x,y) \end{split}$$

► f(x, y), g(x, y) and e(x, y) are modelled as homogeneous and Gaussian random fields

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ のので

A. Mohammad-Djafari, superesolution, Cours Master Montpelier 2013 11/75

Wiener Filtering: 1D Case

$$f(t) \longrightarrow h(t) \longrightarrow f(t) \qquad (t)$$

$$g(t) = h(t) * f(t) + \epsilon(t)$$

Expected values:

$$\mathsf{E}\left\{g(t)\right\} = h(t) * \mathsf{E}\left\{f(t)\right\} + \mathsf{E}\left\{\epsilon(t)\right\}$$

Auto and Inter correlation functions:

$$\begin{split} R_{gg}(\tau) &= \mathsf{E}\left\{g(t)\,g(t+\tau)\right\}\\ R_{ff}(\tau) &= \mathsf{E}\left\{f(t)\,f(t+\tau)\right\} \end{split}$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

### Wiener Filtering: 1D Case

$$f(t) \longrightarrow h(t) \longrightarrow g(t)$$

Auto and Inter correlation functions:

$$R_{gg}(\tau) = h(t) * h(t) * R_{ff}(\tau) + R_{\epsilon\epsilon}(\tau)$$
  

$$R_{gf}(\tau) = h(t) * R_{ff}(\tau)$$

Spectral density functions:

$$S_{gg}(\omega) = |H(\omega)|^2 S_{ff}(\omega) + R_{\epsilon\epsilon}(\omega)$$
  

$$S_{gf}(\omega) = H(\omega) S_{ff}(\omega)$$
  

$$S_{fg}(\omega) = H^*(\omega) S_{ff}(\omega)$$

Wiener filtering:

$$g(t) \longrightarrow \fbox{w(t)} \longrightarrow \widehat{f}(t) \text{ or } G(\omega) \longrightarrow \fbox{W(\omega)} \longrightarrow \widehat{F}(\omega)$$

13/75

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

### Wiener Filtering: 1D Case

$$\begin{split} EQM &= \mathsf{E}\left\{[f(t) - \widehat{f}(t)]^2\right\} = \mathsf{E}\left\{[f(t) - w(t) * g(t)]^2\right\} \\ &\frac{\partial EQM}{\partial f} = -2\mathsf{E}\left\{[f(t) - w(t) * g(t)] * g(t + \tau)\right\} = 0 \\ &\mathsf{E}\left\{[f(t) - w(t) * g(t)] g(t + \tau)\right\} = 0 \quad \forall t, \tau \longrightarrow \\ &R_{fg}(\tau) = w(t) * R_{gg}(\tau) \\ &W(\omega) = \frac{S_{fg}(\omega)}{S_{gg}(\omega)} = \frac{H^*(\omega) S_{ff}(\omega)}{|H(\omega)|^2 S_{ff}(\omega) + S_{\epsilon\epsilon}(\omega)} \\ \end{split}$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

14/75

문 🛌 문

### Wiener Filtering: 2D Case

- Linear Estimation:  $\widehat{f}(x, y)$  is such that:
  - $\widehat{f}(x,y)$  depends on g(x,y) in a linear way:

$$\widehat{f}(x,y) = \iint g(x',y') \, w(x-x',y-y') \; \mathsf{d} x' \; \mathsf{d} y'$$

w(x,y) is the impulse response of the Wiener filtre

- minimizes MSE:  $E\left\{|f(x,y) \hat{f}(x,y)|^2\right\}$
- Orthogonality condition:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (f(x,y) - \widehat{f}(x,y)) \bot g(x',y') & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{E}\left\{ \left(f(x,y) - \widehat{f}(x,y)\right)g(x',y')\right\} = 0 \\ \widehat{f} = g \ast w & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{E}\left\{\left(f(x,y) - g(x,y) \ast w(x,y)\right)g(x + \alpha_1, y + \alpha_2)\right\} = 0 \\ R_{fg}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (R_{gg} \ast w)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{TF} \longrightarrow & S_{fg}(u,v) = S_{gg}(u,v)W(u,v) \\ & \Downarrow \end{array}$$

$$W(u,v) = \frac{S_{fg}(u,v)}{S_{gg}(u,v)}$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari, superesolution, Cours Master Montpelier 2013 15/75

Wiener filtering:1D and 2D Cases<br/>SignalImage $W(\omega) = \frac{S_{fg}(\omega)}{S_{gg}(\omega)}$  $W(u, v) = \frac{S_{fg}(u, v)}{S_{gg}(u, v)}$ 

#### Particular Case:

f(x,y) and  $\epsilon(x,y)$  are assumed to be centered and non correlated

 $S_{fa}(u,v) = H'(u,v) S_{ff}(u,v)$  $S_{aa}(u,v) = |H(u,v)|^2 S_{ff}(u,v) + S_{\epsilon\epsilon}(u,v)$  $W(u,v) = \frac{H'(u,v)S_{ff}(u,v)}{|H(u,v)|^2 S_{ff}(u,v) + S_{cc}(u,v)}$ Signal Image  $W(\omega) = \frac{1}{H(\omega)} \frac{|H(\omega)|^2}{|H(\omega)|^2 + \frac{S_{\epsilon\epsilon}(\omega)}{S_{\epsilon\epsilon}(\omega)}} \quad W(u,v) = \frac{1}{H(u,v)} \frac{|H(u,v)|^2}{|H(u,v)|^2 + \frac{S_{\epsilon\epsilon}(u,v)}{S_{ff}(u,v)}}$ A. Mohammad-Diafari. superesolution. Cours Master Montpelier 2013 16/75

Convolution, Deconvolution, Identification and Blind Deconvolution in signal processing



- Convolution: Given f and h compute g
- Identification: Given f and g estimate h
- Deconvolution: Given g and h estimate f
- Blind deconvolution: Given g estimate both h and f

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013 17/75

### Convolution: Discretization

$$f(t) \longrightarrow h(t) \longrightarrow f(t)$$

$$g(t) = \int f(t') h(t - t') dt' + \epsilon(t) = \int h(t') f(t - t') dt' + \epsilon(t)$$

- ► The signals f(t), g(t), h(t) are discretized with the same sampling period ∆T = 1,
- ► The impulse response is finite (FIR) : h(t) = 0, for t such that  $t < -q\Delta T$  or  $\forall t > p\Delta T$ .

$$g(m) = \sum_{k=-q}^{p} h(k) f(m-k) + \epsilon(m), \quad m = 0, \cdots, M$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

## Convolution: Discretized matrix vector forms



- g is a (M + 1)-dimensional vector,
- f has dimension M + p + q + 1,
- ▶  $h = [h(p), \cdots, h(0), \cdots, h(-q)]$  has dimension (p + q + 1)
- ► **H** has dimensions  $(M+1) \times (M+p+q+1)$ .

A. Mohammad-Djafari, si

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013 1

### Convolution: Discretized matrix vector form

• If system is causal (q = 0) we obtain



- g is a (M+1)-dimensional vector,
- **f** has dimension M + p + 1,
- $h = [h(p), \cdots, h(0)]$  has dimension (p+1)
- **H** has dimensions  $(M+1) \times (M+p+1)$ .

A. Mohammad-Djafari, superesolution.

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

Convolution: Causal systems and causal input

$$\begin{bmatrix} g(0) \\ g(1) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ g(M) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h(0) & & & \\ h(1) & \ddots & & \\ h(p) & \cdots & h(0) & & \\ 0 & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & h(p) & \cdots & h(0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(0) \\ f(1) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ f(M) \end{bmatrix}$$

• g is a (M + 1)-dimensional vector,

- f has dimension M + 1,
- $h = [h(p), \cdots, h(0)]$  has dimension (p+1)
- **H** has dimensions  $(M + 1) \times (M + 1)$ .

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Convolution, Identification, Deconvolution and Blind deconvolution problems

$$g(t) = \int f(t') h(t - t') dt' + \epsilon(t) = \int h(t') f(t - t') dt' + \epsilon(t)$$

$$f(t) \rightarrow h(t) \rightarrow \oplus g(t)$$

$$F(\omega) \rightarrow H(\omega) \rightarrow \oplus G(\omega)$$

$$G(\omega) = H(\omega) F(\omega) + E(\omega)$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{H(\omega)} + \frac{E(\omega)}{H(\omega)}$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{H(\omega)} + \frac{E(\omega)}{H(\omega)}$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{F(\omega)} + \frac{E(\omega)}{H(\omega)}$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{F(\omega)} + \frac{E(\omega)}{F(\omega)}$$

- Identification: Given f and g estimate h
- Simple Deconvolution: Given h and g estimate f

► Blind Deconvolution: Given g estimate h and f = b

Deconvolution: Given g and h estimate f

- Direct computation: f=deconv(g,h)
- ► Fourier domain: Inverse Filtering  $F(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{H(\omega)}$ 
  - Compute  $H(\omega)$ ,  $G(\omega)$  and  $F(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{H(\omega)}$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Compute g(t) by inverse FT of  $F(\omega)$

Main difficulties: Divide by zero and noise amplification

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

23/75

### Identification: Given g and f estimate h

Direct computation:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad f(t) = \delta(t) \longrightarrow g(t) = h(t) \longrightarrow h(t) = g(t) \\ \bullet \quad f(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad t < 0 \\ 1 \quad t > 0 \end{array} \right. \longrightarrow g(t) = \int_0^t h(t) \; \mathrm{d}t \longrightarrow h(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}g(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \end{array}$$

► Fourier domain: Inverse Filtering  $H(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{F(\omega)}$ 

• Compute 
$$F(\omega)$$
,  $G(\omega)$  and  $H(\omega) = \frac{G(\omega)}{F(\omega)}$ 

• Compute h(t) by inverse FT of  $H(\omega)$ 

### Main difficulties: Divide by zero and noise amplification

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013



### Convolution: Discretization for Identification

#### Causal systems and causal input

 $g = F h + \epsilon$ 

- g is a (M+1)-dimensional vector,
- $\boldsymbol{F}$  has dimension  $(M+1) \times (p+1)$ ,

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

## Convolution in imaging systems

$$f(x,y) \longrightarrow h(x,y) \longrightarrow h(x,y)$$

$$g(x,y) = \iint f(x',y') h(x,y;x',y') \, \mathrm{d} x' \, \mathrm{d} y' + \epsilon(x,y)$$





A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013



### 2D Convolution for image restoration

$$f(x,y) \xrightarrow{h(x,y)} h(x,y) \xrightarrow{\epsilon(x,y)} g(x,y)$$

$$g(x,y) = \iint_D f(x',y') h(x-x',y-y') \, \mathrm{d}x' \, \mathrm{d}y' + b(x,y)$$

$$g(m\Delta x, n\Delta y) = \sum_{i=-I}^{+I} \sum_{j=-J}^{+J} h(i\Delta x, j\Delta y) f((m-i)\Delta x, (n-j)\Delta y)$$
$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} m = 1, \dots, M \\ n = 1, \dots, N \end{bmatrix} & \Delta x = \Delta y = 1 \\ g(m, n) = \sum_{i=-I}^{+I} \sum_{j=-J}^{+J} h(i, j) f(m-i, n-j) \end{cases}$$

 $g(m,n) = \sum_{i=-I} \sum_{j=-J} h(i,j) f(m-i,n-j)$ 

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

<ロ > < 部 > < 書 > < 書 > 差 の < で 27/75

### 2D Convolution for image restoration

Two caracteristics:

$$g(m,n) = \sum_{i=-I}^{+I} \sum_{j=-J}^{+J} h(i,j) f(m-i,n-j)$$

- ▶ g(m,n) depends on f(k,l) for  $(k,l) \in \mathcal{N}(k,l)$  where  $\mathcal{N}(k,l)$  means the neigborhood pixels around the pixel ' $(k,l) \longrightarrow$  No Causality
- The boarding effects cannot be neglected as easily as in the 1D case.

**Vectorial Forme:** 

$$g(m,n) = \sum_{i=-I}^{+I} \sum_{j=-J}^{+J} h(i,j) f(m-i,n-j)$$
$$g(m,n) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} m=1,\dots,M\\ n=1,\dots,N \end{bmatrix} \quad f(k,l) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} k=1,\dots,K\\ l=1,\dots,L \end{bmatrix} \quad h(i,j) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} i=1,\dots,I\\ j=1,\dots,J \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right\}$$

$$g = Hf$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

28/75

▶ ◆昼▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 めへで 75

## 2D Convolution for image restoration

$$\boldsymbol{g} = [\begin{array}{cccc} g_{(1,1)}, \dots, g(M,1), & g_{(1,2)}, \dots, g_{(M,2)}, & \dots, & g_{(1,N)}, \dots, g_{(M,N)} \end{bmatrix}^t$$

$$\boldsymbol{f} = [ f_{(1,1)}, \dots, f_{(K,1)}, f_{(1,2)}, \dots, f(K,2), \dots, f_{(1,L)}, \dots, f_{(K,L)}]^t$$

The structure of the matrix H depends on the domaines  $D_h$ ,  $D_f$  and  $D_g$ . Matrix Form H:

- Image > Object
- Image=Object
- Image < Object</p>

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの

### 2D Convolution for image restoration: Image > Object



A. Mohammad-Diafari.

superesolution.

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

30/75

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの

### 2D Convolution for image restoration: Image > Object



#### Toeplitz-Bloc-Toeplitz

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

### 2D Convolution for image restoration: Image < Object



A. Mohammad-Diafari.

superesolution.

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

### 2D Convolution for image restoration: Image < Object



with



A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

33/75

|→ @→ → 注→ → 注→ りへの

### 2D Convolution for image restoration: Image=Object



$$\boldsymbol{f} = [ f_{(1,1)}, \dots, f_{(K,1)}, f_{(1,2)}, \dots, f_{(K,2)}, \dots, f_{(1,L)}, \dots, f_{(K,L)}]^{t}$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

<ロト < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > こ き < つ へ () 34/75

### 2D Convolution for image restoration: Circulante forme

$$g(m,n) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} m = 1, \dots, M \\ n = 1, \dots, N \end{bmatrix} \quad f(k,l) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} k = 1, \dots, K \\ l = 1, \dots, L \end{bmatrix} \quad h(i,j) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} i = 1, \dots, I \\ j = 1, \dots, J \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} P = K + I - 1 \\ Q = L + J - 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \dim(\tilde{f}) = [P,Q]$$

$$\tilde{f}(m,n) = \begin{bmatrix} f((m,n)) & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \dim(\tilde{f}) = [P,Q]$$

$$\tilde{g}(k,l) = \begin{bmatrix} g(k,l) & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \dim(\tilde{g}) = [P,Q]$$

$$\tilde{h}(i,j) = \begin{bmatrix} h(i,j) & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \dim(\tilde{h}) = [P,Q]$$
A. Mohammad-Djafari, superesolution, 0 Cours Master MonQelier 2013 35/75

2D Convolution for image restoration: Circulante forme

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & H_2 & \cdots & \cdots & H_P \\ H_P & H_1 & H_2 & \cdots & \cdots & H_{P-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_P & H_{P-1} & \cdots & \cdots & H_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
bloc-circulante  
$$H_i = \begin{bmatrix} h(i,1) & h(i,2) & \cdots & \cdots & h(i,P) \\ h(i,P) & h(i,1) & h(i,2) & \cdots & \cdots & h(i,P) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ h(i,P) & h(i,P-1) & h(i,P-2) & \cdots & \dots & h(i,1) \end{bmatrix}$$
circulante

#### Circulante-Bloc-Circulante

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

36/75

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─ つへで
Classification of the signal and image restoration methods

Analytical methods

$$f(t) \longrightarrow \boxed{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow g(t) = \mathcal{H}[f(t)]$$

 $f(x,y) \longrightarrow \fbox{H} \longrightarrow g(x,y) = \mathcal{H}[f(x,y)]$ 

 ${\cal H}$  Linear Operator

$$g(t) \longrightarrow \fbox{G} \longrightarrow \widehat{f}(t) = \mathcal{H}^{-1}[f(t)]$$
$$g(x,y) \longrightarrow \fbox{G} \longrightarrow \widehat{f}(x,y) = \mathcal{H}^{-1}[f(x,y)]$$

- ${\cal G}$  Linear Operator approximating  ${\cal H}^{-1}$ 
  - Inverse Filtring
  - Pseudo-inverse Filtering
  - Wiener Filtering

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

Classification of the signal and image restoration methods

#### Algebraic methods

 $g(t) = \mathcal{H}[f(t)] \longrightarrow \text{ Discretization } \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{g} = \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f}$ 

 $g(x,y) = \mathcal{H}[f(x,y)] \longrightarrow \quad \text{Discretization} \quad \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{g} = \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f}$ 

Ideal case : H invertible  $\longrightarrow \widehat{f} = H^{-1}g$ More general case : H is not invertible

- Generalized Inversion
- Least Squares (LS) and Minimum norm LS
- Regularization

#### Probabilistic methods

- Wiener Filtering
- Kalman Filtering
- General Bayesian approach

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙

# Algebraic Approches



• Ideal case: H invertible  $\longrightarrow \hat{f} = H^{-1}q$ • M > N Least Squares:  $\widehat{f} = \arg\min_{f} \{J(f)\}$ 

$$J(\boldsymbol{f}) = \|\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f}\|^2 = [\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f}]'[\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{H}\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}]$$

 $\nabla J = -2H'[q - Hf] = 0 \longrightarrow H'Hf = H'q \longrightarrow \hat{f} = (H'H)^{-1}H'q$ 

• M < N Min Norme Solution:  $\hat{f} = H'(HH')^{-1}g$ 

A. Mohammad-Diafari. superesolution.

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

39/75

「「「(山)(山)(山)(山)

#### Regularization

• M > N Least Squares:  $\hat{f} = \arg \min_{f} \left\{ \|g - Hf\|^2 \right\}$ • M < N Min Norme Solution:  $\hat{f} = \arg \min_{H f = q} \{ \|f\|^2 \}$ ▶ Regularization:  $\widehat{f} = \arg \min_{H f = g} \left\{ \|g - H f\|^2 + \lambda \|f\|^2 \right\}$  $J(f) = \|g - Hf\|^2 + \lambda \|Df\|^2$  $\boldsymbol{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \boldsymbol{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  $\nabla J = 2\boldsymbol{H}'[\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f} - \boldsymbol{q}]' + 2\lambda \boldsymbol{D}'\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{f} = 0$  $[H'H + \lambda D'D]\widehat{f} = H'g \longrightarrow \widehat{f} = [H'H + \lambda D'D]^{-1}H'g$ 

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

40/75

#### Bayesian estimation approach

$$\mathcal{M}: \quad \mathbf{g} = H\mathbf{f} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Observation model  $\mathcal{M}+$  Hypothesis on the noise  $\epsilon\longrightarrow$ 

$$p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{f};\mathcal{M}) = p_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}(\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f})$$

• A priori information  $p(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{M})$ 

► Bayes :  $p(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{g}; \mathcal{M}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{g}|\mathbf{f}; \mathcal{M}) p(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{M})}{p(\mathbf{g}|\mathcal{M})}$ 

#### Link with regularization :

Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) :

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{f}} \{ p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{g}) \} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{f}} \{ p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{f}) \ p(\boldsymbol{f}) \}$$

$$= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{f}} \{ -\ln p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{f}) - \ln p(\boldsymbol{f}) \}$$

with  $Q(\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f}) = -\ln p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{f})$  and  $\lambda \Omega(\boldsymbol{f}) = -\ln p(\boldsymbol{f})$ 

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

41/75

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの

# Case of linear models and Gaussian priors $g = Hf + \epsilon$

► Hypothesis on the noise:  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{2}\boldsymbol{I})$   $p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{f}) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{2}}\|\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f}\|^{2}\right\}$ ► Hypothesis on  $\boldsymbol{f} : \boldsymbol{f} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{f}^{2}(\boldsymbol{D}'\boldsymbol{D})^{-1})$  $p(\boldsymbol{f}) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{f}^{2}}\|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{f}\|^{2}\right\}$ 

A posteriori:

$$p(oldsymbol{f}|oldsymbol{g}) \propto \exp\left\{-rac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\|oldsymbol{g}-oldsymbol{H}oldsymbol{f}\|^2 - rac{1}{2\sigma_f^2}\|oldsymbol{D}oldsymbol{f}\|^2
ight\} \ \propto \exp\left\{-rac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}(oldsymbol{f}-\widehat{oldsymbol{f}})'\widehat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1}(oldsymbol{f}-\widehat{oldsymbol{f}})
ight\}$$

► MAP :  $\widehat{f} = \arg \max_{f} \{p(f|g)\} = \arg \min_{f} \{J(f)\}$ with  $J(f) = \|g - Hf\|^2 + \lambda \|Df\|^2$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}$ 

Advantage : characterization of the solution

$$f|g \sim \mathcal{N}(\widehat{f}, \widehat{P})$$
 with  $\widehat{f} = \widehat{P}H'g$ ,  $\widehat{P} = (H'H + \lambda D'D)^{-1}$ 

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

MAP estimation with other priors:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} = rg\min_{\boldsymbol{f}} \left\{ J(\boldsymbol{f}) 
ight\}$$
 with  $J(\boldsymbol{f}) = \|\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f}\|^2 + \lambda \Omega(\boldsymbol{f})$ 

#### Separable priors:

- Gaussian:  $p(f_i) \propto \exp\left\{-\alpha |f_i|^2\right\} \longrightarrow \Omega(f) = \alpha \sum_i |f_i|^2$
- Gamma:  $p(f_j) \propto f_j^{\alpha} \exp\left\{-\beta f_j\right\} \longrightarrow \Omega(f) = \alpha \sum_j \ln f_j + \beta f_j$
- Beta:

$$p(f_j) \propto f_j^{\alpha} (1 - f_j)^{\beta} \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathbf{f}) = \alpha \sum_j \ln f_j + \beta \sum_j \ln(1 - f_j)$$

Generalized Gaussian:  $p(f_i) \propto \exp\{-\alpha |f_i|^p\}, \quad 1$  $2 \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathbf{f}) = \alpha \sum_{j} |f_j|^p,$ 

Markovian models:

$$p(f_j|\boldsymbol{f}) \propto \exp\left\{-\alpha \sum_{i \in N_j} \phi(f_j, f_i)\right\} \longrightarrow \quad \Omega(\boldsymbol{f}) = \alpha \sum_j \sum_{i \in N_j} \phi(f_j, f_i),$$

A. Mohammad-Diafari.

superesolution.

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

43/75

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙

MAP estimation with markovien priors:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{f}} \left\{ J(\boldsymbol{f}) \right\} \quad \text{with} \quad J(\boldsymbol{f}) = \|\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f}\|^2 + \lambda \Omega(\boldsymbol{f})$$
$$\Omega(\boldsymbol{f}) = \sum_{j} \phi(\boldsymbol{f}_j - \boldsymbol{f}_{j-1})$$

with  $\phi(t)$  :

Convex functions:

$$|t|^{\alpha}, \sqrt{1+t^2} - 1, \log(\cosh(t)), \begin{cases} t^2 & |t| \le T\\ 2T|t| - T^2 & |t| > T \end{cases}$$

or Non convex functions:

$$\log(1+t^2), \quad \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}, \quad \arctan(t^2), \quad \begin{cases} t^2 & |t| \le T \\ T^2 & |t| > T \end{cases}$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ♪ のへぐ 44/75

# Main advantages of the Bayesian approach

- MAP = Regularization
- Posterior mean ? Marginal MAP ?
- More information in the posterior law than only its mode or its mean
- Meaning and tools for estimating hyper parameters
- Meaning and tools for model selection
- More specific and specialized priors, particularly through the hidden variables
- More computational tools:
  - Expectation-Maximization for computing the maximum likelihood parameters
  - MCMC for posterior exploration
  - Variational Bayes for analytical computation of the posterior marginals

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ■ ● ● ●

▶ ...

## Blind Deconvolution: Bayesian approach

Deconvolution	Identification
$oldsymbol{g} = oldsymbol{H} oldsymbol{f} + oldsymbol{\epsilon}$	$oldsymbol{g} = oldsymbol{F}  oldsymbol{h} + oldsymbol{\epsilon}$
$p(\boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{f}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\epsilon} = \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\boldsymbol{I})$	$p(\boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\epsilon} = \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\boldsymbol{I})$
$p(\mathbf{f}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_f = \sigma_f^2(\boldsymbol{D}_f' \boldsymbol{D}_f)^{-1})$	$p(\mathbf{h}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{h} = \sigma_{h}^{2}(\boldsymbol{D}_{h}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{D}_{h})^{-1})$
$p(\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\hat{\Sigma}}_f)$	$p(\mathbf{h} \mathbf{g}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{\hat{\Sigma}}_h)$
$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_f = [\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{H} + \lambda_f \boldsymbol{D}_f' \boldsymbol{D}_f]^{-1}$	$\sum_{h=1}^{n} [oldsymbol{F}'oldsymbol{F}+\lambda_holdsymbol{D}_h^{\prime}oldsymbol{D}_h]^{-1}$
$\widehat{m{f}} = [m{H}'m{H} + \lambda_fm{D}_f'm{D}_f]^{-1}m{H}'m{g}$	$\widehat{oldsymbol{h}}=[oldsymbol{F}'oldsymbol{F}+\lambda_holdsymbol{D}_h]^{-1}oldsymbol{F}'oldsymbol{g}$

Joint posterior law:

$$p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{g} | \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}) p(\boldsymbol{f}) p(\boldsymbol{h})$$
$$p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g}) \propto \exp \left\{-J(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h})\right\}$$

with

$$J(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}) = \|\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{h}\boldsymbol{f}\|^2 + \lambda_f \|\boldsymbol{D}_f\boldsymbol{f}\|^2 + \lambda_h \|\boldsymbol{D}_h\boldsymbol{h}\|^2$$

46/75

-

#### iterative algorithm

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# Blind Deconvolution: Bayesian Joint MAP criterion

Joint posterior law:

 $p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{g} | \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}) p(\boldsymbol{f}) p(\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{h})$  $p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g}) \propto \exp \{-J(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h})\}$ 

with

 $J(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}) = \|\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{h}\boldsymbol{f}\|^2 + \lambda_f \|\boldsymbol{D}_f \boldsymbol{f}\|^2 + \lambda_h \|\boldsymbol{D}_h \boldsymbol{h}\|^2$ 

iterative algorithm

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{Deconvolution} & \textbf{Identification} \\ \hline p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{H}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\epsilon}) & p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{h},\boldsymbol{F}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{h},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\epsilon}) \\ p(\boldsymbol{f}) = \mathcal{N}(0,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{f}) & p(\boldsymbol{h}) = \mathcal{N}(0,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{h}) \\ p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{g},\boldsymbol{H}) = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{f}) & p(\boldsymbol{h}|\boldsymbol{g},\boldsymbol{F}) = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{h}) \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{f} = [\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{H} + \lambda_{f}\boldsymbol{D}'_{f}\boldsymbol{D}_{f}]^{-1} & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{h} = [\boldsymbol{F}'\boldsymbol{F} + \lambda_{h}\boldsymbol{D}'_{h}\boldsymbol{D}_{h}]^{-1} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} = [\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{H} + \lambda_{f}\boldsymbol{D}'_{f}\boldsymbol{D}_{f}]^{-1}\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{g} & \widehat{\boldsymbol{h}} = [\boldsymbol{F}'\boldsymbol{F} + \lambda_{h}\boldsymbol{D}'_{h}\boldsymbol{D}_{h}]^{-1}\boldsymbol{F}'\boldsymbol{g} \end{array}$ 

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# Blind Deconvolution: Marginalization and EM algorithm

Joint posterior law:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Marginalization}_{p(\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{h}|\boldsymbol{g})} &\propto p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{h}) \, p(\boldsymbol{f}) \, p(\boldsymbol{h}\boldsymbol{h}) \\ p(\boldsymbol{h}|\boldsymbol{g}) &= \int p(\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{h}|\boldsymbol{g}) \, \mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{f} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{h}} &= \arg \max \left\{ p(\boldsymbol{h}|\boldsymbol{g}) \right\} \longrightarrow \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} = \arg \max \left\{ p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{g},\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

• Expression of 
$$p(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{g})$$
 and its maximization are complexes

Expectation-Maximization Algorithm

 $\ln p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g}) \propto J(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}) = \|\boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{f}\|^2 + \lambda_f \|\boldsymbol{D}_f \boldsymbol{f}\|^2 + \lambda_h \|\boldsymbol{D}_h \boldsymbol{h}\|^2$ 

- Iterative algorithm
- Expectation: Compute

$$Q(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{h}^{k-1}) = \mathsf{E}_{p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}^{k-1} | \boldsymbol{g})} \left\{ J(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}) \right\} = \left\langle \ln p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g}) \right\rangle_{p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}^{k-1} | \boldsymbol{g})}$$

Maximization:

$$\boldsymbol{h}^{k} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{h}} \left\{ Q(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{h}^{k-1}) \right\}$$

48/75

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# Blind Deconvolution: Variational Bayesian Approximation

Joint posterior law:

 $p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{g} | \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h}) \, p(\boldsymbol{f}) \, p(h\boldsymbol{h})$ 

- Approximation: p(f, h|g) by  $q(f, h|g) = q_1(f) q_2(h)$
- Criterion of approximation: Kullback-Leiler

$$\mathsf{KL}(q|p) = \int q \, \ln \frac{q}{p} = \int q_1 \, q_2 \, \ln \frac{q_1 \, q_2}{p}$$

$$\mathsf{KL}(q_1 q_2 | p) = \int q_1 \ln q_1 + \int q_2 \ln q_2 - \int q \ln p$$
  
=  $-\mathcal{H}(q_1) - \mathcal{H}(q_2) + \langle -\ln p((\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h} | \mathbf{g})) \rangle_{\mathbf{q}}$ 

• When the expression of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are obtained, use them.

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

49/75

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ■ ● ● ● ● ●

## Variational Bayesian Approximation algorithm

Kullback-Leibler criterion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{KL}(q_1 \, q_2 | p) &= \int q_1 \, \ln q_1 + \int q_2 \, \ln q_2 + \int q \ln p \\ &= -\mathcal{H}(q_1) - \mathcal{H}(q_2) + \langle -\ln p((\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g})) \rangle_q \end{aligned}$$

Free energy

$$\mathcal{F}(q_1 q_2) = -\left\langle \ln p((\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{h} | \boldsymbol{g}) \right\rangle_{q_1 q_2}$$

- Equivalence between optimization of  $KL(q_1 q_2 | p)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(q_1 q_2)$
- Alternate optimization:

$$\widehat{q}_{1} = \arg\min_{q_{1}} \{\mathsf{KL}(q_{1} q_{2}|p)\} = \arg\min_{q_{1}} \{\mathcal{F}(q_{1} q_{2})\}$$

$$\widehat{q}_{2} = \arg\min_{q_{2}} \{\mathsf{KL}(q_{1} q_{2}|p)\} = \arg\min_{q_{2}} \{\mathcal{F}(q_{1} q_{2})\}$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

50/75

## Deconvolution results



A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# Forward modeling: from a HR image to LR images



A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

Modeling forward problems of superresolution

 $g_k(\mathbf{r}) = [\mathcal{H}_k f](\mathbf{r}) + \epsilon_k(\mathbf{r}) = [\mathcal{DBM}_k f](\mathbf{r}) + \epsilon_k(\mathbf{r})$ 

- Blurring effects (needs deconvolution)
- ▶ *M<sub>k</sub>*: Movement effects (needs registration)
- D: Sub sampling effects (needs interpolation)
- Two models:

$$g_k(\mathbf{r}) = [\mathcal{DBM}_k f](\mathbf{r}) + \epsilon_k(\mathbf{r})$$
  
=  $[\mathcal{DM}_k \mathcal{B} f](\mathbf{r}) + \epsilon_k(\mathbf{r})$ 

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの

## Two models for MISO SR problems



HR image  $f(\mathbf{r})$ 



 $[\mathcal{B}f](m{r})$ 



 $[\mathcal{M}_k \mathcal{B} f](\boldsymbol{r})$ 



LR images  $[\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}_k\mathcal{B}f](\boldsymbol{r})$ 







LR images  $[\mathcal{DBM}_k f](\boldsymbol{r})$ 

 $[\mathcal{M}_k f](\boldsymbol{r})$ 

 $[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}_k f](\boldsymbol{r})$ 



superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# Classical methods

Many classical methods are based on adjoint operators:

$$g_k(\mathbf{r}) = [\mathcal{DBM}_k f](\mathbf{r}) \longrightarrow f(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_k [\mathcal{M}'_k \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{D}' g_k](\mathbf{r})$$

- 3 basic operations: Interpolation, Registration and Image fusion
  - Interpolation: an ad hoc way to upsampling
  - Registration: compensation for movements Correlation based methods:

 $f_1(\mathbf{r})$  Movement  $f_2(\mathbf{r}) = f_1(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{d})$ 

$$C(\mathbf{r}') = \int f_1(\mathbf{r}) f_2(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} = \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{d})$$

Fourier domain based methods:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f(\boldsymbol{r}) & \begin{array}{c} FT \\ \leftrightarrow \end{array} & F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\ f(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{d}) & \leftrightarrow \end{array} & \exp\left\{-j\boldsymbol{\omega}'\boldsymbol{d}\right\}F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \end{array}$$

► Image fusion: linear (mean) or non linear (median)

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# Classical methods: adjoint operators



A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# Interpolation, HR registration and image fusion



A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# Classical SR methods

Three main methods:

• 
$$f(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_k \left[ \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{D}' \mathcal{M}'_k g_k \right](\mathbf{r})$$

- Sub pixel LR registration
- Interpolation to HR grid
- Mean or Median image fusion

• 
$$f(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_k \left[ \mathcal{M}'_k \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{D}' g_k \right](\mathbf{r})$$

- Interpolation of all LR images to HR grid
- HR registration
- Mean or Median image fusion

► Iterative Backprojection methods  
$$f^{(i+1)} = f^{(i)} + \alpha \sum_{k} [\mathcal{B}' \mathcal{D}' \mathcal{M}'_{k}] \left(g_{k} - \mathcal{M}_{k} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{B} f^{(i)}\right)$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

58/75

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─ つへで

# Classical SR methods







Unwrapping and interpolation  $\longrightarrow$  Linear or Non Lineaire combination Sub pixel registration + Interpolation + Mean or Median image fusion Interpolation + HR registration + Mean or Median image fusion

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

## General inversion methods

• Least squares (LS) methods:  $\widehat{f} = \arg\min_{f} \{J(f)\}$ 

$$J(oldsymbol{f}) = \sum_k \|oldsymbol{g}_k - oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{f}\|^2 = \sum_k \sum_{oldsymbol{r} \in \mathcal{R}} |g_k(oldsymbol{r}) - [\mathcal{H}_k f](oldsymbol{r})|^2$$

Iterative algorithms

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}^{(k+1)} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}^{(k)} - \alpha \nabla J(\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}^{(k)}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}^{(k)} + 2\alpha \sum_{k} \boldsymbol{H}'_{k}(\boldsymbol{g}_{k} - \boldsymbol{H}_{k} \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}^{(k)})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

60/75

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

#### General inversion methods

► Regularization methods (Tikhonov)  
$$J(\boldsymbol{f}) = \sum_{k} \|\boldsymbol{g}_{k} - \boldsymbol{H}_{k}\boldsymbol{f}\|^{2} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{f}\|^{2}$$

Robust estimation (RE) [7, 8] [9, 7, 8, 10]

$$J(\boldsymbol{f}) = \sum_{k} \|\boldsymbol{g}_{k} - \boldsymbol{H}_{k}\boldsymbol{f}\|^{\beta_{1}} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{f}\|^{\beta_{2}}, \ 1 < \beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \leq 2$$

Bayesian MAP estimation methods [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ?].

$$J(\boldsymbol{f}) = -\ln p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{g}) = -\ln p(\boldsymbol{g}|\boldsymbol{f}) - \ln p(\boldsymbol{f}) + c$$

$$p(\underline{\boldsymbol{g}}|\boldsymbol{f}) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}\sum_k \|\boldsymbol{g}_k - \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{f}\|^2
ight\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

61/75

and  $p(\boldsymbol{f})$  is the prior law

A. Mohammad-Djafari, superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

# General Bayesian inference

Use forward and errors model to obtain the likelihood

$$oldsymbol{g}_k = oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{f} + oldsymbol{\epsilon} = oldsymbol{D} M_k oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{f} + oldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$p(\underline{\boldsymbol{g}}|\boldsymbol{f}) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}\sum_{k}\|\boldsymbol{g}_{k}-\boldsymbol{H}_{k}\boldsymbol{f}\|^{2}\right\}$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  Use prior knowledge to assign prior law  $p({\pmb f})$
- Obtain the expression of the posterior law

$$p(\boldsymbol{f}|\underline{\boldsymbol{g}}) = \frac{p(\underline{\boldsymbol{g}}|\boldsymbol{f}) \ p(\boldsymbol{f})}{p(\underline{\boldsymbol{g}})}$$

▶ Use it to make inference: MAP or PM  

$$\widehat{f} = \arg \max_{f} \{ p(f|\underline{g}) \}$$
 or  $\widehat{f} = \int f p(f|\underline{g}) \, \mathrm{d}f$ 

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

62/75

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

## Hidden Markov model variables



A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

63/75

# Expression of the posterior law

• Likelihood: 
$$p(\underline{\boldsymbol{g}}|\boldsymbol{f},\sigma_{\epsilon}^2) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}\sum_k \|\boldsymbol{g}_k - \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{f}\right\}$$

Prior laws:

$$p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{z}, \{m_k, v_k\}) \propto \prod_{k=1}^{K} \prod_{\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathcal{R}_k} \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2v_k^2} (f(\boldsymbol{r}) - m_k)^2\right\}$$
$$p(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \propto \exp\left\{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \sum_{\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}' \in \mathcal{V}(\boldsymbol{r})} \delta(z(\boldsymbol{r}) - z(\boldsymbol{r}'))\right\}$$
$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(\sigma_{\epsilon}^2) \prod_k p(m_k) p(v_k) p(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

Joint Posterior law of all the unknowns:

$$p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{g}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{g} | \boldsymbol{f}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2) p(\boldsymbol{f} | \boldsymbol{z}, \{m_k, v_k\}) p(\boldsymbol{z} | \gamma) p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

64/75

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

#### Bayesian computation

$$p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta} | \underline{\boldsymbol{g}}) \propto p(\underline{\boldsymbol{g}} | \boldsymbol{f}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2) \ p(\boldsymbol{f} | \boldsymbol{z}, \{m_k, v_k\}) \ p(\boldsymbol{z} | \gamma) \ p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Joint MAP : (Optimization)

$$(\widehat{f}, \widehat{z}, \widehat{ heta}) = \arg \max_{(f, z, \theta)} \{ p(f, z, \theta | \underline{g}) \}$$

Posterior means: (Integration)

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{f}} = \mathsf{E}\left\{oldsymbol{f}|oldsymbol{g}
ight\}, \quad oldsymbol{ heta} = \mathsf{E}\left\{oldsymbol{ heta}|oldsymbol{g}
ight\}, \quad \widehat{oldsymbol{z}} = \mathsf{E}\left\{oldsymbol{z}|oldsymbol{g}
ight\}$$

General iterative algorithms:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} & \sim & p(\boldsymbol{f} | \widehat{\boldsymbol{z}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \underline{\boldsymbol{g}}) & \mbox{Estimation} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{z}} & \sim & p(\boldsymbol{z} | \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \underline{\boldsymbol{g}}) & \mbox{Segmentation} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} & \sim & p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{z}}, \underline{\boldsymbol{g}}) & \mbox{Hyperparameters} \end{array}$$

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

65/75

# Bayesian SR

In real SR problems, we have also to estimate the PSF h and the movement or registration parameters d<sub>k</sub>

$$p(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{d}_k | \underline{\boldsymbol{g}}) \propto p(\underline{\boldsymbol{g}} | \boldsymbol{f}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2) p(\boldsymbol{f} | \boldsymbol{z}, \{m_k, v_k\}) \\ p(\boldsymbol{z} | \gamma) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{h}) \prod_k p(\boldsymbol{d}_k)$$

- General iterative algorithms:
  - Update PSF h
  - Update registration parameters  $d_k$
  - Update segmentation z and contours q
  - Update registration parameters heta
  - Update the HR image f

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

# A first algorithm

#### Initialization:

- 1. Estimate the sub-pixel translational movements  $d_k$  between the LR images  $g_{k}(r)$ ;
- 2. Estimate a first HR image  $\widehat{f}(r)$  based on LS or quadratic regularization
- Iterations:
  - 1. Estimate a segmentation  $\widehat{z}(r)$  for the HR image  $\widehat{f}(r)$  based on the Potts Markov modeling;
  - 2. Estimate the parameters  $\hat{\theta}$  of Gaussian mixtures;
  - 3. Update the HR image using:

$$\ln p(\boldsymbol{f}|\widehat{\boldsymbol{z}},\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}},\underline{\boldsymbol{g}}) = \sum_{k} \|\boldsymbol{g}_{k} - \boldsymbol{H}_{k}\boldsymbol{f}\|^{2} + \sum_{k} \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}\in\mathcal{R}_{k}} \left(\frac{f(\boldsymbol{r}) - m_{k}}{v_{k}}\right)^{2}$$

A. Mohammad-Diafari.

# New algorithm

- Initialization:
  - 1. Estimate a first HR image  $\widehat{f}(r)$  by interpolating the first LR image;
- Iterations:
  - 1. Estimate the translational movements  $d_k$  between the HR image  $\hat{f}(r)$  and newly entered LR images  $g_k(r)$  which is interpolated to the HR dimensions.
  - 2. Estimate the blurring PSF h.
  - 3. Estimate a segmentation  $\widehat{z}(r)$  for the HR image
  - 4. Estimate the parameters  $\widehat{\theta}$  of Gaussian mixtures
  - 5. Update the HR image as before

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへで

# Results





One of the LR images

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

## Results



Original HR  $f_0$ 



Robust regularization  $df = \frac{\|\widehat{f} - \widehat{f}_0\|^2}{\|f_0\|^2} = 4.9\% \quad df = \frac{\|\widehat{f} - f_0\|^2}{\|f_0\|^2} = 2.8\%$ 



LR images with k = 3



Proposed method



One of the LR images

A. Mohammad-Diafari.

superesolution.

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

< 🗇 > < 70/75

# Conclusions

- The Bayesian approach is an appropriate approach for any inverse problem, and so, for SR problem.
- In this approach, it is possible to account for any prior knowledge.
- The uncertainties in each step are transmitted to the following steps in a natural way through the probability laws.
- Obtained methods give more satisfaction if the forward and prior models are more appropriate.
- In general, the computational costs are higher than classical methods, but non really so much.

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

71/75

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの

# Challenges

- Forward modeling: Translation, Rotation, Zooming and other projective models for registration step has to be accounted for.
- Prior modeling: Accounting for textures in each region
- More efficient and robust movement, or more generally, registration parameters estimation algorithms
- More efficient PSF estimation algorithms
- More efficient optimization algorithms, and more generally, Bayesian computation methods (MCMC, Variational methods)

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

72/75

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの
## References

H. Shekarforoush, M. Berthod, and J. Zerubia, "3D super-resolution using generalised sampling expansion," Tech. Rep. RR-2706.



T. Lehmann, C. Gonner, and K. Spitzer, "Survey: interpolation methods in medical image processing," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 1049–1075, 1999.



R. W. Gerchberg, "Superresolution through error energy reduction," vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 709-720, 1974.



R. Y. Tsai and T. S. Huang, "Multi-Frame Image Restoration and Registration," Advances in Computer Vision on Image Processing, vol. 1, pp. 317–339, 1984.



G. Rochefort, F. Champagnat, G. L. Besnerais, and J.-F. Giovannelli, "Super-Resolution from a Sequence of Undersampled Image Under Affine Motion," *submitted to IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, March 2005.



N. Nguyen, P. Milanfar, and G. Golub, "A computationally efficient superresolution image reconstruction algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Image Processing*, vol. 10, pp. 573–583, Apr. 2001.



M. Elad and Y. Hel-Or, "A fast super-resolution reconstruction algorithm for pure translational motion and common space-invariant blur," *IEEE Trans. Image Processing*, vol. 10, pp. 1187–1193, Aug. 2001.



R. R. Schultz, L. Meng, and R. L. Stevenson, "Subpixel motion estimation super-resolution image sequence enhancement," *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, vol. 9, pp. 38–50, Mar. 1998.



S. Farsiu, D. Robinson, M. Elad, and P. Milanfar, "Fast and Robust Multi-Frame Super-Resolution," *IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, vol. 13, pp. 1327–1344, Oct. 2004.

(日)

73/75

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

## References

R. Molina, J. Mateos, A. K. Katsaggelos, and M. Vega, "Bayesian Multichannel Image Restoration Using Compound Gauss-Markov Random Fields," *IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, vol. 12, pp. 1642–1654, Dec. 2003.



R. Molina, M. Vega, J. Abad, and A. K. Katsaggelos, "Parameter Estimation in Bayesian High-Resolution Image Reconstruction With Multisensors," *IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, vol. 12, pp. 1655–1667, Dec. 2003.



F. Humblot, B. Collin, and A. Mohammad-Djafari, "Evaluation and practical issues of subpixel image registration using phase correlation methods," in *Inter. Conf. on Physics in Signal and Image Processing (PSIP '05)*, (Toulouse, France), pp. 115–120, 31 jan. 2005.



F. Humblot and A. Mohammad-Djafari, "Super-resolution and joint segmentation in bayesian framework," in 25th Inter. Workshop on Bayesian Inference and Maximum Entropy Methods (MaxEnt '05). AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 803, (San Jos, CA, tats-Unis), pp. 207–214, aot 2005.



F. Humblot and A. Mohammad-Djafari, "Super-resolution using hidden markov model and bayesian detection estimation framework," *EURASIP Journal on Applied Signal Processing, special issue on Super-Resolution Imaging Analysis, Algorithms, and Applications,* ID 36971 2006.



F. Humblot, Détection de petits objets dans une image en utilisant les techniques de super-rsolution. PhD thesis, Université de Paris-sud XI, Orsay, France, 2005.



A. Mohammad-Djafari, Super-Resolution: A short review, a new method based on hidden Markov modelling of HR image and future challenges. submitted to J. of Computer, dec. 2006.

• • • • • • • • • • •

74/75

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

Questions and comments ?

A. Mohammad-Djafari,

superesolution,

Cours Master Montpelier 2013

75/75

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○