

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

# Optimization based control for Robots some solutions for the implementation issue

## Benoît CLEMENT

June, 30, 2016



Control for Robots



# Control point of view

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Today is dedicated to answer few questions with a control point of view in Robotics.

- Which Robotics?
- How to control a Robot?
- Are the GNC algorithms are a big issue?



B. Clement

(日)



# Outline

Control for Robots SHARC 2010

## Context

## Control issue

- Problem Statement
- Some answers... with drawbacks

# Optimisation based control

- Global Optimization
- General pattern for global optimization
- Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

# 4 AUV Control Application

- CISCREA: description and challenges
- Robust control
- Results

# 5 Conclusions

< □ > < 凸

3 1 4 3 1



# Ocean Senging and Mapping at ENSTA Bretagne

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

## Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions

- OSM: Teaching and Research Department
- Large scope of teaching activities: hydrography, oceanography, embedded electronics, signal processing, information technology, computer science, robotics, etc.
- Research topics:
  - Hydrography/Oceanography
  - Underwater robotics
  - Sonar systems
  - Data Processing
- Application field: Maritime environment, civilian and defense.

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



# Focus on Robotics

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

## Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to H<sub>∞</sub> control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

• Robotics issues:

- Guidance, Navigation and Control
- Group of Robots: interaction management
- Localisation
- Academic tools:
  - Interval Analysis
  - Data processing
  - Global Optimization
  - Robust Control





# Teaching

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

## Context

- Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks
- Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Control Application

- CISCREA: description and challenges
- Robust contr
- Conclusions

- Linear Control and Sensors
- Mobile Robotics
- Localisation and Kalman filter
- Prototyping Robots
- Middleware and Compilation
- Simulation and nonlinear control
- Digital conception
- Robust Control
- Vision
- Robotics Architecture



# Lab-STICC

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

## Context

- Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks
- Optimisation based control Global Optimization
- General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

## AUV Control Application

- CISCREA: description and challenges
- Robust control Results

## Conclusions

- UMR CNRS 6285
- CID : Connaissance Information Decision
- PRASYS : Perception, Robotics, Autonomous
   SYStems



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



# Some Robots

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

## Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

#### Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to H<sub>m</sub>

control

#### AUV Control Application

- CISCREA: description and challenges
- Results

#### Conclusions

Demonstrations with movies...

#### B. Clement

Control for Robots

3

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト



# What is robust control

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

- Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks
- Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control Results

Conclusions

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Question}}$  : find a controller that insures performances to the closed loop

- P et K : systèmes LTI ou LPV MIMO
- u =commandes, y =mesures
- Transferts  $T_i$  utilisés pour spécifier différents objectifs de performance ou robustesse :







∃ ► < ∃ ►

< □ > < 凸



## Norm interpretations

- Control for Robots SHARC 2016
- Context
- Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks
- Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control
- AUV Control Application
- CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control
- Results
- Conclusions

- The *H*<sub>2</sub>-norm:
  - $\bullet\,$  for SISO systems, the induced norm from  $\mathit{I}_2$  to  $\mathit{I}_\infty$
  - the square root of the average power (is *RMS value* or *power-norm*) of the response to a white input signal of unit spectral density or the spectrum/power gain.
  - the square root of the energy contained in the impulse response
- The  $H_{\infty}$ -norm:
  - the induced norm from  $l_2$  to  $l_2$
  - the power/power gain (RMS)
  - the spectrum/spectrum gain
  - an upper bound on the  $l_{\infty}/{\rm power}$  gain, assuming that the input is a persistent sinusoidal signal

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

• the peak amplitude of the Bode singular value plot



# Control / Objectives / Optimisation Constraints

Control for Robots SHARC 2010

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

## i2p (Impulse to peak) Influence du vent sur l'incidence

 $H_{\infty}$ Sur la fonction de sensibilité pour les marges de stabilité

 $H_2$ Influence des bruit et Réduction de consommation

• Filtrage

Contrôle des modes avec réglage séparé

D. Arzelier, B. Clement et D. Peaucelle. Multi-objective H2/H∞/Impulse-to-Peak Control of a Space Launch Vehicle. European Journal of Control, vol. 12, no. 1, 2006



 $\min_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \quad \alpha_i \gamma_{w-i} + \alpha_c \gamma_{cons} \\ \text{s.t.} \qquad ||\Sigma^{aug.}_{mod} \star K||_{\infty}^2 \leq \gamma_{mod} \\ ||\Sigma^{aug.}_{W-i} \star K||_{i2p}^2 \leq \gamma_{w-i} \\ ||\Sigma^{aug.}_{cons} \star K||_2^2 \leq \gamma_{cons}$ 



Control for

# Control / Objectives / Optimisation Constraints - LMI Optimization

< 0

Robots SHARC 20

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to H<sub>∞</sub> control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control Results

Conclusions

$\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \gamma_{o}$ $\mathbf{J}_{i}, \mathbf{H}_{i}, \mathbf{Q}$ under	min ons, $\gamma_{w}$ - $\beta_i, i = \infty$	$\alpha_c \gamma_{cc}$ $\alpha_c \gamma_{cc}$ $\alpha_c \gamma_{cc}$ $\alpha_c \gamma_{cc}$	$ns + \alpha_i \gamma_{w-i}$		
-O	$-\mathbf{J}_{m}$	$AX + B\hat{C}$	$A + B\hat{D}C$	$B_{\infty} + B\hat{D}D_{\omega\infty}$	0
*	$-H_{\infty}$	Â	$\mathbf{Y}A + \mathbf{\hat{B}}C$	$\mathbf{Y}B_{\infty} + \mathbf{\hat{B}}D_{\mu\infty}$	0
*	*	$\mathbf{Q}_{\infty} - \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'$	$-1-S'+J_\infty$	0	$\mathbf{X}'C'_{\infty} + \hat{\mathbf{C}}'D'_{\infty m}$
*	*	*	$\mathbf{H}_{\infty}-\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{Y}'$	0	$C'_{\infty} + C' \hat{\mathbf{D}}' D'_{\infty u}$
*	*	*	*	-1	$D'_{\infty} + D'_{y\infty} \hat{\mathbf{D}}' D'_{\infty u}$
*	*	*	*	*	$-\gamma_{mod}1$
$\begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{Q_{i2p}} \\ \star \\ \star \\ -\mathbf{Q_{i2p}} \\ \star \\ \star \\ -\gamma_{w-i} \\ \star \\ -\gamma_{w-i} \\ \star \end{bmatrix}$	$-J_{12p}$ $-H_{12r}$ * * $-J_{12p}$ $-H_{12r}$ * $C_{i2p}X$ $Q_{i2p}$ $D_{i2p}$ +	$\begin{array}{c} A{\bf X}+B\hat{\bf C}\\ \hat{\bf A}\\ {\bf Q}_{12p}-{\bf X}\\ \star\\ B_{12p}+B\hat{\bf D}D\\ {\bf Y}B_{12p}+B\hat{\bf D}D\\ {\bf Y}B_{12p}+B\hat{\bf D}D\\ {\bf 1}\\ +D_{12pu}\hat{\bf C}\\ C_{cl}\\ -{\bf X}-{\bf X}'  {\bf J}\\ \star\\ +D_{clgnu}\hat{\bf D}D_{clgny}\\ -{\bf 1}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A + B\hat{D}C\\ \mathbf{Y}A + \hat{B}C\\ \mathbf{Y}A + \hat{B}C\\ \mathbf{H}_{12p} - \mathbf{Y} - \\ \mathbf{H}_{12p} - \mathbf{Y} - \\ \mathbf{H}_{12p} - \mathbf{Y} - \\ \mathbf{H}_{2p} \\$	2 2 1 Y' 2 0 <0	
Tace(12)	$C_{2}X + I$	$D_{2u}\hat{C} = C_2 + D_2$	$\hat{\mathbf{D}}C = D_2 + D_2$	DD.01	

 $-X' - 1 - S' + J_2$ 

 $AX + B\hat{C}$ 

-X - X'

- Conservative solution
- Convex optimization
- Full order controller that needs truncature or/and a posteriori stucturation
- Fragility of the solution

< □ > < 凸

< 0

< 0

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ B_2 + B\hat{D}D_{\nu 2} \end{bmatrix}^{\sim}$ 

 $\mathbf{Y}B_2 + \mathbf{\hat{B}}D_{22}$ 

0 0 -1

 $A + B\hat{D}C$ 



# Adding a structural constraint

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Conclusions

## Structure is good for

- gains interpolation for LPV systems
- interpretation for physical behavior
- implementation in embedded system (example of PID next slide)





B. Clement

Control for Robots



# focus on implementation

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust contro

Results

Conclusions



Full order controller:

- n =sum of the orders of all of systems
- Ordinary differencial equation of order *n*
- implementation in embedded system

## PID pseudo code:

% Control algorithm - main loop while (running)

r=adin(ch1)	S.	read setpoint from chl
y=adin(ch2)	%	read process variable from ch2
P=kp*(r-y)	%	compute proportional part
D=ad*D-bd*(y-yold)	95	update derivative part
v=P+I+D	95	compute temporary output
u=sat(v,ulow,uhigh)	95	simulate actuator saturation
daout(ch1)	8	set analog output ch1
I=I+bi*(r-y)+br*(u-v)	95	update integral
yold=y %	update	old process output
sleep(h)	°s	wait until next update interval



## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

## Optimisation based control

Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

## Is there any alternative to tackle the drawbacks?

- make the implementation easy
- keep the constraints formulation for the engineer needs

## Yes

- a posteriori structuration with the risk of lack of performance (order reduction, Youla parameter, etc...)
- Choose a structure for the controller (a PID for example)

< □ > < 凸

. . . . . . . .

• Use Optimization... but nonconvex one



## Motivation

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

# Optimisation based control

Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

## AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions

# Observations:

- In Automatic, Robotic, Electronic or Mechanic, engineers know very well their problems.
  - $\implies$  Physical Sense
- In Optimization, the specification of each solver need to classify a model: LP, NLP, MINLP, SDP, DFO,...
   If the model cannot be classify: Modification, Adaptation,

Reformulation, ...

 $\implies$  Numerical Sense

Physical Solutions  $\iff$  Numerical Solutions

 $\implies$  Goal: Propose optimization tools to build the best solver for their own problems.

< □ > < 凸

(< )</pre>



# Definition: Contractor

Control for Robots SHARC 2010

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control Results

Conclusions

Let  $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a "feasible" region.

The operator  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}} : \mathbb{IR}^n \to \mathbb{IR}^n$  is a contractor for  $\mathbb{K}$  if:

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{IR}^n, \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \mathbf{x}, & \text{(contractance)} \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbf{x}) \cap \mathbb{K} = \mathbf{x} \cap \mathbb{K}. & \text{(completeness)} \end{cases}$$

Example: Forward-Backward Algorithm The operator  $C : \mathbb{IR}^n \to \mathbb{IR}^n$  is a contractor for the equation f(x) = 0, if:

$$orall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{IR}^n, \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \mathbf{x}, \ x \in \mathbf{x} ext{ and } f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}). \end{array} 
ight.$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



# General Design

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

- Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks
- Optimisation based control
- Global Optimization
- General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_{c}$  control

### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

- $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{OptimCtc} ([\mathbf{x}], C_{out}, C_{in}, f_{cost})$ :
  - ★ Merging of a Branch&Bound Algorithm based on Interval Analysis (spacialB&B) and a Set Inversion Via Interval Analysis (SIVIA).
  - $\star$   $\mathcal{C}_{out},$   $\mathcal{C}_{in}:$  contractors designed by the user based on  $\mathbb K$  and  $\overline{\mathbb K},$

Image: Image:

. . . . . . . .

- \*  $C_f$ : a FwdBwd contractor based on  $\{x : f_{cost}(x) \leq \tilde{f}\}$
- $\star~\mathcal{B}:~\text{Largest}$  first, smear evaluation, homemade,...



# Illustration: $C_{in}$ , $C_{out}$



æ

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



# Illustration: $C_{in}$ , $C_{out}$





# Illustration: $C_{in}$ , $C_{out}$





# The feasability test

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control

Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to H<sub>c</sub> control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions

## Without equation or system, **How to prove that a point is a feasible point?**

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



# The feasability test

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to H control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

Without equation or system, **How to prove that a point is a feasible point?** 

Prove that  $x \in \mathbb{K} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Prove that } x \notin \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ 

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



# The feasability test

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization

Application to H<sub>c</sub> control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

Without equation or system, **How to prove that a point is a feasible point?** 

Prove that  $x \in \mathbb{K} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Prove that } x \notin \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} x \text{ is contracted by } \mathcal{C}_{in} \Leftrightarrow \quad x \in \mathbb{K} \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{out} \text{ proves that } x \text{ is in} \\ \mathbb{K}. \end{array}$ 

 $C_{in}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which **are not** in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ .  $C_{out}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which **are not** in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_c$ control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust contro Results

Conclusions

•  $\mathcal{L} := \{(\mathbf{x}, false)\}$ , The boolean indicate if  $\mathbf{x}$  is entirely feasible

• Do

- **1** Extract from  $\mathcal{L}$  a element  $(\mathbf{z}, b)$ ,
- **2** Bisect z following a bisector  $\mathcal{B}$ :  $(z_1, z_2)$

**(3)** for j = 1 to 2 :

- if b = false (i.e. x is not completly feasible) then Contract the infeasible region using Cout and Cf, Extract z<sub>feas</sub> a feasible part of z<sub>j</sub> using Cin, Insert (z<sub>feas</sub>, true) in L. Insert the rest (z<sub>i</sub>, false) in L.
- else (i.e. x is entirely feasible)
  - Contract  $\mathbf{z}_j$  using  $\mathcal{C}_f$ ,

Try to find a local optimum without constraint in

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

 $[\mathbf{z}_j],$ 

if succeed then Update  $\tilde{f}$  insert ( $z_j$ , true) in  $\mathcal{L}$ .



Control for Robots SHARC 2010

\$\mathcal{L}\$ := {(x, false)}, The boolean indicate if x is entirely feasible
Do

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_c$ control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust contro Results

Conclusions

Extract from L a element (z, b),
Bisect z following a bisector B: (z<sub>1</sub>, z<sub>2</sub>)
for j = 1 to 2 :
if b = false (i.e. x is not completly feasible

- if b = false (i.e. x is not completly feasible) then Contract the infeasible region using Cout and Cf, Extract z<sub>feas</sub> a feasible part of z<sub>j</sub> using C<sub>in</sub>, Insert (z<sub>feas</sub>, true) in L. Insert the rest (z<sub>i</sub>, false) in L.
- else (i.e. x is entirely feasible)
  - Contract  $\mathbf{z}_j$  using  $\mathcal{C}_f$ ,

Try to find a local optimum without constraint in

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

[**z**<sub>j</sub>],

if succeed then Update  $\tilde{f}$  insert ( $z_j$ , true) in  $\mathcal{L}$ .



Control for Robots SHARC 2010

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to H<sub>c</sub> control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust contro Results

Conclusions

•  $\mathcal{L} := \{(\mathbf{x}, \textit{false})\}$ , The boolean indicate if  $\mathbf{x}$  is entirely feasible

O

- **1** Extract from  $\mathcal{L}$  a element  $(\mathbf{z}, b)$ ,
- **2** Bisect z following a bisector  $\mathcal{B}$ :  $(z_1, z_2)$

**(3)** for j = 1 to 2 :

- if b = false (i.e. x is not completly feasible) then Contract the infeasible region using C<sub>out</sub> and C<sub>f</sub>, Extract z<sub>feas</sub> a feasible part of z<sub>j</sub> using C<sub>in</sub>, Insert (z<sub>feas</sub>, true) in L. Insert the rest (z<sub>i</sub>, false) in L.
- else (i.e. x is entirely feasible)
  - Contract  $\mathbf{z}_j$  using  $\mathcal{C}_f$ ,

Try to find a local optimum without constraint in

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

 $[\mathbf{z}_j],$ 

if succeed then Update  $\tilde{f}$  insert ( $z_j$ , true) in  $\mathcal{L}$ .



Control for Robots SHARC 2010

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to H<sub>c</sub> control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust contro Results

Conclusions

•  $\mathcal{L} := \{(\mathbf{x}, \textit{false})\}$ , The boolean indicate if  $\mathbf{x}$  is entirely feasible

O

- **1** Extract from  $\mathcal{L}$  a element  $(\mathbf{z}, b)$ ,
- **2** Bisect z following a bisector  $\mathcal{B}$ :  $(z_1, z_2)$

**(3)** for j = 1 to 2 :

- if b = false (i.e. x is not completly feasible) then Contract the infeasible region using C<sub>out</sub> and C<sub>f</sub>, Extract z<sub>feas</sub> a feasible part of z<sub>j</sub> using C<sub>in</sub>, Insert (z<sub>feas</sub>, true) in L. Insert the rest (z<sub>i</sub>, false) in L.
- else (i.e. x is entirely feasible)
  - Contract  $\mathbf{z}_i$  using  $\mathcal{C}_f$ ,
  - Try to find a local optimum without constraint in

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

 $[\mathbf{z}_j],$ 

if succeed then Update  $\tilde{f}$  insert ( $z_j$ , true) in  $\mathcal{L}$ .



# $H_\infty$ control synthesis under structural constraints

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions



 $H_{\infty}$  control synthesis  $\Rightarrow$  Guarantee the robustness and stability  $||P||_{\infty} = \sup_{\omega} (\sigma_{\max}(P(j\omega)))$ 

• Classical approach without structural constraint  $\rightarrow$  LMI system, SDP opimization

Classical approach with structural constraint
 → Nonsmooth local optimization



# Mathematical Modeling

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{k,\gamma}{\min} & \gamma \\ \forall \omega, & \left\| \frac{W_1(j\omega)}{1 + G(j\omega)K(j\omega)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma, \\ \forall \omega, & \left\| \frac{W_2(j\omega)K(j\omega)}{1 + G(j\omega)K(j\omega)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma, \end{array}$$

The closed-loop system must be stable.

## Stability:

The system is stable iff its poles are strictly negative.  $\Leftrightarrow$ The roots of the denominator of  $\frac{1}{1+G(s)K(s)}$  are strictly negative

 $\implies$  Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion



# Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

#### AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

$$P(s) = a_n s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0$$

$v_{1,1} = a_n$	$v_{1,2} = a_{n-2}$	$v_{1,3} = a_{n-4}$	$v_{1,4} = a_{n-6}$
$v_{2,1} = a_{n-1}$	$v_{2,2} = a_{n-3}$	$v_{2,3} = a_{n-5}$	$v_{2,4} = a_{n-7}$
$v_{3,1} = \frac{-1}{v_{2,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{1,2} \\ v_{2,1} & v_{2,2} \end{vmatrix}$	$v_{3,2} = \frac{-1}{v_{2,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{1,3} \\ v_{2,1} & v_{2,3} \end{vmatrix}$	$v_{3,3} = \frac{-1}{v_{2,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{1,4} \\ v_{2,1} & v_{2,4} \end{vmatrix}$	
$v_{4,1} = \frac{-1}{v_{3,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{2,1} & v_{2,2} \\ v_{3,1} & v_{3,2} \end{vmatrix}$	$v_{4,2} = \frac{-1}{v_{3,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{2,1} & v_{2,3} \\ v_{3,1} & v_{3,3} \end{vmatrix}$		
$v_{5,1} = \frac{-1}{v_{4,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{3,1} & v_{3,2} \\ v_{4,1} & v_{4,2} \end{vmatrix}$			
	·	·	· · ·

æ

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



# Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

 $P(s) = a_n s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0$ 

$v_{1,1} = a_n$ $v_{2,1} = a_{n-1}$	$v_{1,2} = a_{n-2}$ $v_{2,2} = a_{n-3}$	$v_{1,3} = a_{n-4}$ $v_{2,3} = a_{n-5}$	$v_{1,4} = a_{n-6}$ $v_{2,4} = a_{n-7}$
$v_{3,1} = \frac{-1}{v_{2,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{1,2} \\ v_{2,1} & v_{2,2} \end{vmatrix}$	$v_{3,2} = \frac{-1}{v_{2,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{1,3} \\ v_{2,1} & v_{2,3} \end{vmatrix}$	$v_{3,3} = \frac{-1}{v_{2,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{1,4} \\ v_{2,1} & v_{2,4} \end{vmatrix}$	
$v_{4,1} = \frac{-1}{v_{3,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{2,1} & v_{2,2} \\ v_{3,1} & v_{3,2} \end{vmatrix}$	$v_{4,2} = \frac{-1}{v_{3,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{2,1} & v_{2,3} \\ v_{3,1} & v_{3,3} \end{vmatrix}$		
$v_{5,1} = \frac{-1}{v_{4,1}} \begin{vmatrix} v_{3,1} & v_{3,2} \\ v_{4,1} & v_{4,2} \end{vmatrix}$			
	·	·	·

If all the value of the **first column** are positive, all roots of P are negative.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



# Definition of the feasible set

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

#### AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

$$\mathbb{K}^{1}_{\omega} = \left\{ (k, \gamma, \omega) : \left\| \frac{W_{1}(i\omega)}{1 + G(i\omega)K(i\omega)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \right\},\$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{K}^2_{\omega} &= \left\{ (k, \gamma, \omega) : \left\| \frac{W_2(i\omega)K(i\omega)}{1 + G(i\omega)K(i\omega)} \right\|_{\infty} \le \gamma \right\}, \\ \mathbb{K}^4 &= \left. \bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \mathbb{K}^1_{\omega} \cap \mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}. \end{split}$$

The Routh's condition / stability of the closed-loop system:

$$\mathbb{K}^{Routh} = \{(k,\gamma) : \begin{cases} a_n(k,\gamma) > 0, \\ a_{n-1}(k,\gamma) > 0, \\ v_{2,1}(k,\gamma) > 0, \\ \dots \end{cases} \}.$$

The feasible set of our problem is  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}^4 \cap \mathbb{K}^{Routh}$ .

B. Clement

э

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



# Contractor Modeling: Properties

## Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

Application to  $H_{\infty}$ 

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

Let A a contractor for the equation f(x) = 0, and B a contractor for the equation g(x) = 0, then:

## Intersection, Composition

 $\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A}\circ\mathcal{B}$  are two contractors for the region:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) = 0 \text{ AND } g(x) = 0\}$$

## Union

 $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$  is a contractor for the region:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) = 0 \ OR \ g(x) = 0\}$$

Image: Image:



# Contractor with Quantifiers

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Global Optimization Global Optimizatio

global optimization

Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a contractor for a set  $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}$ ,  $\pi_{\mathbb{X}}$  the projection of  $\mathbb{Z}$  over  $\mathbb{X}$ .

## Contractor ForAll / Exists

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C}^{\cap \mathbb{Y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \bigcap_{y \in \mathbb{Y}} \pi_{\mathbb{X}} \left( \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x} \times \{y\}) \right), \\ \\ \mathcal{C}^{\cup \mathbb{Y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{Y}} \pi_{\mathbb{X}} \left( \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x} \times \{y\}) \right). \end{array} \right.$$

## Property

 $\mathcal{C}^{\cap \mathbb{Y}}$  is a contractor for  $\{x : \forall y \in \mathbb{Y}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$  $\mathcal{C}^{\cup \mathbb{Y}}$  is a contractor for  $\{x : \exists y \in \mathbb{Y}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$ 

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



# Contractor CtcForAll: $\mathbb{X} = \{x : \forall y \in \mathbb{Y}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$




# Contractor CtcExist: $\mathbb{X} = \{x : \exists y \in \mathbb{Y}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2010

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

#### Optimisation based control Global Optimizatio

General pattern for global optimization

#### Application to H<sub>a</sub> control

#### AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

#### Conclusions





Control for

Robots

Application to Han

# Construction of Contractors $\mathcal{C}_{out}$ of the feasible set $\mathbb{K}$

 $\mathcal{C}_{out}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{K}^{1}_{\omega} &= \left\{ (k, \gamma, \omega) \; : \; \left\| \frac{W_{1}(i\omega)}{1 + G(i\omega)K(i\omega)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \right\}, \\ \mathbb{K}^{2}_{\omega} &= \left\{ (k, \gamma, \omega) \; : \; \left\| \frac{W_{2}(i\omega)K(i\omega)}{1 + G(i\omega)K(i\omega)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \right\}, \\ \mathbb{K} &= \left( \bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^{2}]} \mathbb{K}^{1}_{\omega} \cap \mathbb{K}^{2}_{\omega} \right) \cap \mathbb{K}^{Routh}. \end{split}$$



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{\textit{out}}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\mathbb{K}.$ 

$$\mathbb{K} = \left( \bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \mathbb{K}^1_\omega \cap \mathbb{K}^2_\omega \right) \cap \mathbb{K}^{\textit{Routh}}.$$



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

global optimization

Application to H<sub>o</sub> control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{\textit{out}}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\mathbb{K}.$ 

$$\mathbb{K} = \left(\bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \mathbb{K}^1_{\omega} \cap \mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}\right) \cap \mathbb{K}^{\textit{Routh}}.$$

• Create the contractor  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_{Routh}$  based on  $\mathbb{K}^1_{\omega}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}$  and  $\mathbb{K}^{Routh}$ :

Contractor based on inequality system: Forward-Backward algorithm, HC4-revise, PolytopeHull, ...



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{\textit{out}}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\mathbb{K}.$ 

$$\mathbb{K} = \left(\bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \mathbb{K}^1_{\omega} \cap \mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}\right) \cap \mathbb{K}^{\textit{Routh}}.$$

• Create the contractor  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_{Routh}$  based on  $\mathbb{K}^1_{\omega}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}$  and  $\mathbb{K}^{Routh}$ :

Contractor based on inequality system: Forward-Backward algorithm, HC4-revise, PolytopeHull, ...

- E > - E >



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{\textit{out}}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\mathbb{K}.$ 

$$\mathbb{K} = \left(\bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \mathbb{K}^1_{\omega} \cap \mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}\right) \cap \mathbb{K}^{Routh}.$$

• Create the contractor  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_{Routh}$  based on  $\mathbb{K}^1_{\omega}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}$  and  $\mathbb{K}^{Routh}$ :

Contractor based on inequality system: Forward-Backward algorithm, HC4-revise, PolytopeHull, ...

< □ > < 凸

• < = • < = •

- **2** Inter:  $C_3(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega) = C_1(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega) \cap C_2(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega).$
- CtcForAll:  $\mathcal{C}^{\cap\omega}(\mathbf{k},\gamma) = \bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2},10^2]} \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{k},\gamma,\omega).$



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{\textit{out}}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\mathbb{K}.$ 

$$\mathbb{K} = \left(\bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \mathbb{K}^1_{\omega} \cap \mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}\right) \cap \mathbb{K}^{\textit{Routh}}.$$

• Create the contractor  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_{Routh}$  based on  $\mathbb{K}^1_{\omega}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}$  and  $\mathbb{K}^{Routh}$ :

Contractor based on inequality system: Forward-Backward algorithm, HC4-revise, PolytopeHull, ...

< □ > < 凸

. . . . . . . .

- **2** Inter:  $C_3(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega) = C_1(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega) \cap C_2(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega).$
- CtcForAll:  $\mathcal{C}^{\cap\omega}(\mathbf{k},\gamma) = \bigcap_{\omega \in [10^{-2},10^2]} \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{k},\gamma,\omega).$

• Inter:  $C_{out} = C^{\cap \omega} \cap C_{Routh}$ .



 $C_{in}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ .

# $$\begin{split} \overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{1}} &= \left\{ (k, \gamma, \omega) : \left\| \frac{W_{1}(i\omega)}{1 + G(i\omega)K(i\omega)} \right\| > \gamma \right\}, \\ \overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{2}} &= \left\{ (k, \gamma, \omega) : \left\| \frac{W_{2}(i\omega)K(i\omega)}{1 + G(i\omega)K(i\omega)} \right\| > \gamma \right\}, \\ \overline{\mathbb{K}} &= \left( \bigcup_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^{2}]} \overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{1}} \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{2}} \right) \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^{Routh}}. \end{split}$$

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for

Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{\textit{in}}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}.$ 

$$\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \overline{\mathbb{K}^1_\omega} \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^2_\omega}\right) \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^{Routh}}.$$



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{\textit{in}}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}.$ 

$$\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \overline{\mathbb{K}^1_{\omega}} \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}}\right) \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^{\textit{Routh}}}.$$

• Create the contractor  $C_{\overline{1}}$ ,  $C_{\overline{2}}$  and  $C_{\overline{Routh}}$  based on  $\overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{1}}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{2}}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{K}^{Routh}}$ :

Contractor based on inequality system: Forward-Backward algorithm, HC4-revise, PolytopeHull, ...



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{in}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ .

$$\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \overline{\mathbb{K}^1_{\omega}} \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}}\right) \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^{\textit{Routh}}}.$$

• Create the contractor  $C_{\overline{1}}$ ,  $C_{\overline{2}}$  and  $C_{\overline{Routh}}$  based on  $\overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{1}}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{2}}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{K}^{Routh}}$ :

Contractor based on inequality system: Forward-Backward algorithm, HC4-revise, PolytopeHull, ...

< □ > < 凸



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{in}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ .

$$\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \overline{\mathbb{K}^1_{\omega}} \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}}\right) \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^{Routh}}.$$

• Create the contractor  $C_{\overline{1}}$ ,  $C_{\overline{2}}$  and  $C_{\overline{Routh}}$  based on  $\overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{1}}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{2}}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{K}^{Routh}}$ :

Contractor based on inequality system: Forward-Backward algorithm, HC4-revise, PolytopeHull, ...

< □ > < 凸

. . . . . . . .

- CtcExist:  $\mathcal{C}^{\cup \omega}(\mathbf{k}, \gamma) = \bigcup_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \mathcal{C}_{\overline{3}}(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega).$



Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description an challenges

Robust contro Results

Conclusions

 $\mathcal{C}_{in}$  will eliminate all the part of a box which are not in  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ .

$$\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \overline{\mathbb{K}^1_{\omega}} \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^2_{\omega}}\right) \cup \overline{\mathbb{K}^{Routh}}.$$

• Create the contractor  $C_{\overline{1}}$ ,  $C_{\overline{2}}$  and  $C_{\overline{Routh}}$  based on  $\overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{1}}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{K}_{\omega}^{2}}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{K}^{Routh}}$ :

Contractor based on inequality system: Forward-Backward algorithm, HC4-revise, PolytopeHull, ...

- CtcExist:  $\mathcal{C}^{\cup\omega}(\mathbf{k},\gamma) = \bigcup_{\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2]} \mathcal{C}_{\overline{3}}(\mathbf{k},\gamma,\omega).$
- Union:  $C_{in} = C^{\cup \omega} \cup C_{\overline{Routh}}$ .

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



# First Application with second order dynamic system

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

~ · ·



The transfer function of the dynamic system:

$$egin{aligned} G(s) &= rac{1}{s^2+1.4s+1}, & \mathcal{K}(s) &= k_p + rac{k_i}{s} + rac{k_d s}{1+s}. \ W_1(s) &= rac{s+100}{100s+1}, & W_2(s) &= rac{10s+1}{s+10}. \end{aligned}$$

Image: A matrix

3 1 4 3 1



## Overview of the equation

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions

$$orall \omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2], \left\| rac{W_1(j\omega)}{1 + G(j\omega)K(j\omega)} 
ight\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma.$$

$$\forall \omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2], \frac{w^2 (w^2 + 1.0) (w^2 + 1000.0) (25.0 w^4 - 1.0 w^2 + 25.0)}{(10000.0 w^2 + 1.0) f_1(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega)} \leq \gamma$$

æ



## Overview of the equation

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control Results

Conclusions

$$orall \omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2], \left\| rac{W_1(j\omega)}{1 + G(j\omega)K(j\omega)} 
ight\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma.$$
 $\iff$ 

$$\forall \omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2], \frac{w^2 \left(w^2 + 1.0\right) \left(w^2 + 1000.0\right) \left(25.0 \ w^4 - 1.0 \ w^2 + 25.0\right)}{(10000.0 \ w^2 + 1.0) f_1(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega)} \leq \gamma$$

$$\begin{split} f_1(\mathbf{k},\gamma,\omega) &= 25.0 \mathrm{kd}^2 w^4 + 25.0 \mathrm{kp}^2 w^2 + 25.0 \mathrm{kp}^2 w^4 - \\ 1.0 \mathrm{ki} & (50.0 \mathrm{kd} w^2 + 70.0 w^2 + 70.0 w^4) + \mathrm{ki}^2 & (25.0 w^2 + 25.0) + \\ 120.0 \mathrm{kd} w^4 - 50.0 \mathrm{kd} w^6 + 50.0 \mathrm{kp} w^2 - 50.0 \mathrm{kp} w^6 + 25.0 w^2 + \\ & 24.0 w^4 + 24.0 w^6 + 25.0 w^8 + 50.0 \mathrm{kd} \mathrm{kp} w^4 \end{split}$$

æ



## Overview of the equation

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control Results

Conclusions

$$\forall \omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2], \left\| \frac{W_1(j\omega)}{1 + G(j\omega)K(j\omega)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma.$$
$$\iff$$

$$\forall \omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^2], \frac{w^2 \left(w^2 + 1.0\right) \left(w^2 + 1000.0\right) \left(25.0 \ w^4 - 1.0 \ w^2 + 25.0\right)}{(10000.0 \ w^2 + 1.0) f_1(\mathbf{k}, \gamma, \omega)} \leq \gamma$$

$$\begin{split} f_1(\mathbf{k},\gamma,\omega) &= 25.0 \mathrm{kd}^2 w^4 + 25.0 \mathrm{kp}^2 w^2 + 25.0 \mathrm{kp}^2 w^4 - \\ 1.0 \mathrm{ki} &(50.0 \mathrm{kd} w^2 + 70.0 w^2 + 70.0 w^4) + \mathrm{ki}^2 &(25.0 w^2 + 25.0) + \\ 120.0 \mathrm{kd} w^4 - 50.0 \mathrm{kd} w^6 + 50.0 \mathrm{kp} w^2 - 50.0 \mathrm{kp} w^6 + 25.0 w^2 + \\ &24.0 w^4 + 24.0 w^6 + 25.0 w^8 + 50.0 \mathrm{kd} \mathrm{kp} w^4 \\ &\forall u \in [-2,2], \omega = 10^u. \end{split}$$

æ



### **Comparing Results**

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern fo global optimization

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

The same problem is proposed with 2 existant tools and compared with the new approach.

- HINFSYN of Matlab full order controller with convex optimization based on LMI ( $\gamma = 1.5887$ );
- e HINFSTRUCT of Matlab structured controller with local optimization ( $\gamma = 2.1414$ ).
- 3 Global Optimization of IBEX ( $\gamma = 2.1414$ )



# Results with $\ensuremath{\operatorname{HINFSYN}}$ of Matlab

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions



 $\gamma = 1.5887$ 

э

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



# Results with $\operatorname{HINFSTRUCT}$ of Matlab

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions



 $\gamma = 2.1414$ 

э

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



# Results with Global Optimization of IBEX

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

global optimization

Application to  $H_{\alpha}$ control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions





# Results with Global Optimization of IBEX

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization

General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_{\infty}$  control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Results

Conclusions





### To keep in mind

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control

Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization

Application to  $H_\infty$  control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges Robust control

Results

Conclusions

# **Contractor Programming:**

- Generates the Modeling and the adapted Solver in the same time,
- Consider heterogeneous constraints without changing the solver,

Image: Image:

3 🕨 🖌 🗄

• Give all the tools to the expert of the application.



# AUV CISCREA

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

#### Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control



Size	0.525m (L) 0.406m (W) 0.395m (H)
Weight in air	15.56kg (without payload and floats)
Degrees of Freedom	Surge, Sway, Heave and Yaw
Propulsion	2 vertical and 4 horizontal propellers
Speed	2 knots (Surge) and 1 knot (Sway, Heave)
Depth Rating	50m
On-board Battery	2-4 hours

#### B. Clement

#### Control for Robots

æ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



# AUV CISCREA model

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to H<sub>∞</sub> control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

Rigid-body dynamic:

$$M_{RB}\dot{\nu} + C_{RB}(\nu)\nu = \tau_{env} + \tau_{hydro} + \tau_{pro}$$
(1)

Hydrodynamic formulations:

$$\tau_{hydro} = -M_A \dot{\nu} - C_A(\nu)\nu - D(|\nu|)\nu - g(\eta)$$
(2)

#### Damping:

$$D(|\nu|) = D_L + D_N |\nu|\nu \tag{3}$$

Parameter	Description
M <sub>RB</sub>	AUV rigid-body mass and inertia matrix
M <sub>A</sub>	Added mass matrix
C <sub>RB</sub>	Rigid-body induced coriolis-centripetal matrix
CA	Added mass induced coriolis-centripetal matrix
$D( \nu )$	Damping matrix
$g(\eta)$	Restoring forces and moments vector
$\tau_{env}$	Environmental disturbances(wind,waves and currents)
$ au_{hydro}$	Vector of hydrodynamic forces and moments
$\tau_{pro}$	Propeller forces and moments vector



# AUV CISCREA Yaw model



Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions



We consider that there are no dependencies between the yaw dynamic and dynamics along other axis. Resulting Yaw dynamic:

$$(I_{YRB} + I_{YA})\ddot{x} + D_{YN}|\dot{x}|\dot{x} + D_{YL}\dot{x} = K_t\tau_i$$
(4)

However,  $H_{\infty}$  synthesis requires a linear system. Thus, the CISCREA yaw model could be linearized as:

$$(I_{YRB} + I_{YA})\ddot{x} + (D_{YLA} + \delta)\dot{x} = K_t \tau_i_2, \quad \text{(5)}$$

41 / 57



# Control objectives specifications

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

We aim to synthesize a controller to meet the following objectives:

- Small tracking error e.
- 2 External perturbation rejection.

External perturbation can be modeled as a control disturbance signal d.





# $H_{\infty}$ formulation

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimizatio General pattern for global optimization Application to H<sub>∞</sub> control

#### AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

#### Robust control

Conclusions

The two objectives can be formulate as  $H_{\infty}$  constraints: Small tracking error:

$$\frac{|e(i\omega)|}{|r(i\omega)|} \le |W_e^{-1}(i\omega)| \iff ||T_{r \to e}(i\omega)W_e(i\omega)||_{\infty} \le 1$$

External perturbation rejection:

$$\frac{|y(i\omega)|}{|d(i\omega)|} \le |W_y^{-1}(i\omega)| \iff ||T_{d\to y}(i\omega)W_y(i\omega)||_{\infty} \le 1$$



# Bode diagriams of Weighted functions





## Min Max Problem

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description an challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

- The controller K(k, s) depends on free parameters k.
- $T_{r \rightarrow e}(k, s) = \frac{1}{1 + G(s)K(k,s)}$  depends on k
- $T_{d \to y}(k,s) = \frac{G(s)}{1+G(s)K(k,s)}$  depends on k
- The constraint satisfaction problem is:

Find k,  $\max(||T_{r \rightarrow e}(k,s)W_e(s)||_{\infty}, ||T_{d \rightarrow y}(k,s)W_y(s)||_{\infty}) \leq 1$ 

• 
$$||T(s)||_{\infty} = \sup_{\omega} |T(i\omega)|$$

The Min Max problem is:

 $\min_{k} \sup_{\omega \geq 0} \{ \max(|T_{r \to e}(k,s)W_y(s)|, |T_{d \to y}(k,s)W_y(s)|) \}$ 



# Solving the Min Max problem

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

We solve the Min Max problem with Global optimization based on interval analysis.

- Existing methods are based on local optimization. They only provide an upper bound of the objective function.
- Global optimization provides an enclosure of the objective function. It is possible to prove that the CSP (*Constraint Satisfaction Problem*) is not feasible.

< □ > < 凸



### Uncertainties

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control Results

Conclusions

The model of the CISCREA carries uncertainties. The controller is synthesize from a nominal model, and robustness to uncertainties must be analyzed.

- An uncertainty is represented by an interval: **p** is the vector of uncertainties.
- $G_{\Delta}(s, p), \ p \in \mathbf{p}$  describe the uncertain system.
- The closed loop system stability and performances are robust if and only if: ∀p ∈ p,

 $\max(||\mathcal{T}_{\Delta r \rightarrow e}(p,s)W_e(s)||_{\infty}, ||\mathcal{T}_{\Delta d \rightarrow y}(p,s)W_y(s)||_{\infty}) \leq 1$ 

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

• The robustness condition can be validated with interval analysis in a reliable way.



## Controller synthesis

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description an challenges

Robust contro

Results

Conclusions

### • PID controller:

$$K(k,s) = k_p + rac{k_i}{s} + rac{k_d s}{1 + au s}$$

• 
$$k = (k_p, k_i, k_d, \tau)$$

$$G(s) = \frac{6.725}{s^2 + 2s}$$

$$W_e = rac{0.1s^2 + 0.7109s + 2.527}{s^2 + 0.2248s + 0.02527}, \; W_y = rac{0.1s + 0.9935}{s + 0.03142}$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

• k is searched in  $[0,2]^4$ 

э



## Controller synthesis

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

#### Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to H<sub>∞</sub> control

#### AUV Contro Application

- CISCREA: description and challenges
- Robust contro
- Results

#### Conclusions

• Solution to the Min Max problem computed:  $k^* = (1.987, 1.731, 0.638, 0.001)$ 

• 
$$||T_{r\to e}(k^*,s)||_{\infty} = 0.325$$

- $||T_{d\rightarrow y}(k^*,s)||_{\infty} = 0.154$
- $\min_{\substack{k \ \omega \ge 0}} \sup_{\substack{\omega \ge 0}} \{\max(|T_{r \to e}(k, s)W_y(s)|, |T_{d \to y}(k, s)W_y(s)|)\} \in [0.225, 0.325]$



## Robustness Analysis

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description an challenges

Robust contro

Results

Conclusions

### Uncertain CISCREA model:

$$G_{\Delta}(s,p) = rac{6.725}{s^2 + ps}, \ p \in [0,4]$$

• 
$$||T_{\Delta r \to e}(k^*, s, p)||_{\infty} \le 0.82$$
  
•  $||T_{\Delta d \to y}(k^*, s, p)||_{\infty} \le 0.162$ 

• 
$$||T_{r \to e}(k^*, s)||_{\infty} = 0.325$$
  
•  $||T_{d \to y}(k^*, s)||_{\infty} = 0.154$ 

æ



### Tracking error constraint



Results



#### Tracking error


### Perturbation rejection





## Step response without perturbation





# Step response with perturbation



Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Contro Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust contr

Results

Conclusions



B. Clement

Control for Robots



## Conclusion for the robot control

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

- Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks
- Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

#### AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control

Results

Conclusions

- Robust control synthesis method based on global optimization: the optimal PID
- Robustness analysis with respect to uncertainties with experiments on a real underwater robot

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



## Conclusions

Control for Robots SHARC 2016

Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to  $H_{\infty}$ control

AUV Control Application

CISCREA: description and challenges

Robust control

Conclusions

- Need: structured control based on end-used demand
- Answers : an original approach based on global optimization (change the hegemony of SPD)
- Perspectives: generalization of the concept for nonlinear control, temporal specifications, etc...
- Others applications:



< □ > < 凸



#### References

#### Control for Robots SHARC 2016

#### Context

Control issue Problem Statement Some answers... with drawbacks

Optimisation based control Global Optimization General pattern for global optimization Application to H<sub>m</sub>

AUV Contro

Application CISCREA:

description and challenges

Robust control

#### Conclusions

# These results are obtained with the collaboration of Jordan NININ (Associate Professor), Dominique MONNET (PhD Student) and Juan Luis ROSENDO (PhD Student)

- D. Arzelier, B. Clement et D. Peaucelle. Multi-objective H<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>∞</sub>/Impulse-to-Peak Control of a Space Launch Vehicle. European Journal of Control, vol. 12, no. 1, 2006
- N. Imbert et B. Clement. Launcher Attitude control : some answers to the robustness issue. In Proc. of 16th IFAC Symposium on Automatic Control in Aerospace, Saint-Petersburg, 2004
- D. Monnet, J. Ninin and B. Clement. Mathematical Aspects of Computer and Information Sciences, chapter Global Optimization of Hinfinity Problems : Application to Robust Control Synthesis Under Structural Constraints. Springer 2016
- J. Ninin, D. Monnet, and B. Clement. Global Optimization based on Contractor Programming. In 8th Small Workshop on Interval Methods, Prague, 2015
- J.L. Rosendo, B. Clement and F. Garelli, Sliding mode reference conditioning for path following applied to an AUV. In Proc. of 10th IFAC Conference on Control Applications in Marine Systems, Norway, 2016.

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト