Parasite evolution in spatially structured populations

Sébastien Lion

CEFE - CNRS, Montpellier sebastien.lion@cefe.cnrs.fr

13 june 2016

MCEB 2016

Evolutionary epidemiology

Applying ecological and evolutionary thinking to infectious diseases.



Koelle et al, Interface, 2010

Environmental change



Rohani et al, 1999

Dispersal



 \uparrow Bedford et al, PLoS Pathogens, 2010 \rightarrow

Population genetics



Basic questions

How do epidemiological dynamics affect the evolution of parasite life-history traits?

Specifically, how should a parasite allocate resources to reproduction (transmission) vs. survival?

Transmission-virulence trade-off: $\beta(x)$, $\alpha(x)$



This talk

What is the impact of **host and parasite dispersal** on the evolution of parasite life-history traits?











Parasite dispersal







Moment equations

Global dispersal (g = 1)

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - (d + \alpha + \gamma)I$$

Moment equations

Global dispersal (g = 1) $\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - (d + \alpha + \gamma)I$

Local dispersal (g = 0)

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta p_{SI} - (d + \alpha + \gamma)I$$
$$= \beta q_{S|I}I - (d + \alpha + \gamma)I$$

 p_{SI} is the density of SI pairs.

The dynamics of p_{SI} in turns depend on the dynamics of other pair or triplet configurations...

Long-term evolution

From spatial dynamics to fitness

Dynamics of two parasite strains

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \left(\beta[S|I] - (d + \alpha + \gamma)\right)I$$
$$\frac{dI'}{dt} = \left(\beta'[S|I'] - (d + \alpha' + \gamma)\right)I'$$

with

$$[S|x] = (1-g)q_{S/x} + gS$$

From spatial dynamics to fitness

Dynamics of two parasite strains

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \left(\beta[S|I] - (d + \alpha + \gamma)\right)I$$
$$\frac{dI'}{dt} = \left(\beta'[S|I'] - (d + \alpha' + \gamma)\right)I'$$

with

$$[S|x] = (1-g)q_{S/x} + gS$$

From spatial dynamics to fitness

Dynamics of two parasite strains

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \left(\beta[S|I] - (d + \alpha + \gamma)\right)I$$
$$\frac{dI'}{dt} = \left(\beta'[S|I'] - (d + \alpha' + \gamma)\right)I'$$

with

$$[S|x] = (1-g)q_{S/x} + gS$$

Change in frequency

$$f = \frac{I'}{I + I'}$$

$$\frac{df}{dt} = f(1-f) \begin{bmatrix} \beta'[S|I'] - \beta[S|I] \\ \hline \\ transmission benefit \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -(\alpha' - \alpha) \\ \hline \\ virulence cost \end{bmatrix}$$

Invasion analysis

Weak selection: selection gradient

A rare mutant parasite invades when

$$S = \frac{\partial v'}{v} + (1 - g)\frac{\partial [S|I']}{[S|I]} > 0$$

where

$$v' = rac{eta'}{d+lpha'}$$
 (reproductive value)

• in a **well-mixed** population, selection acts to maximise the reproductive value of the parasite

Invasion analysis

Weak selection: selection gradient

A rare mutant parasite invades when

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{\partial v'}{v} + (1 - g)\frac{\partial [S|I']}{[S|I]} > 0$$

where

$$v' = rac{eta'}{d+lpha'}$$
 (reproductive value)

- in a **well-mixed** population, selection acts to maximise the reproductive value of the parasite
- **spatial structure** generates an additional selective pressure: local competition for susceptible hosts

Simulation results



Simulation results



Lion & Boots Ecol. Lett. 2010

Simulation results



Lion & Boots Ecol. Lett. 2010

Graphical interpretation



Graphical interpretation



Graphical interpretation



Kin competition

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{\partial v'}{v} + (1 - g) \frac{\partial [S|I']}{[S|I]} > 0$$

- As parasite dispersal becomes more local, parasites competing for susceptible hosts tend to be related.
- Local competition depends on relatedness between parasites.

Kin competition

$$\mathcal{S} = rac{\partial v'}{v} + ext{kin competition} > 0$$

- As parasite dispersal becomes more local, parasites competing for susceptible hosts tend to be related.
- Local competition depends on relatedness between parasites.

Epidemiological dynamics matter



Lion & Boots Ecol. Lett. 2010

Epidemiological dynamics matter



Lion & Boots Ecol. Lett. 2010

Summary so far...

- Interplay between spatial structuring and host heterogeneity may have potentially complex evolutionary consequences.
- Invasion analyses allow us to understand how selective pressures shape the **long-term evolution** of parasite life-history traits.
- However, invasion analyses assume a separation of time scales between epidemiology and evolution.
 For many parasites (e.g. viruses), this is unlikely to hold true.

Rapid evolution in viruses



Gago et al, Science, 2009





- Long-term predictions
- rare mutations with small phenotypic effects

Evolutionary epidemiology



- Short- and long-term predictions
- genetic polymorphism

Another approach to theoretical evolutionary ecology Ecological dynamics

Consider a population of ${\boldsymbol N}$ types. The dynamics of each type is

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = r_i(\mathbf{E}) \ n_i \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{e} \end{pmatrix}$$

The dynamics of the total density is

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \overline{r(\mathbf{E})} \; n$$

Another approach to theoretical evolutionary ecology Ecological dynamics

Consider a population of N types. The dynamics of each type is

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = r_i(\mathbf{E}) \ n_i \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{e} \end{pmatrix}$$

The dynamics of the total density is

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \overline{r(\mathbf{E})} \; n$$

Evolutionary dynamics

Change in frequency $f_i = n_i/n$

$$\frac{df_i}{dt} = f_i \left(r_i(\mathbf{E}) - \overline{r_i(\mathbf{E})} \right)$$

Change in mean trait $\bar{z} = \sum_i z_i f_i$

$$rac{dar{z}}{dt} = \mathsf{cov}_i(z_i, r_i(\mathbf{E})) + \mathsf{mutation}$$

Evolutionary epidemiology

Per-capita growth rate of hosts infected by strain i

$$r_i(\mathbf{E}) = \beta_i \mathbf{S} - (d + \alpha_i)$$

Evolutionary epidemiology

Per-capita growth rate of hosts infected by strain i

$$r_i(\mathbf{E}) = \beta_i \mathbf{S} - (d + \alpha_i)$$

Change in mean traits:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_I \\ \bar{\beta}_I \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\mathbf{G} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ S \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{selection}} \underbrace{-\mu \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_I - \bar{\alpha}_I^m \\ \bar{\beta}_I - \bar{\beta}_I^m \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{mutation}}$$

where

$$\mathbf{G} = egin{pmatrix} \sigma_{I}^{lpha lpha} & \sigma_{I}^{lpha eta} \ \sigma_{I}^{eta lpha} & \sigma_{I}^{eta eta} \end{pmatrix}$$

together with equations for epidemiological densities:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = b - dS - \bar{\beta}_I SI$$
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \bar{\beta}_I SI - (d + \bar{\alpha}_I)I$$

Day & Gandon, 2006; 2007

Spatial evolutionary epidemiology





Per-capita growth rate of hosts infected by strain i

$$r_i(\mathbf{E}) = \beta_i q_{S|i} - (d + \alpha_i + \gamma)$$

Change in mean traits:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_I \\ \bar{\beta}_I \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{G} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ q_{S/I} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{\beta}_{IS} q_{S/I} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_I \\ \bar{\beta}_{IS} - \bar{\beta}_I \end{pmatrix} - \mu \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_I - \bar{\alpha}_I^m \\ \bar{\beta}_I - \bar{\beta}_I^m \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha} & \sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta} \\ \sigma_{I}^{\beta\alpha} & \sigma_{IS}^{\beta\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lion & Gandon, submitted

Spatial evolutionary epidemiology





Per-capita growth rate of hosts infected by strain i

$$r_i(\mathbf{E}) = \beta_i q_{S|i} - (d + \alpha_i + \gamma)$$

Change in mean traits:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_I \\ \bar{\beta}_I \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{G} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ q_{S/I} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{\beta}_{IS} q_{S/I} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_I \\ \bar{\beta}_{IS} - \bar{\beta}_I \end{pmatrix} - \mu \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_I - \bar{\alpha}_I^m \\ \bar{\beta}_I - \bar{\beta}_I^m \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha} & \sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta} \\ \sigma_{I}^{\beta\alpha} & \sigma_{IS}^{\beta\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lion & Gandon, submitted

Application to transient dynamcis

A simple example

Let us consider the invasion dynamics of two parasite strains:

- a wild-type strain
- a mutant strain (lower R_0 but higher transmission and virulence)

I will contrast two scenarios: global dispersal and local dispersal. I will focus on the simpler SIS model (host fecundity $\rightarrow \infty$).



Change in mean virulence

$$\frac{d\bar{\alpha}_{I}}{dt} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} q_{S/I}\sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta} - \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{trade-off}} + \underbrace{\bar{\beta}_{IS}q_{S/I}(\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_{I})}_{\text{spatial differentiation mutation bias}} \underbrace{-\mu(\bar{\alpha}_{I} - \bar{\alpha}_{I}^{m})}_{\text{mutation bias}}$$

Global dispersal





Global dispersal

0 10 20

Local dispersal



30 40

Time

50









Dynamics of spatial differentiation

$$\frac{d(\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_{I})}{dt} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}q_{S/SI}\sigma_{ISS}^{\alpha\beta} - \sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta}q_{S/I} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Transmission}} - \sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta} \left(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}\right)}_{\text{Hortality}} \\ - \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\alpha} - \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Mortality}} + \frac{d + \bar{\alpha}_{II}}{q_{S/I}} \\ - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\beta}_{IS}q_{S/I} + \frac{d + \bar{\alpha}_{II}}{q_{S/I}} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{Mixing}} (\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_{I})}_{\text{Mixing}} \\ - \underbrace{\mu \frac{N}{N-1}(\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_{I})}_{\text{Mutation}}$$

Initial dynamics of spatial dynamics

where

Aim: understanding the build-up of spatial differentiation $\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_{I}$. Starting from initial condition $\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_{I} \approx 0$, we can write the initial dynamics (neglecting mutation bias) as:

$$\frac{d(\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_{I})}{dt} = \underbrace{\sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta}(\bar{\phi}q_{S/SI} - q_{S/I})}_{\text{Epidemiological effect}} \\
-\sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}) + \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha}\frac{q_{I/I}}{q_{S/I}}\rho \\
-\sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}) + \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}\frac{q_{I}}{q_{S/I}}\rho \\
-\sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}) + \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}\frac{q_{I}}{q_{S/I}}\rho \\
-\sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}) + \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}) \\
-\sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}) + \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}) \\
-\sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_{S}) + \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/S}\rho_{S}) \\
-\sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/S}\rho_{S}) \\
-\sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/S}\rho_{S}) + \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\beta}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{$$

Spatial differentiation at equilibrium

Scaling $\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_I$ by population variance, we obtain at equilibrium

$$\hat{D} = \frac{\frac{q_{I/I}}{q_{S/I}}\rho - \frac{\sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\beta}}{\sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha}}(\phi + \bar{\phi}q_{I/SI}\rho_S) - \frac{(\sigma_{IS}^{\alpha\alpha} - \sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha})}{\sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha}}}{\bar{\beta}_{IS}q_{S/I} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{II}}{q_{S/I}} + \mu\frac{N}{N-1}}.$$
 (3)

Back to invasion analyses

Weak selection limit:

$$\frac{d\bar{\alpha}_I}{dt} = \sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha} \left[\left. \frac{d\beta}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha = \bar{\alpha}_I} q_{S/I} - 1 + \bar{\beta}_I q_{S/I} D \right]$$

where

$$D = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_I}{\sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha}}$$

is a fast variable under weak selection.

Back to invasion analyses

Weak selection limit:

$$\frac{d\bar{\alpha}_I}{dt} = \sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha} \left[\left. \frac{d\beta}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha = \bar{\alpha}_I} q_{S/I} - 1 + \bar{\beta}_I q_{S/I} D \right]$$

where

$$D = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_I}{\sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha}}$$

is a fast variable under weak selection.

Using a quasi-equilibrium approximation for D, we obtain:

$$\frac{d\bar{\alpha}_I}{dt} = \sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{q_{I/I}\rho}{1 + q_{S/I}} \right) \left[\frac{d + \bar{\alpha}_I}{\bar{\beta}_I} \left. \frac{d\beta}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha = \bar{\alpha}_I} - 1 \right]$$

which is the result of Lion & Boots (2010) and Lion (in press).

Back to invasion analyses

Weak selection limit:

$$\frac{d\bar{\alpha}_I}{dt} = \sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha} \left[\left. \frac{d\beta}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha = \bar{\alpha}_I} q_{S/I} - 1 + \bar{\beta}_I q_{S/I} D \right]$$

where

$$D = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{IS} - \bar{\alpha}_I}{\sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha}}$$

is a fast variable under weak selection.

Using a quasi-equilibrium approximation for D, we obtain:

$$\frac{d\bar{\alpha}_I}{dt} = \sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{q_{I/I}\rho}{1 + q_{S/I}} \right) \left[\frac{d + \bar{\alpha}_I}{\bar{\beta}_I} \left. \frac{d\beta}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha = \bar{\alpha}_I} - 1 \right]$$

which is the result of Lion & Boots (2010) and Lion (in press). Furthermore:

$$\bar{\beta}_{IS}q_{S/I}\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{IS}-\bar{\alpha}_{I}}{\sigma_{I}^{\alpha\alpha}}\approx\beta\,\partial[S|I']\qquad\text{(kin competition term)}$$

Model with host demography

We can also write equations for the dynamics of mean traits when host fecundity is finite.

As in the SIS model, we can write under weak selection

$$\frac{d\bar{\alpha}_I}{dt} = \sigma_I^{\alpha\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{q_{I/I}\rho}{\kappa} \right) S$$

where

$$S = \left[1 - \omega \left(q_{I/I}\rho - \bar{\phi}q_{S/SI} + q_{S/I}\right)\right] \frac{d + \bar{\alpha}_I}{\bar{\beta}_I} \left. \frac{d\beta}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha = \bar{\alpha}_I} - 1$$

and we also recover the result discussed in the first part.

Take-home messages

- Spatial moment equations can be used to model the long- and short-term evolution of parasites.
- Long-term evolution can be studied using an extension of standard Adaptive Dynamics techniques.
- An AD approach cannot capture some features of infectious diseases biology (e.g. short-term dynamics, or out-of-equilibrium dynamics). In such cases, it is helpful to bridge the gap between QG and AD models.
- A key insight of both AD and QG approaches is that selection on parasite traits depends on measures of **epidemiological** and **genetic structure** (relatedness).

(a) Transmission

Effect on $\bar{\alpha}_{IS}$



(b) Mortality

