

# Embedding computations in tilings (a perspective of the course)

Andrei Romashchenko

30 May 2016

What is a **tile**?

What is a **tile**?

In **this** mini-course:

**Color:** an element of a finite set  $C = \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

What is a **tile**?

In **this** mini-course:

**Color:** an element of a finite set  $C = \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$


**Wang Tile:** a unit square with colored sides.

What is a **tile**?

In **this** mini-course:

**Color:** an element of a finite set  $C = \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

**Wang Tile:** a unit square with colored sides.


i.e, an element of  $C^4$ , e.g., 

What is a **tile**?

In **this** mini-course:

**Color:** an element of a finite set  $C = \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

**Wang Tile:** a unit square with colored sides.

i.e, an element of  $C^4$ , e.g., 


**Tile set:** a set  $\mathcal{T} \subset C^4$

What is a **tile**?

In **this** mini-course:

**Color:** an element of a finite set  $C = \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

**Wang Tile:** a unit square with colored sides.

i.e, an element of  $C^4$ , e.g., 

**Tile set:** a set  $\tau \subset C^4$

**Tiling:** a mapping  $f: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \tau$   
that respects the matching rules

A shift of finite type (SFT):



A shift of finite type (SFT):

- ▶ a finite set of letters  $\tau$

## A shift of finite type (SFT):

- ▶ a finite set of letters  $\tau$
- ▶ a finite set of **forbidden** (finite) **patterns**  $\mathcal{F}$
- ▶ SFT: the set of all configurations  $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \tau$  that does not contain forbidden patterns

## A shift of finite type (SFT):

- ▶ a finite set of letters  $\tau$
- ▶ a finite set of forbidden (finite) patterns  $\mathcal{F}$
- ▶ SFT: the set of all configurations  $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \tau$  that does not contain forbidden patterns

*Remark:* for every set of Wang tiles  $\tau$  the set of all  $\tau$ -tilings is an SFT

$\tau$ -tiling:

a mapping  $f: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \tau$  that respects the local rules.

$\tau$ -tiling:

a mapping  $f: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \tau$  that respects the local rules.

$T \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is a **period** if  $f(x + T) = f(x)$  for all  $x$ .

super-classic facts:

super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings

## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)



## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)
- ▶ if there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling with *one* period  $T$ , then there exists another tiling with **two** non collinear periods  $T_1, T_2$

## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)
- ▶ if there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling with *one* period  $T$ , then there exists another tiling with **two** non collinear periods  $T_1, T_2$
- ▶ there exist tile sets  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **aperiodic**

## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)
- ▶ if there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling with *one* period  $T$ , then there exists another tiling with **two** non collinear periods  $T_1, T_2$
- ▶ there exist tile sets  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **aperiodic**
- ▶ there exists a tile set  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **non-computable**

## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)
- ▶ if there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling with *one* period  $T$ , then there exists another tiling with **two** non collinear periods  $T_1, T_2$
- ▶ there exist tile sets  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **aperiodic**
- ▶ there exists a tile set  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **non-computable**
- ▶ given a tile set  $\tau$  we cannot algorithmically decide whether there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$

## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)
- ▶ if there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling with *one* period  $T$ , then there exists another tiling with **two** non collinear periods  $T_1, T_2$
- ▶ there exist tile sets  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **aperiodic**
- ▶ there exists a tile set  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **non-computable**
- ▶ given a tile set  $\tau$  we cannot algorithmically decide whether there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$

## other super-classic facts:

## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)
- ▶ if there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling with *one* period  $T$ , then there exists another tiling with **two** non collinear periods  $T_1, T_2$
- ▶ there exist tile sets  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **aperiodic**
- ▶ there exists a tile set  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **non-computable**
- ▶ given a tile set  $\tau$  we cannot algorithmically decide whether there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$

## other super-classic facts:

- ▶ in any *reasonable* programming language you can write a program  $\pi$  that prints its own text

## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)
- ▶ if there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling with *one* period  $T$ , then there exists another tiling with **two** non collinear periods  $T_1, T_2$
- ▶ there exist tile sets  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **aperiodic**
- ▶ there exists a tile set  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **non-computable**
- ▶ given a tile set  $\tau$  we cannot algorithmically decide whether there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$

## other super-classic facts:

- ▶ in any *reasonable* programming language you can write a program  $\pi$  that prints its own text
- ▶ in any *reasonable* programming language you may assume that your program has an access to its own text

## super-classic facts:

- ▶ SFT  $\sim$  tilings
- ▶ if you can tile arbitrarily large square, than you can tile the infinite plane (**compactness**)
- ▶ if there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling with *one* period  $T$ , then there exists another tiling with **two** non collinear periods  $T_1, T_2$
- ▶ there exist tile sets  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **aperiodic**
- ▶ there exists a tile set  $\tau$  s.t. all  $\tau$ -tilings are **non-computable**
- ▶ given a tile set  $\tau$  we cannot algorithmically decide whether there exists a  $\tau$ -tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$

## other super-classic facts:

- ▶ in any *reasonable* programming language you can write a program  $\pi$  that prints its own text
- ▶ in any *reasonable* programming language you may assume that your program has an access to its own text
- ▶ any effective (**polynomial time**) real-life algorithm can be performed by a Turing machine in **polynomial time**



**This mini-course:**

## **This mini-course:**

Two techniques

## This mini-course:

Two techniques of *embedding a computation in a tiling*

- ▶ from **self-referential programs** to **self-similar** tilings

## This mini-course:

Two techniques of *embedding a computation in a tiling*

- ▶ from **self-referential programs** to **self-similar** tilings  
[goes back to J. von Neumann]

## This mini-course:

Two techniques of *embedding a computation in a tiling*

- ▶ from **self-referential programs** to **self-similar** tilings  
[goes back to J. von Neumann]
- ▶ from arithmetic in **Sturmian numeration system** to tilings

## This mini-course:

Two techniques of *embedding a computation in a tiling*

- ▶ from **self-referential programs** to **self-similar** tilings  
[goes back to J. von Neumann]
- ▶ from arithmetic in **Sturmian numeration system** to tilings  
[J. Kari]

## This mini-course:

Two techniques of *embedding a computation in a tiling*

- ▶ from **self-referential programs** to **self-similar** tilings  
[goes back to J. von Neumann]
- ▶ from arithmetic in **Sturmian numeration system** to tilings  
[J. Kari]

Very standard application:

- ▶ a construction of an aperiodic tile set

Less standard application:

- ▶ **aperiodicity + quasiperiodicity**

## This mini-course:

Two techniques of *embedding a computation in a tiling*

- ▶ from **self-referential programs** to **self-similar** tilings  
[goes back to J. von Neumann]
- ▶ from arithmetic in **Sturmian numeration system** to tilings  
[J. Kari]

Very standard application:

- ▶ a construction of an aperiodic tile set

Less standard application:

- ▶ **aperiodicity + quasiperiodicity (and even minimality)**



## Possible topics of this min-course

## Possible topics of this min-course

Some applications of the self-simulating tilings:

## Possible topics of this min-course

Some applications of the self-simulating tilings:

- ▶ the tiling problem is undecidable [Berger 1966]
- ▶ a tile set with only non computable tilings [Hanf & Myers 1974]
- ▶ a tile set with **highly aperiodic** tilings [?]
- ▶ **robust** (error-correcting) tilings [?]

## Possible topics of this min-course

Some applications of the self-simulating tilings:

- ▶ the tiling problem is undecidable [Berger 1966]
- ▶ a tile set with only non computable tilings [Hanf & Myers 1974]
- ▶ a tile set with **highly aperiodic** tilings [?]
- ▶ **robust** (error-correcting) tilings [?]
- ▶ an effective shift is isomorphic to a subaction of a sofic shift [Hochman 2009, Aubrun & Sablik 2013]
- ▶ a *minimal* effective shift can be simulated by a *minimal* SFT [?]

## Possible topics of this min-course

Some applications of the self-simulating tilings:

- ▶ the tiling problem is undecidable [Berger 1966]
- ▶ a tile set with only non computable tilings [Hanf & Myers 1974]
- ▶ a tile set with **highly aperiodic** tilings [?]
- ▶ **robust** (error-correcting) tilings [?]
- ▶ an effective shift is isomorphic to a subaction of a sofic shift [Hochman 2009, Aubrun & Sablik 2013]
- ▶ a *minimal* effective shift can be simulated by a *minimal* SFT [?]

Another remarkable result:

- ▶ Kari's technique gives non self-similar tilings [T. Monteil]

## Possible topics of this min-course

Some applications of the self-simulating tilings:

- ▶ the tiling problem is undecidable [Berger 1966]
- ▶ a tile set with only non computable tilings [Hanf & Myers 1974]
- ▶ a tile set with **highly aperiodic** tilings [?]
- ▶ **robust** (error-correcting) tilings [?]
- ▶ an effective shift is isomorphic to a subaction of a sofic shift [Hochman 2009, Aubrun & Sablik 2013]
- ▶ a *minimal* effective shift can be simulated by a *minimal* SFT [?]

Another remarkable result:

- ▶ Kari's technique gives non self-similar tilings [T. Monteil]

Come to the lectures!