

Lower-bounds on the growth of power-free languages over large alphabets

Matthieu Rosenfeld*

May 11, 2021

Abstract

We study the growth rate of some power-free languages. For any integer k and real $\beta > 1$, we let $\alpha(k, \beta)$ be the growth rate of the number of β -free words of a given length over the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Shur studied the asymptotic behavior of $\alpha(k, \beta)$ for $\beta \geq 2$ as k goes to infinity. He suggested a conjecture regarding the asymptotic behavior of $\alpha(k, \beta)$ as k goes to infinity when $1 < \beta < 2$. He showed that for $\frac{9}{8} \leq \beta < 2$ the asymptotic upper-bound holds.

We show that the asymptotic lower bound of his conjecture holds. This implies that the conjecture is true for $\frac{9}{8} \leq \beta < 2$.

1 Introduction

A *square* is a word of the form uu where u is a non-empty word. We say that a word is *square-free* (or avoids squares) if none of its factors is a square. For instance, `hotshots` is a square while `minimize` is square-free. In 1906, Thue showed that there are arbitrarily long ternary square-free words [11]. This result is often regarded as the starting point of combinatorics on words and the generalizations of this particular question received a lot of attention.

One such generalization is the notion of fractional power. A word of the form $w = xx \dots xy$ where x is non-empty and y is a prefix of x is a *power of exponent* $\frac{|w|}{|x|}$ and of *period* $|x|$ (we also say that w is a $\left(\frac{|w|}{|x|}\right)$ -power). Any square is a 2-power. For any real $\beta > 1$ and word w , we say that w is β -free (resp. β^+ -free) if it contains no factor that is an α -power with $\alpha \geq \beta$

*CNRS, LIS, Aix Marseille Université, Université de Toulon, Marseille, France
Supported by the ANR project CoCoGro (ANR-16-CE40-0005)

(resp. $\alpha > \beta$). This notion was introduced by Dejean and received a lot of attention. Dejean's conjectured that for any $k > 5$ there exists a $\left(\frac{k}{k-1}^+\right)$ -free word over k letters, but no $\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)$ -free word [4]. After more than 30 years and the work of numerous authors the conjecture became a theorem in 2009 when the remaining cases were solved independently by Currie and Rampersad and by Rao [3, 7].

The *growth* (or *growth rate*) of any language L over an alphabet \mathcal{A} is the quantity $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |L \cap \mathcal{A}^n|^{1/n}$. It is a simple consequence of Fekete's Lemma that this quantity is well defined for any factorial language (i.e., a language that contains all factors of each of its elements). The growth of languages avoiding some kind of forbidden patterns have also been studied a lot. It gives more information regarding how easily one can avoid these patterns. Naturally, the growth rate of languages avoiding fractional repetitions received some attention (see [9] for a survey on this topic). In particular, Shur studied the growth of β -free and β^+ -free languages when the size of the alphabet is large [10]. For any k and real $\beta > 1$, we let $\alpha(k, \beta)$ be the growth rate of the set of β -free words. Shur provided tight asymptotic formulas for $\alpha(k, \beta)$ for all $\beta \geq 2$ as k goes to infinity. However, he left the case $\beta < 2$ open and gave the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 ([10, 9]). *For any fixed integer $n \geq 3$ and arbitrarily large integer k the following holds*

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) = k + 1 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}^+\right) = k + 2 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \quad (2)$$

Let us extend the strict total order $<$ of the reals to numbers of the form x^+ where x is a real in such a way that x^+ is right after x in the ordering for any real x . That is for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

- $x < y^+$ if and only if $x \leq y$,
- and $x^+ < y$ if and only if $x < y$.

With this definition $\alpha(k, x)$ is a decreasing function of x . Moreover, if conjecture 1 holds then for every integers n and k we have

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) - \alpha\left(k, \frac{n+1^+}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}^+\right) - \alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \quad (4)$$

Hence, if the conjecture holds, it provides bounds on the asymptotic behavior of $\alpha(k, \beta)$ tight up to $\frac{1}{k}$ for every $\beta < 2$. In particular, it implies that most of the jump between $\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(k, \frac{n+1}{n}\right)$ occurs between $\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}^+\right)$. This conjecture implies other similar empirical facts that also hold for $\beta > 2$ and illustrate the particular behavior of $\alpha(k, \beta)$ (facts (3) and (4) are respectively called *small variation* and *big jump* in [10]).

Shur showed that for any integer $n \leq 9$ the right-hand sides of equations (1) and (2) are indeed upper-bounds of the left-hand sides in both of these equations. In this article, we show that, for any integer $n > 2$, the right-hand sides are lower bounds in both of these equations. This implies, in particular, that the conjecture holds for any integer $n \leq 9$ which provides tight bounds on the asymptotic behavior of $\alpha(k, \beta)$ for any β such that $\frac{9}{8} \leq \beta < 2$.

The idea of the proof is in fact really simple and uses the idea that was introduced in [8]. To show that the language has exponential growth γ , we show the slightly stronger fact that for any n , the number of words of length $n + 1$ is at least γ times larger than the number of words of length n . The proof is a simple induction and exploits the locality of the problem to obtain a lower bound on the number of words of length $n + 1$ based on the number of shorter words in the language. In this setting the same result could be obtained with the power series method for pattern avoidance [1, 2, 5, 6], but the proof is slightly more complicated.

2 The lower bounds

We show the following result.

Theorem 1. *For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$ and arbitrarily large integer k the following holds*

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) \geq k + 1 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right), \quad (5)$$

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}^+\right) \geq k + 2 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right). \quad (6)$$

2.1 The first lower bound

This subsection is devoted to the proof of equation (5). Let us first show the following stronger result.

Lemma 1. *Let k and n be two integers with $k > n > 1$. For all i , let C_i be the number of $\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ -free words of length i over a k -letter alphabet. If $x > 1$ is a real such that we have $k - (n-1)\frac{x}{x-1} \geq x$, then for any integer i*

$$C_{i+1} \geq xC_i.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on i . By definition, we have $C_1 = k$ and $C_2 = k(k-1)$ and by assumptions, we have $x \leq k - (n-1)\frac{x}{x-1} \leq k-1$ which implies $C_2 \geq xC_1$. Let i be an integer such that the Lemma holds for any integer smaller than i . Let F be the set of words of length $i+1$ that are not $\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ -free but whose prefix of length i is $\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ -free. Then

$$C_{i+1} = kC_i - |F| \tag{7}$$

We now bound the size of F . For every j , let F_j be the set of words from F that contains a repetition of period j and exponent at least $\frac{n}{n-1}$. Then $|F| \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} |F_j|$.

For any word $w \in F_j$, there exist x and y such that that xy is a suffix of w and is a repetition of period x with $|x| = j$ and of exponent at least $\frac{n}{n-1}$ which implies $|y| \geq \frac{j}{n-1}$. Moreover, if we remove the last letter of xy we obtain a $\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ -free word which implies that $|y| - 1 < \frac{j}{n-1}$ and thus $|y| = \lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil$. Since xy is a repetition of period x it also implies that y is uniquely determined by x . Thus, for any word $w \in F_j$ the last $\lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil$ letters are uniquely determined by the prefix of length $i+1 - \lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil$ of w . The prefix of length $i+1 - \lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil$ of any such word belongs to $C_{i+1 - \lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil}$ since it is $\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ -free. We deduce the following bound

$$|F_j| \leq C_{i+1 - \lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we get

$$|F_j| \leq x^{1 - \lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil} C_i.$$

Thus

$$|F| \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} x^{1 - \lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil} C_i = (n-1)C_i \sum_{j \geq 1} x^{1-j} = (n-1)C_i \frac{x}{x-1}.$$

Substituting $|F|$ in equation (7) yields

$$C_{i+1} \geq C_i \left(k - (n-1) \frac{x}{x-1} \right) \geq C_i x$$

as desired. □ □

We can now easily deduce equation (5).

Lemma 2. *For any fixed integer n and arbitrarily large integer k the following holds*

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) \geq k + 1 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, we know that for any integers k and n , and real $x > 1$ such that we have

$$k - (n-1)\frac{x}{x-1} \geq x \tag{8}$$

we also have

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) \geq x.$$

Since equation (8) is a 2nd degree equation it is easy to see that if $k \geq n + 2\sqrt{n+1}$, then $x = \frac{k+2-n+\sqrt{4+(k-n)^2-4n}}{2}$ is the largest solution of equation (8). Thus as long as $k \geq n + 2\sqrt{n+1}$, we have

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) \geq \frac{k+2-n+\sqrt{4+(k-n)^2-4n}}{2}.$$

Let f be the function that maps any real y to

$$f(y) = \frac{1+2y-ny+\sqrt{4y^2+(1-ny)^2-4ny^2}}{2},$$

then

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) \geq k \times f\left(\frac{1}{k}\right).$$

The first terms of the Taylor Series of f at 0 are

$$f(y) = 1 + (1-n)y + (1-n)y^2 + O(y^3)$$

and we easily deduce that for arbitrarily large k

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) \geq k + 1 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)$$

as desired. □ □

2.2 The second lower bound

This subsection is devoted to the proof of equation (6). The proof is almost the same as the proof of Lemma 1. The only difference is that we get $|y| = \lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor + 1$ instead of $|y| = \lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \rceil$ which impacts the computations. We still provide the full proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3. *Let k and n be two integers with $k > n > 1$. For all i , let C_i be the number of $(\frac{n}{n-1}^+)$ -free words of length i over a k -letter alphabet. If $x > 1$ is a real such that we have $k + 1 - (n - 1)\frac{x}{x-1} \geq x$, then for any integer i*

$$C_{i+1} \geq xC_i.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on i . Let i be an integer such that the Lemma holds for any integer smaller than i . Let F be the set of words of length $i + 1$ that are not $(\frac{n}{n-1}^+)$ -free but whose prefix of length i is $(\frac{n}{n-1}^+)$ -free. Then

$$C_{i+1} = kC_i - |F|. \quad (9)$$

We now bound the size of F . For every j , let F_j be the set of words from F that contains a repetition of period j and exponent greater than $\frac{n}{n-1}$. Then clearly $|F| \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} |F_j|$.

By definition, for any word $w \in F_j$, there exist u, x and y such that $|x| = j$, y is a prefix of x , $|y| > \frac{j}{n-1}$ and $w = uxy$. Moreover, if we remove the last letter of xy we obtain a $(\frac{n}{n-1}^+)$ -free word which implies that $|y| - 1 \leq \frac{j}{n-1}$ and thus $|y| = \lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor + 1$. Thus for any word $w \in F_j$ the last $\lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor + 1$ letters are uniquely determined by the prefix of length $i - \lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor$ of w . By definition, the prefix of length $i - \lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor$ of any such word is $(\frac{n}{n-1}^+)$ -free and belongs to $C_{i - \lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor}$. This implies the following bound

$$|F_j| \leq C_{i - \lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we get

$$|F_j| \leq x^{-\lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor} C_i.$$

Thus

$$|F| \leq C_i \sum_{j \geq 1} x^{-\lfloor \frac{j}{n-1} \rfloor} = C_i \left(-1 + (n-1) \sum_{j \geq 0} x^{-j} \right) = C_i \left(\frac{(n-1)x}{x-1} - 1 \right).$$

Substituting $|F|$ in equation (9) yields

$$C_{i+1} \geq C_i \left(k + 1 - \frac{(n-1)x}{x-1} \right) \geq C_i x$$

as desired. \square \square

The condition is once again a quadratic inequality so we easily verify that the condition holds for

$$x = \frac{k + 3 - n + \sqrt{5 + 2k + k^2 - 2(3 + k)n + n^2}}{2}.$$

We can compute the first terms of a well chosen Taylor Series to obtain the following result (we can also simply ask Mathematica or any other formal mathematical software the asymptotic behavior of this function).

Lemma 4. *For any fixed integer n and arbitrarily large integer k the following holds*

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) \geq k + 2 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right).$$

3 Conclusion

Let us insist on the fact that our proof is very simple. The main argument is less than a page long and the more advanced mathematics are geometric series (if we ignore the computation of the Taylor polynomial, which is not needed). However, for $\beta \geq 2$ this approach does not provide lower bounds of $\alpha(k, \beta)$ as tight as the bounds from [10]. We believe that Conjecture 1 holds and we were able to make some progress in that direction.

Let us call the word obtained by erasing the first period of a repetition the *tail* of the repetition and let $\alpha'(k, \beta)$ be the growth of the language of the words that contains no β -power of tail of length at most 2. It is probably the case that the following stronger conjecture holds

Conjecture 2. *For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$ and arbitrarily large integer k the following holds*

$$\alpha'\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) = k + 1 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \quad (10)$$

$$\alpha'\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}^+\right) = k + 2 - n - \frac{n-1}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \quad (11)$$

That is, the coefficient of the term $\frac{1}{k}$ is probably dictated by the repetitions of tail of length at most 2. It might even be true that the coefficient of the term $\frac{1}{k^j}$ is dictated by the repetitions of tail of length at most $j + 1$. This idea was already discussed in more details in [10, Section 5].

Let us finally recall that the result that we showed is in fact slightly stronger since for k large enough, we have

$$\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}\right) \geq \frac{k+2-n+\sqrt{4+(k-n)^2-4n}}{2}.$$

Conjectures 1 and 2 both imply that this bound is tight up to $O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)$, but this bound might be tighter than that. The same might also be true for the bound on $\alpha\left(k, \frac{n}{n-1}^+\right)$. For $n = 2$ these lower bounds can be compared to Theorem 2 of [10] and in this case our lower bounds are only tight up to $O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)$.

References

- [1] J. P. Bell and T. L. Goh. Exponential lower bounds for the number of words of uniform length avoiding a pattern. *Information and Computation*, 205(9):1295–1306, 2007.
- [2] F. Blanchet-Sadri and B. Woodhouse. Strict bounds for pattern avoidance. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 506:17–28, 2013.
- [3] J.D. Currie, N. Rampersad. A proof of Dejean’s conjecture. *Math. Comput.* **80**, 1063–1070 (2011)
- [4] F. Dejean. Sur un theoreme de Thue. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **13**(1), 90–99 (1972)
- [5] P. Ochem. Doubled patterns are 3-avoidable. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 23(1), 2016.
- [6] N. Rampersad. Further applications of a power series method for pattern avoidance. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 18:134, 2011.
- [7] M. Rao. Last cases of Dejean’s conjecture. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **412**, 3010–3018 (2011)
- [8] M. Rosenfeld. Another approach to non-repetitive colorings of graphs of bounded degree. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 27(3), 2020.
- [9] A.M. Shur. Growth Properties of Power-Free Languages. *Computer Science Review* 6(5–6), 187–208 (2012) ,
- [10] A.M. Shur. Growth of Power-Free Languages over Large Alphabets. *Theor. Comp. Sys.* 54, 224–243. (2014)

- [11] A. Thue. Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. *Kra. Vidensk. Selsk. Skrifter. I. Mat.-Nat. Kl.*, Christ.7,1–22 (1906)