

The Algebra of Homomorphism Counts

Martin Grohe

A homomorphism from a graph F to a graph G is a mapping $h: V(F) \rightarrow V(G)$ that preserves edges, that is,

 $vw \in E(F) \implies h(v)h(w) \in E(G).$

A homomorphism from a graph F to a graph G is a mapping $h: V(F) \rightarrow V(G)$ that preserves edges, that is,

 $vw \in E(F) \implies h(v)h(w) \in E(G).$

Example





A homomorphism from a graph F to a graph G is a mapping $h: V(F) \rightarrow V(G)$ that preserves edges, that is,

 $vw \in E(F) \implies h(v)h(w) \in E(G).$





A homomorphism from a graph F to a graph G is a mapping $h: V(F) \rightarrow V(G)$ that preserves edges, that is,

 $vw \in E(F) \implies h(v)h(w) \in E(G).$



For all graphs F, G, we let

hom(F, G) := number of homomorphisms from F to G

For all graphs F, G, we let

hom(F, G) := number of homomorphisms from F to G

Example

hom
$$(4, 2) = 2^3 + 3^3 + 3^3 + 2^3 = 70.$$

For all graphs F, G, we let

hom(F, G) := number of homomorphisms from F to G

Example

hom
$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) = 2^3 + 3^3 + 3^3 + 2^3 = 70.$$

More generally, for the star S_k with k leaves and arbitrary G we have

$$\hom(S_k, G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)^k.$$

For all graphs F, G, we let

hom(F, G) := number of homomorphisms from F to G

Example

hom
$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) = 2^3 + 3^3 + 3^3 + 2^3 = 70.$$

More generally, for the star S_k with k leaves and arbitrary G we have

$$\hom(S_k, G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)^k.$$

In particular,

hom
$$(\bullet, G) = |V(G)|$$
,
hom $(\bullet \bullet, G) = 2|E(G)|$.

General Theme

What information about G do we get from the numbers hom(F, G) for a range of Fs?

Homomorphism Embeddings

For every class \mathscr{F} we can define a vector embedding Hom $_{\mathscr{F}} : \mathscr{A}\!\!{\ell}\!\!{\ell} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathscr{F}}$ by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{F}}(G) \coloneqq (\operatorname{hom}(F,G) \mid F \in \mathscr{F}).$

Homomorphism Embeddings

For every class \mathscr{F} we can define a vector embedding Hom $_{\mathscr{F}} : \mathscr{A}\!\!\mathcal{H}\!\!\mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathscr{F}}$ by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{F}}(G) := (\operatorname{hom}(F,G) \mid F \in \mathscr{F}).$

Example



The embedding Hom $_{\mathscr{F}}$ for $\mathscr{F} = \{\bullet, \bullet \bullet, \diamondsuit\}$

Homomorphism Embeddings

For every class \mathscr{F} we can define a vector embedding Hom $_{\mathscr{F}} : \mathscr{A}\!\!\mathcal{H}\!\!\mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathscr{F}}$ by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{F}}(G) := (\operatorname{hom}(F,G) \mid F \in \mathscr{F}).$

Example



The embedding $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{F}}$ for $\mathscr{F} = \{\bullet, \bullet \bullet, A\}$

Vector embeddings are the basis for machine learning on graphs.

M. Grohe. word2vec, node2vec, graph2vec, X2vec: Towards a Theory of Vector Embeddings of Structured Data. Proc. PODS'20.

Homomorphism Indistinguishability

G, *H* are homomorphism indistinguishable over a class \mathscr{F} of graphs (we write $G \equiv_{\mathscr{F}} H$) if

hom(F, G) = hom(F, H) for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Homomorphism Indistinguishability

G, *H* are homomorphism indistinguishable over a class \mathscr{F} of graphs (we write $G \equiv_{\mathscr{F}} H$) if

hom(F, G) = hom(F, H) for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Observation Let St be the class of all stars. Then

 $G \equiv_{\mathcal{S}t} H \iff G$ and H have the same degree sequence.

Homomorphism Indistinguishability

G, *H* are homomorphism indistinguishable over a class \mathscr{F} of graphs (we write $G \equiv_{\mathscr{F}} H$) if

hom(F, G) = hom(F, H) for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$.

Observation Let St be the class of all stars. Then

 $G \equiv_{\mathcal{S}t} H \iff G$ and H have the same degree sequence.

Theorem (Lovász 1967)

$$G \equiv_{\mathcal{A}\ell\ell} H \iff G \cong H.$$

That is, G and H are homomorphism indistinguishable over the class of all graphs if and only if they are isomorphic.

aut(G) := number of automorphisms of G

I(F, G) := number of injective homomorphisms from F to G

S(F, G) := number of surjective homomorphisms from F to G

aut(G) := number of automorphisms of GI(F, G) := number of injective homomorphisms from F to GS(F, G) := number of surjective homomorphisms from F to G

We view hom, *I*, and *S* as infinite matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{A}\ell\ell \times \mathcal{A}\ell\ell}$.

aut(G) := number of automorphisms of GI(F, G) := number of injective homomorphisms from F to GS(F, G) := number of surjective homomorphisms from F to G

We view hom, *I*, and *S* as infinite matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{M}\ell \times \mathcal{M}\ell}$. Row and column indices are ordered by increasing size.

aut(G) := number of automorphisms of G

I(F, G) := number of injective homomorphisms from F to G

S(F, G) := number of surjective homomorphisms from F to G

We view hom, *I*, and *S* as infinite matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{M}\ell \times \mathcal{M}\ell}$. Row and column indices are ordered by increasing size.

Observation 1

I is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries and S is lower triangular with positive diagonal entries.

aut(G) := number of automorphisms of G

I(F,G) := number of injective homomorphisms from F to G

S(F, G) := number of surjective homomorphisms from F to G

We view hom, *I*, and *S* as infinite matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{M}\ell \times \mathcal{M}\ell}$. Row and column indices are ordered by increasing size.

Observation 1

I is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries and S is lower triangular with positive diagonal entries.

Observation 2 hom(F, H) = $\sum_{G} S(F, G) \cdot \frac{1}{aut(G)} \cdot I(G, H).$

aut(G) := number of automorphisms of G

I(F, G) := number of injective homomorphisms from F to G

S(F, G) := number of surjective homomorphisms from F to G

We view hom, *I*, and *S* as infinite matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{M}\ell \times \mathcal{M}\ell}$. Row and column indices are ordered by increasing size.

Observation 1

I is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries and S is lower triangular with positive diagonal entries.

Observation 2

 $\hom(F, H) = \sum_{G} S(F, G) \cdot \frac{1}{\operatorname{aut}(G)} \cdot I(G, H).$

That is, $hom = S \cdot D \cdot I$ for a diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal entries.

aut(G) := number of automorphisms of G

I(F, G) := number of injective homomorphisms from F to G

S(F, G) := number of surjective homomorphisms from F to G

We view hom, *I*, and *S* as infinite matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{M}\ell \times \mathcal{M}\ell}$. Row and column indices are ordered by increasing size.

Observation 1

I is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries and S is lower triangular with positive diagonal entries.

Observation 2

 $\hom(F, H) = \sum_G S(F, G) \cdot \frac{1}{\operatorname{aut}(G)} \cdot I(G, H).$

That is, $hom = S \cdot D \cdot I$ for a diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal entries.

Hence the columns of hom are linearly independent and thus mutually distinct.

The Structure of Homomorphorphism Indistinguishability Relations

Theorem (Roberson 2022)

1. Homomorphism indistinguishability over graph classes of bounded maximum degree is not isomorphism.

The Structure of Homomorphorphism Indistinguishability Relations

Theorem (Roberson 2022)

- 1. Homomorphism indistinguishability over graph classes of bounded maximum degree is not isomorphism.
- 2. Homomorphism indistinguishability over the class of perfect graphs is not isomorphism.

The Structure of Homomorphorphism Indistinguishability Relations

Theorem (Roberson 2022)

- 1. Homomorphism indistinguishability over graph classes of bounded maximum degree is not isomorphism.
- 2. Homomorphism indistinguishability over the class of perfect graphs is not isomorphism.

Theorem (Roberson 2022)

There uncountably many homomorphism indistinguishability relations.

Complexity

Theorem

 (follows from Dvorák 2010, Dell, G., Rattan 2018, G. 2020, G., Rattan, Seppelt 2022)
For every k, homomorphism indistinguishability over the class of graphs of tree width k, the class of graphs of tree depth k, the class of graphs of path with k is decidable in polynomial time.

Complexity

Theorem

- (follows from Dvorák 2010, Dell, G., Rattan 2018, G. 2020, G., Rattan, Seppelt 2022)
 For every k, homomorphism indistinguishability over the class of graphs of tree width k, the class of graphs of tree depth k, the class of graphs of path with k is decidable in polynomial time.
- 2. (follows from Babai 2016)

Homomorphism indistinguishability over the class of all graphs is decidable in quasipolynomial time.

Complexity (cont'd)

Theorem (Böker, Chen, G., Rattan 2019)

There is a polynomial time decidable class \mathcal{F} of graphs of tree width 2 such that homomorphism indistinguishability over \mathcal{F} is undecidable.

Complexity (cont'd)

Theorem (Böker, Chen, G., Rattan 2019)

There is a polynomial time decidable class \mathcal{F} of graphs of tree width 2 such that homomorphism indistinguishability over \mathcal{F} is undecidable.

Theorem (Atserias, Mančinska, Roberson, Šámal, Severini, Varvitsiotis 2019; Mančinska, Roberson 2020) Homomorphism indistinguishability over the class of planar graphs is undecidable.

Logical and Algebraic Characterisations

Trees and Treelike Structures

Theorem (Dvorák 2010)

Let $k \ge 1$. For all graphs G, H, the following are equivalent.

1. *G*, *H* are homomorphism indistinguishable over the class $\mathcal{T}w_k$ of graphs of tree width at most k:

$$G \equiv_{\mathcal{T}w_k} H.$$

- 2. The k-dimensional Weisfeiler-Leman algorithm does not distinguish G, H.
- G and H are C_{k+1}-equivalent, where C_{k+1} is the (k + 1)-variable fragment of first-order logic with counting quantifiers ∃^{≥n}.

Tree Depth

Theorem (G. 2020)

Let $q \ge 1$. For all graphs G, H, the following are equivalent.

1. *G*, *H* are homomorphism indistinguishable over the class $\mathcal{T}d_q$ of graphs of tree depth at most *q*:

$$G \equiv_{\mathcal{Td}_q} H.$$

 G and H are C^(q)-equivalent, where C^(q) is the quantifier-rank-q fragment of first-order logic with counting quantifiers ∃^{≥n}.

Fractional Isomorphism

Notation

F, *G*, *H* will always be graphs with vertex sets *U* := *V*(*F*), *V* := *V*(*G*), *W* := *V*(*H*);

Fractional Isomorphism

Notation

- *F*, *G*, *H* will always be graphs with vertex sets *U* := *V*(*F*), *V* := *V*(*G*), *W* := *V*(*H*);
- ► $A \in \{0, 1\}^{V \times V}$, $B \in \{0, 1\}^{W \times W}$ will be the adjacency matrices of G, H.

Fractional Isomorphism

Notation

- ► *F*, *G*, *H* will always be graphs with vertex sets *U* := *V*(*F*), *V* := *V*(*G*), *W* := *V*(*H*);
- ► $A \in \{0, 1\}^{V \times V}$, $B \in \{0, 1\}^{W \times W}$ will be the adjacency matrices of G, H.

Observation

G, H are isomorphic if and only if there is a permutation matrix X such that

$$AX = XB.$$
Fractional Isomorphism

Notation

- ► F, G, H will always be graphs with vertex sets U := V(F), V := V(G), W := V(H);
- ► $A \in \{0, 1\}^{V \times V}$, $B \in \{0, 1\}^{W \times W}$ will be the adjacency matrices of G, H.

Observation

G, H are isomorphic if and only if there is a permutation matrix X such that

$$AX = XB.$$

G, *H* are fractionally isomorphic if there is a doubly-stochastic matrix X such that AX = XB.

Fractional Isomorphism

Notation

- ► F, G, H will always be graphs with vertex sets U := V(F), V := V(G), W := V(H);
- ► $A \in \{0, 1\}^{V \times V}$, $B \in \{0, 1\}^{W \times W}$ will be the adjacency matrices of G, H.

Observation

G, H are isomorphic if and only if there is a permutation matrix X such that

$$AX = XB.$$

G, *H* are fractionally isomorphic if there is a doubly-stochastic matrix X such that AX = XB.

Theorem (Tinhofer 1990)

G, *H* are fractionally isomorphic if and only if the 1-dimensional WL algorithms does not distinguish G, *H*.

Algebraic Characterisation of Tree and Path Homomorphism Counts

 ${\mathcal T}$ and ${\mathcal P}$ denote the classes of trees and paths, respectively. Corollary

 $G \equiv_{\mathcal{T}} H \iff G$ and H are fractionally isomorphic.

Algebraic Characterisation of Tree and Path Homomorphism Counts

 ${\mathcal T}$ and ${\mathcal P}$ denote the classes of trees and paths, respectively. Corollary

 $G \equiv_{\mathcal{T}} H \iff G$ and H are fractionally isomorphic.

A matrix X is pseudo-stochastic if its row- and column sums are 1:

$$X\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}^\top X = \mathbf{1}.$$

Algebraic Characterisation of Tree and Path Homomorphism Counts

 ${\mathcal T}$ and ${\mathcal P}$ denote the classes of trees and paths, respectively. Corollary

 $G \equiv_{\mathcal{T}} H \iff G$ and H are fractionally isomorphic.

A matrix X is pseudo-stochastic if its row- and column sums are 1:

$$X\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}^\top X = \mathbf{1}.$$

Theorem (Dell, G. Rattan 2018) $G \equiv_{\mathscr{P}} H \iff there is a pseudo-stochastic X such$ that AX = XB.

Equational Characterisation

ObservationApseudo-stochasticdoubly-stochasticpermutation

matrix
$$X = (X_{vw})_{\substack{v \in V \\ w \in W}}$$
 such that

$$AX = XB$$

Equational Characterisation



$$L(G, H) \qquad \sum_{w \in W} X_{vw} = \sum_{v \in V} X_{vw} = 1$$
$$\sum_{v' \in V} A_{vv'} X_{v'w} = \sum_{w' \in W} X_{vw'} B_{w'w} \quad \text{for all } v \in V, w \in W.$$

Higher Dimensions

For $k \ge 2$, we define a a system of equations in variable X_{π} for $\pi \in \binom{V \times W}{\langle k}$. Essentially, this is the Sherali-Adams lift of L(G, H).

$$L^{k}(G, H) \qquad X_{\emptyset} = 1,$$

$$\sum_{w \in W} X_{\pi \cup vw} = \sum_{v \in V} X_{\pi \cup vw} = X_{\pi} \quad \text{for } \pi \in \binom{V \times W}{< k}, v \in V, w \in W$$

$$X_{\pi} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } \pi \text{ that are not partial isomorphisms.}$$

Higher Dimensions

For $k \ge 2$, we define a a system of equations in variable X_{π} for $\pi \in \binom{V \times W}{\langle k}$. Essentially, this is the Sherali-Adams lift of L(G, H).

$$L^{k}(G, H) \qquad X_{\emptyset} = 1,$$

$$\sum_{w \in W} X_{\pi \cup vw} = \sum_{v \in V} X_{\pi \cup vw} = X_{\pi} \quad \text{for } \pi \in \binom{V \times W}{

$$X_{\pi} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } \pi \text{ that are not partial isomorphisms.}$$$$

Theorem (Atserias, Maneva 2013, G., Otto 2015)

For all $k \ge 1$, the following are equivalent.

- 1. *G* and *H* are not distinguished by the *k*-dimensional WL algorithm.
- 2. $L^{k+1}(G, H)$ has a non-negative real solution.

Path Width

Corollary

$G \equiv_{\mathcal{F}w_k} H \iff L^{k+1}(G, H)$ has a non-negative real solution.

Path Width

Corollary

$$G \equiv_{\mathcal{T}w_k} H \iff L^{k+1}(G, H)$$
 has a non-negative real solution.

 $\mathcal{P}w_k$ is the class of graphs of path width at most k.

Theorem (Dell, G., Rattan 2018, G., Rattan, Seppelt 2022) $G \equiv_{\mathscr{P}w_k} H \iff L^{k+1}(G, H)$ has a real solution.

Path Width

Corollary

$$G \equiv_{\mathcal{T}w_k} H \iff L^{k+1}(G, H)$$
 has a non-negative real solution.

 $\mathcal{P}w_k$ is the class of graphs of path width at most k.

Theorem (Dell, G., Rattan 2018, G., Rattan, Seppelt 2022) $G \equiv_{\mathscr{P}w_k} H \iff L^{k+1}(G, H)$ has a real solution.

Remark

There is also a logical characterisation of $\equiv_{\mathscr{P}w_k}$ (Montacute and Shah 2021).

Tree Depth

For $q \ge 2$, we define a a system of equations in variables X_p for $p \in \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{q} (V \times W)^{\ell}$.

$$D^{q}(G, H) \qquad X_{()} = 1,$$

$$\sum_{w \in W} X_{pvw} = \sum_{v \in V} X_{pvw} = X_{p} \quad \text{for } p \in \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{q-1} (V \times W)^{\ell},$$

$$v \in V, w \in W$$

$$X_{p} = 0 \quad \text{for } p \text{ that are not partial pseudo isomorphisms.}$$

Here $\mathbf{p} = (v_1 w_1, \dots, v_{\ell} w_{\ell})$ is a partial pseudo isomorphism if $v_i = v_{i+1} \iff w_i = w_{i+1}$ and $v_i v_j \in E(G) \iff w_i w_j \in E(H)$ for all $i < j \le \ell$.

Tree Depth

For $q \ge 2$, we define a a system of equations in variables X_p for $p \in \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{q} (V \times W)^{\ell}$.

$$D^{q}(G, H) \qquad X_{()} = 1,$$

$$\sum_{w \in W} X_{pvw} = \sum_{v \in V} X_{pvw} = X_{p} \quad \text{for } p \in \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{q-1} (V \times W)^{\ell},$$

$$v \in V, w \in W$$

$$X_{p} = 0 \quad \text{for } p \text{ that are not partial pseudo isomorphisms.}$$

Here $\mathbf{p} = (v_1 w_1, \dots, v_{\ell} w_{\ell})$ is a partial pseudo isomorphism if $v_i = v_{i+1} \iff w_i = w_{i+1}$ and $v_i v_j \in E(G) \iff w_i w_j \in E(H)$ for all $i < j \le \ell$.

Theorem (G., Rattan, Seppelt 2022)

 $G \equiv_{\mathcal{Fd}_q} H \iff D^q(G, H)$ has a real solution.

Planar Graphs

Quantum isomorphism is an equivalence relation on graphs based on a similar system of equations, but now we are looking for solutions in an arbitrary C^* -algebra.

 $\mathscr{P}\!\ell$ is the class of planar graphs.

Theorem (Mančinska and Roberson 2020)

 $G \equiv_{\mathscr{P}\ell} H \iff G$ and H are quantum isomorphic.

The Algebra of Graph <u>Homom</u>orphisms

Labelled Graphs

A *k*-labelled graph is a pair

$$\boldsymbol{F} = (F; \boldsymbol{u}) = (F; u_1, \ldots, u_k)$$

where F is a graph (with V(F) = U) and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_k) \in U^k$.

Labelled Graphs

A *k*-labelled graph is a pair

$$\boldsymbol{F} = (F; \boldsymbol{u}) = (F; u_1, \ldots, u_k)$$

where F is a graph (with V(F) = U) and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_k) \in U^k$.

Examples

•
$$\stackrel{1}{\bullet} = ((\{u\}, \emptyset); u)$$
 is the simplest 1-labelled graph;

Labelled Graphs

A *k*-labelled graph is a pair

$$\boldsymbol{F} = (F; \boldsymbol{u}) = (F; u_1, \ldots, u_k)$$

where F is a graph (with V(F) = U) and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_k) \in U^k$.

Examples

Let \mathscr{F} be a class of rooted graphs. For every $k \ge 0$, we let $\mathscr{F}^{(k)}$ be the class of k-labeled graphs in \mathscr{F} .

Let \mathscr{F} be a class of rooted graphs. For every $k \ge 0$, we let $\mathscr{F}^{(k)}$ be the class of k-labeled graphs in \mathscr{F} .

We have natural operations linking these classes.

Example (Disjoint Union)

For k-labelled $\mathbf{F} = (F, \mathbf{u})$ and ℓ -labelled $\mathbf{F}' = (F', \mathbf{u})$, we obtain $(k + \ell)$ -labelled $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}' = (F \uplus F'; \mathbf{uu}')$.

Let \mathscr{F} be a class of rooted graphs. For every $k \ge 0$, we let $\mathscr{F}^{(k)}$ be the class of k-labeled graphs in \mathscr{F} .

We have natural operations linking these classes.

Example (Disjoint Union)

For k-labelled $\mathbf{F} = (F, \mathbf{u})$ and ℓ -labelled $\mathbf{F}' = (F', \mathbf{u})$, we obtain $(k + \ell)$ -labelled $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}' = (F \uplus F'; \mathbf{uu}')$.

We usually assume ${\boldsymbol{\mathscr{F}}}$ to be closed under disjoint union. Then

$$\otimes: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{(k)} \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{(\ell)}
ightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{(k+\ell)}$$

for all k, ℓ .

Let \mathscr{F} be a class of rooted graphs. For every $k \ge 0$, we let $\mathscr{F}^{(k)}$ be the class of k-labeled graphs in \mathscr{F} .

We have natural operations linking these classes.

Example (Disjoint Union)

For k-labelled $\mathbf{F} = (F, \mathbf{u})$ and ℓ -labelled $\mathbf{F}' = (F', \mathbf{u})$, we obtain $(k + \ell)$ -labelled $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}' = (F \uplus F'; \mathbf{uu}')$.

We usually assume ${\boldsymbol{\mathscr{F}}}$ to be closed under disjoint union. Then

$$\otimes: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{(k)} \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{(\ell)} \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{(k+\ell)}$$

for all k, ℓ .

Other basic operations are permuting labels, merging labels, dropping labels. We can combine these to obtain operations like series composition and parallel composition.

Linear Spaces

It will be convenient to consider formal linear combinations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbf{F}_i$$

of *k*-labelled graphs F_i with complex coefficients a_i (sometimes called quantum graphs).

Linear Spaces

It will be convenient to consider formal linear combinations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbf{F}_i$$

of k-labelled graphs F_i with complex coefficients a_i (sometimes called quantum graphs).

This turns the spaces $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ into complex vector spaces

 $\mathbb{C} \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$.

Linear Spaces

It will be convenient to consider formal linear combinations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbf{F}_i$$

of k-labelled graphs F_i with complex coefficients a_i (sometimes called quantum graphs).

This turns the spaces $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ into complex vector spaces

 $\mathbb{C} \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$.

We can linearly extend operations like disjoint union.

For every *G*, we let $\hom(\mathbf{F}, G) \in \mathbb{C}^{V^k}$ to be the tensor (or vector or mapping $V^k \to \mathbb{C}$) defined by

hom(F,G)(v) := number of homomorphisms h from F to Gwith h(u) = v

for $\boldsymbol{v} \in V^k$.

For every *G*, we let $\hom(\mathbf{F}, G) \in \mathbb{C}^{V^k}$ to be the tensor (or vector or mapping $V^k \to \mathbb{C}$) defined by

hom(F,G)(v) := number of homomorphisms h from F to Gwith h(u) = v

for $\mathbf{v} \in V^k$.

To simplify the notation, we denote $hom(\mathbf{F}, G)$ by \mathbf{F}_{G} .

For every *G*, we let $\hom(\mathbf{F}, G) \in \mathbb{C}^{V^k}$ to be the tensor (or vector or mapping $V^k \to \mathbb{C}$) defined by

hom(F,G)(v) := number of homomorphisms h from F to Gwith h(u) = v

for $\boldsymbol{v} \in V^k$.

To simplify the notation, we denote $hom(\mathbf{F}, G)$ by \mathbf{F}_{G} .

Examples

• $(\stackrel{1}{\bullet} \stackrel{2}{\bullet})_G \in \mathbb{C}^{V \times V}$ is the adjacency matrix of G.

For every *G*, we let $\hom(\mathbf{F}, G) \in \mathbb{C}^{V^k}$ to be the tensor (or vector or mapping $V^k \to \mathbb{C}$) defined by

hom(F,G)(v) := number of homomorphisms h from F to Gwith h(u) = v

for $\boldsymbol{v} \in V^k$.

To simplify the notation, we denote $hom(\mathbf{F}, G)$ by \mathbf{F}_{G} .

Examples

(^{1 2})_G ∈ C^{V×V} is the adjacency matrix of G.
 (¹→)_G ∈ C^V is the degree vector of G.

For every *G*, we let $\hom(\mathbf{F}, G) \in \mathbb{C}^{V^k}$ to be the tensor (or vector or mapping $V^k \to \mathbb{C}$) defined by

hom(F,G)(v) := number of homomorphisms h from F to Gwith h(u) = v

for $\boldsymbol{v} \in V^k$.

To simplify the notation, we denote $hom(\mathbf{F}, G)$ by \mathbf{F}_{G} .

Examples

- $(\stackrel{1}{\bullet} \stackrel{2}{\bullet})_G \in \mathbb{C}^{V \times V}$ is the adjacency matrix of G.
- $(\stackrel{1}{\bullet\bullet})_G \in \mathbb{C}^V$ is the degree vector of G.
- ▶ $(\bullet \bullet)_G \in \mathbb{C}$ is the number of edges of *G*.

Homomorphism Tensors as Representations

For each k and every graph G, we have

$$(\cdot)_G : \mathbb{C} \mathscr{F}^{(k)} \to \mathbb{C}^{V^k}.$$

Homomorphism Tensors as Representations

For each k and every graph G, we have

$$(\cdot)_{\mathcal{G}}:\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}^{(k)}\to\mathbb{C}^{V^{k}}.$$

The operations on the spaces $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ correspond to natural algebraic operations on the spaces \mathbb{C}^{V^k} :

Disjoint union corresponds to tensor product.

Homomorphism Tensors as Representations

For each k and every graph G, we have

$$(\cdot)_G: \mathbb{C} \mathscr{F}^{(k)} \to \mathbb{C}^{V^k}.$$

The operations on the spaces $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ correspond to natural algebraic operations on the spaces \mathbb{C}^{V^k} :

- Disjoint union corresponds to tensor product.
- Dropping labels corresponds to aggregation of a coordinate: for all k-labelled (F; u₁,..., u_k) and G we have

$$((F; u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}))_G (v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1})$$

= $\sum_{v \in V} ((F; u_1, \ldots, u_k))_G (v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v).$

Schur Product and Matrix Product

For k-labelled $\mathbf{F} = (F; u_1, \dots, u_k), \mathbf{F}' = (F', u'_1, \dots, u'_k)$, let $\mathbf{F} \odot \mathbf{F}'$ be the graph obtained from $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}'$ by identifying u_i with u'_i , for all i.

Schur Product and Matrix Product

For k-labelled $\mathbf{F} = (F; u_1, \dots, u_k), \mathbf{F}' = (F', u'_1, \dots, u'_k)$, let $\mathbf{F} \odot \mathbf{F}'$ be the graph obtained from $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}'$ by identifying u_i with u'_i , for all *i*.

Then $(F \odot F')_G$ is the Schur product (pointwise product) of $(F)_G$ and $(F')_G$:

$$(\boldsymbol{F} \odot \boldsymbol{F}')_G(\boldsymbol{v}) = (\boldsymbol{F})_G(\boldsymbol{v}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{F}')_G(\boldsymbol{v}).$$
Schur Product and Matrix Product

For k-labelled $\mathbf{F} = (F; u_1, \dots, u_k), \mathbf{F}' = (F', u'_1, \dots, u'_k)$, let $\mathbf{F} \odot \mathbf{F}'$ be the graph obtained from $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}'$ by identifying u_i with u'_i , for all *i*.

Then $(F \odot F')_G$ is the Schur product (pointwise product) of $(F)_G$ and $(F')_G$:

$$(\boldsymbol{F} \odot \boldsymbol{F}')_G(\boldsymbol{v}) = (\boldsymbol{F})_G(\boldsymbol{v}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{F}')_G(\boldsymbol{v}).$$

For 2-labelled $\mathbf{F} = (F; u_1, u_2)$, $\mathbf{F}' = (F', u'_1, u'_2)$, let \mathbf{FF}' be the graph obtained from $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}'$ by identifying u_2 with u'_1 and dropping the second label (series composition).

Schur Product and Matrix Product

For k-labelled $\mathbf{F} = (F; u_1, \dots, u_k), \mathbf{F}' = (F', u'_1, \dots, u'_k)$, let $\mathbf{F} \odot \mathbf{F}'$ be the graph obtained from $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{F}'$ by identifying u_i with u'_i , for all *i*.

Then $(\mathbf{F} \odot \mathbf{F}')_G$ is the Schur product (pointwise product) of $(\mathbf{F})_G$ and $(\mathbf{F}')_G$:

$$(\boldsymbol{F} \odot \boldsymbol{F}')_G(\boldsymbol{v}) = (\boldsymbol{F})_G(\boldsymbol{v}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{F}')_G(\boldsymbol{v}).$$

For 2-labelled F = (F; u₁, u₂), F' = (F', u'₁, u'₂), let FF' be the graph obtained from F ⊗ F' by identifying u₂ with u'₁ and dropping the second label (series composition). Then (FF')_G is the matrix product of (F)_G and (F')_G:

$$(\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{F}')_G(v_1,v_2) = \sum_{v \in V} (\boldsymbol{F})_G(v_1,v) \cdot (\boldsymbol{F}')_G(v,v_2).$$

We can easily generalise this to 2k-labelled graphs.

► To understand homomorphism indistinguishability over F we need to understand finite dimensional representations of the spaces CF^(k) for a family F of labelled graphs over F.

- ► To understand homomorphism indistinguishability over F we need to understand finite dimensional representations of the spaces CF^(k) for a family F of labelled graphs over F.
- Representation theory tells us a lot about finite dimensional representations of algebras.

Application: Graphs of Bounded Path Width

Theorem (Dell, G., Rattan 2018, G., Rattan, Seppelt 2022) Graph G, H are homomorphism indistinguishable over the class of graphs of path width at most k if only if the system $L^{k+1}(G, H)$ of linear equations has a solution.

We consider 2(k + 1)-labelled graphs of pathwidth k with (k + 1) labels on the first and last bag of a path decomposition of width k. Let *P* be the set of all such graphs and C*P* the corresponding vector space.

- We consider 2(k + 1)-labelled graphs of pathwidth k with (k + 1) labels on the first and last bag of a path decomposition of width k. Let *P* be the set of all such graphs and C*P* the corresponding vector space.
- ► (k + 1)-dimensional series composition (that is, concatenation of the path decompositions) gives us a binary product on this space, resulting in an algebra P.



- We consider 2(k + 1)-labelled graphs of pathwidth k with (k + 1) labels on the first and last bag of a path decomposition of width k. Let *P* be the set of all such graphs and C*P* the corresponding vector space.
- ► (k + 1)-dimensional series composition (that is, concatenation of the path decompositions) gives us a binary product on this space, resulting in an algebra P.



This algebra is generated by a finite set of basal graphs (single-bag path decompositions).

- We consider 2(k + 1)-labelled graphs of pathwidth k with (k + 1) labels on the first and last bag of a path decomposition of width k. Let *P* be the set of all such graphs and C*P* the corresponding vector space.
- ► (k + 1)-dimensional series composition (that is, concatenation of the path decompositions) gives us a binary product on this space, resulting in an algebra P.



- This algebra is generated by a finite set of basal graphs (single-bag path decompositions).
- ► Reverting the paths gives us an involution operation, which turns P into a *-algebra.

► In the representations (·)_G, series composition corresponds to matrix multiplication and reverting the paths to matrix transposition.

▶ In the representations $(\cdot)_G$, series composition corresponds to matrix multiplication and reverting the paths to matrix transposition.

Thus the image of the pathwidth-k graph algebra \mathbb{P} under $(\cdot)_G$ is a finite-dimensional *-algebra.

It is known that finite-dimensional *-algebras are semi-simple and that two finite-dimensional semi-simple representations of an algebra are equivalent iff they have the same characters (Frobenius, Schur).

▶ In the representations $(\cdot)_G$, series composition corresponds to matrix multiplication and reverting the paths to matrix transposition.

- It is known that finite-dimensional *-algebras are semi-simple and that two finite-dimensional semi-simple representations of an algebra are equivalent iff they have the same characters (Frobenius, Schur).
- We relate equivalence of characters to homomorphism indistinguishability.

▶ In the representations $(\cdot)_G$, series composition corresponds to matrix multiplication and reverting the paths to matrix transposition.

- It is known that finite-dimensional *-algebras are semi-simple and that two finite-dimensional semi-simple representations of an algebra are equivalent iff they have the same characters (Frobenius, Schur).
- We relate equivalence of characters to homomorphism indistinguishability.
- Equivalence of representations can be described by a finite system of linear equations involving the finitely many generators of our algebra.

▶ In the representations $(\cdot)_G$, series composition corresponds to matrix multiplication and reverting the paths to matrix transposition.

- It is known that finite-dimensional *-algebras are semi-simple and that two finite-dimensional semi-simple representations of an algebra are equivalent iff they have the same characters (Frobenius, Schur).
- We relate equivalence of characters to homomorphism indistinguishability.
- Equivalence of representations can be described by a finite system of linear equations involving the finitely many generators of our algebra.
- We massage this system to turn it into $L^{k+1}(G, H)$.

Concluding Remarks

Where We Stand

Homomorphism counts extract interesting information from graphs that characterise many natural equivalence relations on graphs and pull together combinatorics, logic, and algebra.

Where We Stand

- Homomorphism counts extract interesting information from graphs that characterise many natural equivalence relations on graphs and pull together combinatorics, logic, and algebra.
- When generalised to rooted graphs, they induce a rich algebraic structure.

Where We Stand

- Homomorphism counts extract interesting information from graphs that characterise many natural equivalence relations on graphs and pull together combinatorics, logic, and algebra.
- When generalised to rooted graphs, they induce a rich algebraic structure.
- Homomorphism counts are also useful and expressive graph features in practice (Master's thesis of Pascal Kühner).

Homomorphism Indistinguishability and Graph Minors—Roberson's Conjecture

Conjecture (Roberson 2022)

Let \mathscr{F} and \mathscr{F}' be graph classes closed under taking minors and under taking disjoint unions. Then homomorphism indistinguishability over \mathscr{F} and \mathscr{F}' coincide if and only if the classes are equal, that is:

$$\equiv_{\mathscr{F}} = \equiv_{\mathscr{F}'} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}'.$$

The Geometry of Homomorphism Indistinguishability

Homomorphism embeddings also induce metrics on graphs.

The Geometry of Homomorphism Indistinguishability

- ► Homomorphism embeddings also induce metrics on graphs.
- Lovász's theory of Graph Limits is based on the metric induced by Hom_{*Mll*}.

The Geometry of Homomorphism Indistinguishability

- ► Homomorphism embeddings also induce metrics on graphs.
- Lovász's theory of Graph Limits is based on the metric induced by Hom_{*Mll*}.
- It is our goal to extend this theory of Hom_F for other classes F.

The Geometry of Homomorphism Indistinguishability

- ► Homomorphism embeddings also induce metrics on graphs.
- Lovász's theory of Graph Limits is based on the metric induced by Hom_{*Mll*}.
- It is our goal to extend this theory of Hom_F for other classes F.
- Jan Böker obtained results for trees and graphs of bounded tree width.