A proof of the Erdős-Sands-Sauer-Woodrow conjecture

Nicolas Bousquet^a, William Lochet^{b,c}, and Stéphan Thomassé^b

^aLaboratoire G-SCOP 46, avenue Félix Viallet 38031 Grenoble Cedex 1 - France

^bLaboratoire d'Informatique du Parallélisme UMR 5668 ENS Lyon - CNRS - UCBL - INRIA Université de Lyon, France

^c Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, I3S, UMR 7271, Sophia Antipolis, France

Abstract

A very nice result of Bárány and Lehel asserts that every finite subset X or \mathbb{R}^d can be covered by f(d) X-boxes (i.e. each box has two antipodal points in X). As shown by Gyárfás and Pálvőlgyi this result would follow from the following conjecture : If a tournament admits a partition of its arc set into k quasi orders, then its domination number is bounded in terms of k. This question is in turn implied by the Erdős-Sands-Sauer-Woodrow conjecture : If the arcs of a tournament T are colored with k colors, there is a set X of at most g(k) vertices such that for every vertex v of T, there is a monochromatic path from X to v. We give a short proof of this statement. We moreover show that the general Sands-Sauer-Woodrow conjecture (which as a special case implies the stable marriage theorem) is valid for directed graphs with bounded stability number. This conjecture remains however open.

1 Definition

We interpret a quasi order on a set S as a digraph, where the vertices are the elements of S and the arcs are the couples (x, y) such that $x \leq y$. In a digraph D = (V, A), we define for every $x \in V$ the closed in-neighbourhood $N^-(x)$ (resp the closed out-neighbourhood $N^+(x)$) as $\{x\}$ union the set of vertices y such that $(y, x) \in A$ (resp $(x, y) \in A$). By extension, $N^+(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} N^+(x)$ when S is a subset of vertices.

A set of vertices S such that $N^+(S) = V$ is said to be *dominating*. The *domination number* $\gamma(D)$ of a digraph D is the size of the smallest dominating set. A complete multidigraph is a directed graph in which multiple arcs and circuits of length two are allowed and such that there always exists an arc between two distinct vertices (when the digraph is simple, we speak of a tournament). Let T be a complete multidigraph whose arcs are the union of k quasi orders P_1, \ldots, P_k , we define $N_i^-(x)$ (resp $N_i^+(x)$) as the closed in-neighbourhood of the digraph induced by P_i . For a function $f: T \to \mathbb{R}$, we note $f(T) = \sum_{x \in T} f(x)$. We say that $f: S \to [0, 1]$ is a probability distribution on

S if f(S) = 1. When $T \subseteq S$ and f is a probability distribution on T we often implicitely use f as a probability distribution on S by setting it to 0 on $S \setminus T$.

In [15] Sands, Sauer and Woodrow asked the following question, also due to Erdős:

Problem 1. For each n, is there a (least) positive integer f(n) so that every finite tournament whose edges are coloured with n colours contains a set S of f(n) vertices with the property that for every vertex u not in S there is a monochromatic path from u to a vertex of S?

The transitive closure of each color class is a quasi-order (transitive digraph), hence the Erdős-Sands-Sauer-Woodrow can be restated as:

Conjecture 2. For every k, there exists an integer f(k) such that if T is a complete multidigraph whose arcs are the union of k quasi-orders, then $\gamma(T) \leq f(k)$.

In [10], Gyárfás and Pálvölgyi asked a weaker conjecture where T is a tournament instead of a complete multidigraph, which means that the (quasi) orders are disjoint. This renewed attention on the Erdős-Sands-Sauer-Woodrow problem since they showed that it directly implies that every finite subset X or \mathbb{R}^d can be covered by f(d) X-boxes (see [3], [4], [13]).

Despite some attention (see [8], [9], [11], [12], [14] for example) the case k = 3 was still open in both conjectures. The main goal of this paper is to prove Conjecture 2. In their seminal paper, Sands, Sauer and Woodrow also proposed a more general open problem:

Conjecture 3. For every k, there exists an integer f(k) such that if D is a multidigraph whose arcs are the union of k quasi-orders, then D has a dominating set which is the union of f(k) stables sets.

This statement (investigated in [1], [2], [6], [7]) is still open. We provide a proof of it when the maximal stable set has some fixed value.

2 Proof

The next result is a direct application of Farkas' lemma (or follows from the existence of mixed strategies in matrix games).

Lemma 4. Let T be a complete multidigraph whose arc set is the union of k quasi-orders. There exists a probability distribution w on V(T) and a partition of V(T) into sets T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k such that for every i and $x \in T_i$, $w(N_i^-(x)) \ge 1/2k$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 in [5] there exists a weight function $w: V(T) \to [0, 1]$ such that $w(N^-(x)) \ge 1/2$ for all $x \in T$. For every i in [k], let T'_i be the subset of vertices such that $w(N_i^-(x)) \ge 1/2k$. The sets T'_i cover the vertices, so we can extract a partition with the required properties. \Box

Let P be a quasi-order on S. We say that $A \subseteq P$ is ϵ -dense in P if there exists a probability distribution w of P such that $w(N^{-}(x)) \geq \epsilon$ for every element x of A.

Lemma 5. Let ϵ be a real in [0,1]. There exists an integer $g(\epsilon)$ such that for every quasi-order P on a set A and two subsets $C \subset B$ of A such that B is ϵ -dense in P and C is ϵ -dense in B, there exists a set of $g(\epsilon)$ elements in A dominating C.

Proof. Let $w_A : A \to [0,1]$ and $w_B : B \to [0,1]$ be the probability distributions such that $w_A(N^-(x)) \ge \epsilon$ for every $x \in B$ and $w_B(N^-(x)) \ge \epsilon$ for every $x \in C$.

Let $g(\epsilon) = \lfloor \frac{\ln(\epsilon)}{\ln(1-\epsilon)} \rfloor + 1$ and pick independently at random according to the distribution w_A a (multi)set S of $g(\epsilon)$ elements of A. For every vertex $x \in B$, $P(x \in N^+(S)) \ge 1 - (1-\epsilon)^{g(\epsilon)} > 1 - \epsilon$. Thus, by linearity of w_B and the expectation, $\mathbb{E}(w_B(N^+(S))) > 1 - \epsilon$. Therefore, there exists a choice of S such that $w_B(N^+(S)) > 1 - \epsilon$. Since $w_B(N^-(y)) \ge \epsilon$ for every $y \in C$, the set $N^-(y)$ intersects $N^+(S)$. In particular, by transitivity, S dominates y.

We are now ready to prove our main result:

Theorem 6. For every k, if T is a complete multidigraph whose arcs are the union of k quasiorders, then $\gamma(T) = O(\ln(2k) \cdot k^{k+2})$.

Proof. Consider $P_1 = T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k$ together with w the partition given by Lemma 4 applied to T. Each of the T_i is a complete multidigraph which is the union of k quasi orders, this means we can apply Lemma 4 and obtain $T_{i,1}, T_{i,2}, \ldots, T_{i,k}$ together with a probability distribution w_i on T_i such that $w_i(N_j^-(x)) \ge 1/2k$ for every $x \in T_{i,j}$. By repeating this process k times, we obtain a sequence of k + 1 partitions P_1, \ldots, P_{k+1} with $P_i = \bigcup_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_i \le k} T_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_i}$ such that for every $l \le k + 1$ and each j_1, \ldots, j_l in $[k]^l, T_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_l}$ is a subset of $T_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{l-1}}$ and the probability distribution $w_{j_1, \ldots, j_{l-1}}$ is such that $w_{1, \ldots, j_{l-1}}(N_{j_1}^-(x)) \ge 1/2k$ for every x in T_{j_1, \ldots, j_l} .

Fix j_1, \ldots, j_{k+1} , in $[k]^{k+1}$, by the pigeonhole principle there exists two indices, i < l such that $j_i = j_l$, then by applying lemma 5 where $T_{j_1,\ldots,j_{l-1}}, T_{j_1,\ldots,j_l}$ and T_{j_1,\ldots,j_l} play the roles of, respectively, A, B and C there exists a set of size g(1/2k) that dominates T_{j_1,\ldots,j_l} and thus $T_{j_1,\ldots,j_{k+1}}$. This means that $\gamma(T) \leq k^{k+1} \cdot g(1/2k)$. Moreover since $g(1/2k) \leq ln(2k) * (2k - 1/2 + o(1))$, we have $\gamma(T) = O(ln(2k) \cdot k^{k+2})$.

The main ingredient in our proof is that the fractional domination of complete multidigraphs is bounded. Since this also holds when the stability number is bounded (in fact the fractional domination of vertices by stable sets is at most 2), the general Sands-Sauer-Woodrow conjecture holds for classes of digraphs with bounded stability.

References

- R. Aharoni, E. Berger and I. Gorelik, Kernels in Weighted Digraphs. Order, 31, (2014), 35-43
- [2] R. Aharoni, T. Fleiner, On a lemma of Scarf. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 87, (2003), 72-80.
- [3] N. Alon, G. Brightwell, H. A. Kierstead, A. V. Kostochka and P. Winkler, Dominating sets in k-majority tournaments. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **96**, (2006), 374-387.
- [4] I. Bárány and J. Lehel, Covering with Euclidean boxes. European Journal of Combinatorics, 8, (1987), 113-119.

- [5] D. Fisher and J. Ryan, Probabilities within optimal strategies for tournament games. *Discrete Applied Math.*, 56, (1995), 87–91.
- [6] T. Fleiner, A Fixed-Point Approach to Stable Matchings and Some Applications. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 28, (2003), 103-126.
- [7] T. Fleiner and Z. Jankó, On Weighted Kernels of Two Posets, Order, 33, (2016), 51-65.
- [8] H. Galeana-Sanchez and R. Rojas-Monroy, Monochromatic paths and at most 2-colored arc sets in edge-coloured tournaments. *Graphs Combin.*, 21, (2005), 307–317.
- [9] A. Georgakopoulos and P. Sprüssel, On 3-colored tournaments. preprint, (2009).
- [10] A. Gyárfás and D. Pálvölgyi, Domination in transitive colorings of tournaments. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 107, (2014), 1-11.
- [11] M. Melcher and K.B. Reid, Monochromatic sinks in nearly transitive arc-colored tournaments. Discrete Math., 310, (2010), 2697–2704.
- [12] S. Minggang, On monochromatic paths in m-coloured tournaments. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 45, (1988), 108-111.
- [13] J. Pach, A remark on transversal numbers, in: R.L. Graham, J. Nesetril (Eds.), The Mathematics of Paul Erdős, vol. II, Springer, (1997), 310-317.
- [14] L. Pastrana-Ramirez and M. del Rocio Sanchez-Lopez, Kernels by monochromatic directed paths in 3-colored tournaments and quasi-tournaments. Int. J. contemp. Math. sciences, 5, (2010), 1689-1704.
- [15] B. Sands, N. Sauer and R. Woodrow, On monochromatic paths in edge-coloured digraphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 33, (1982), 271-275.